

New Proposals: Journal of Marxism and Interdisciplinary Inquiry Volume 5, Number 2, November 2012 ISSN 1715-6718

© New Proposals Editorial Collective

Our Mandate

This journal represents an attempt to explore issues, ideas, and problems that lie at the intersection between the academic disciplines of social science and the body of thought and political practice that has constituted Marxism over the last 150 years. New Proposals is a journal of Marxism and Interdisciplinary Inquiry that is dedicated to the radical transformation of the contemporary world order. We see our role as providing a platform for research, commentary, and debate of the highest scholarly quality that contributes to the struggle to create a more just and humane world, in which the systematic and continuous exploitation, oppression, and fratricidal struggles that characterize the contemporary sociopolitical order no longer exist.

Volume 5:2 Issue Editor Charles R. Menzies

Editorial Collective Charles R. Menzies, Sharon R. Roseman

Design and Layout Kenneth Campbell

International Advisory Panel

Avram Bornstein, Karen Brodkin, August Carbonella, A. Kim Clark, Kate Crehan, Kirk Dombrowski, John Gledhill, Linda Green, Sharryn Kasmir, Ann Kingsolver, Richard B. Lee, Winnie Lem, David McNally, Susana Narotzky, Bryan Palmer, Thomas C. Patterson, R. S. Ratner, Gerald M. Sider, Alan Smart, Gavin A. Smith, Jacqueline Solway

Contact Us

New Proposals online at http://www.newproposals.ca New Proposals Blog and Discussion at http://newproposals.blogspot.com Email info@newproposals.ca

Cover: The Future Capitalism Gives Us. Tristan Menzies photograph.

New Proposals

Journal of Marxism and Interdisciplinary Inquiry

Volume 5, Number 2, November 2012. ISSN 1715-6718

Contents

Contento	
Introduction	
Reflections on the Process of Production	5
Charles Menzies	
Articles	
AIDS Rumours, Vulnerability, and the Banana Wars – A View From Dominica	8
Deidre Rose	
Market Socialism as a Distinct Socioeconomic Formation Internal to	
the Modern Mode of Production	20
Alberto Gabriele and Francesco Schettino	
Comments and Arguments	
Hand-Mills to Wind Turbines: Technology Gatekeeping in Medieval Europe	
and in Contemporary Ontario	51
Dennis Alan Bartels	
Seeking Spatial Pedagogy: Towards an Ontological Alternative	58
Brad D. Baumgartner	

Reflections on the Process of Production

Charles Menzies
New Proposals Editorial Collective

In the face of growing global economic and social crises we need to return to clear action oriented and empirically grounded understandings of capitalism. Three decades of inward looking self-indulgent theorizing has lived out what ever usefulness if may have had. Yet, as is so often the case, academic discussions remain rooted in text, classrooms and publishing houses. It is, I suppose, no small irony that our journal is rooted firmly within this self-same academic environment. However, even as we draw upon the very structures and instruments of an academic site of production, we do so with the clear objective of building a new better world. With Marx, we see a need of going beyond simply interpreting our world – we need to change it.

One of the obstacles to building a better world can be found in the conceptions and theories of the nature of contemporary capitalism. From the left to the right interveners in the debate will agree that something has changed in the nature of capitalist production. Some see the collapse of state capitalism in the former Soviet Union as the harbinger of the end of history and the final triumph of free market capitalism. Others wonder at the economic miracle of China and the rise of capitalism under the rule of a communist party. Still others look at the disappearance of industrial manufacturing in North America

and find a new post-industrial world. Yet, at a fundamental level the organization of production within capitalism hasn't really changed much in quality or form even if the center of this production has shifted.

There are numerous books written over the past several decades that have taken a look at the supposed changes in the basic form of capitalist production. One of my favourites, now more than two decades old, is Lauren Benton's *Invisible Factories:* the *Informal Economy and Industrial Development in Spain*. Benton tries to bring together economic, political, and cultural factors in explaining the rise of a dynamic informal economy.

Several factors have shaped the informalization of Spain's economy. Government regulations have created the legal environment that permits the decentralization of production in the context of a resurgent labour movement and during "a period of weakened demand and general economic crisis" (Benton 1990:32). Benton is here describing what we now more commonly refer to as neo-liberal economic policies. The outcome of neo-liberal policies is that the monopoly sector of capital is able to shift the cost of a large permanent workforce into the domain of the underground or informal economy while maintaining economic control over the process of production: "considerable evidence shows that many jobs were

shifted to the informal sector through a reorganization of production within industry. [Thus] officially recorded job loss in a given sector translated directly into more underground employment in the same sector" (Benton 1990:37).

Benton describes a process of essentially respatialization of the factory in a manner that disperses both economic risk and actual productive activities and reestablishment of a category of worker aptly described by E.P. Thompson in his opus, The Making of the English Working Class: "the proletarian outworker, who worked in his own home, sometimes owned and sometimes rented his loom, and who wove up the yarns to the specifications of the factor or agent of a mill or of some middleman" (1963: 299). A similar process is seen in commercial fishing in British Columbia where the large processing firms have sold off their fleets of fishboats to ostensibly independent fishers. These fisher now operate under the illusion of freedom when in reality they are still firmly under the control of large capital; except that now they carry a greater economic burden as owner. As Benton points out:

Decentralization soon showed itself to have unexpectedly positive implications – from the point of view of employers – for the problem of control within the factories. Within formal firms, workers find their position so weakened [due to the existence of the new informal firms] that they must heed employers' demands to intensify work and increase the number of hours worked. [1990:97]

According to Benton the decentralization and informalization of production provides an opening for political decentralization in two ways: "first the fragmentation of the production process generates opportunities for control over production to shift toward skilled workers and worker-entrepreneurs (Benton 1990:190). Second, the decentralization of production generates changes that are difficult for a politically centralized state to respond to. An added benefit for the economy is that the smaller-scale firms are more responsive the changing demands in the global economy. (Benton 1990:188). I am not convinced. In fact, I would suggest that several decades on from Benton's original research the evi-

dence is clear: the benefits of decentralization and informalization of production have decisively shifted toward employers.

Even in the contexts of Benton's 1990 study the benefits she describes seem overshadowed by the more mundane and ever present conditions of work experienced by workers in the informal firms. In one example of how informal enterprises control labour Benton quotes an owner who, when asked about the way piece rates are set, said: "Usually, they give a little and I give a little. Then I say, 'If that's not good enough for you, you can just leave.' It's usually effective. I am like a judge here. I always have a final say" (Benton 1990:98). How, I ask, is this any different form the way capitalism has always worked, except here the threat, the real coercive force of the owner's control over the worker's labour is laid bare: "I am like a judge. I always have a final say."

What is most apparent in this revelation of the power and social violence of class relations is that the balance of power between labour and capital is shifting. During the post World War II boom labour, especially in Western Europe and North America, benefited from the constant expansion of capital and the institutionalization of the welfare state. Struggles between labour and capital over workplace control, wages, and benefits escalated. However, with the shift of the world's economy into an era of permanent crisis in the 1970s the balance of forces shifted in favour of capital as workers' wages and living conditions in the industrialized countries plummeted (Menzies 2010). Fordist forms of production were first introduced to deskill and take control out of the hands of workers and place the control over production firmly within the orbit of capital. The collectivization of work, however, contributed to the militancy of workers and the process of decentralization of production today must be seen from within this context.

During the boom years following World War II working class movements were on the offensive. The gains were not simply material. Workers were able to extend notions of workplace democracy and increase their leisure time. By the 1970s, however, workers' struggles became increasingly defensive and the provisions of the welfare state became increasingly less able to ameliorate the ill effects of capitalism in the

context of a deepening crisis within capitalism itself (Brenner 2006).

The rise of China as an industrial powerhouse is a critical empirical demonstration that capitalist forms of production continue essentially unchanged into the present. In his provocative documentary, Mardi Gras: Made in China, David Redmon takes us from the drunken revelry of Bourbon Street, New Orleans, to a bead factory in Fuzhou, China. The filmmaker brings us inside the massive concentration-like factory to show us the world that these mainly young women workers live, work, and play in. The conditions of work as every bit as harsh as the mines described by June Nash in 1970s Bolivia (We Eat the Mines and the Mines Eat Us, 1979). But this is a contemporary factory producing trinkets for consumption and disposal in the United States. One has a sense that the factory could be anywhere or any time. Here we see a contemporary example of the capitalist organization of production - nothing post-industrial nor post-modern about the conditions under which these young workers labour.

Capitalism is an economic system that is based upon principles of expropriation and exploitation. From China to Bolivia, British Columbia to Spain the core relations of production involve compelling and convincing working people to accept them as though the conditions of their work are inevitable and unchangeable. Theoretical arguments that deflect from the reality of everyday people's worlds - however beguiling they may be - simply serve to hold people down. Describing the existing organization of production experienced by most people living today does not require elaborate social theories. What it does call for us to do is continue documenting the everyday worlds of work. Understanding the empirical conditions of work and the mechanisms by which production is organized is a critical step toward effecting liberty and emancipation from the "tyranny of work" (Rinehart 1996).

References

Benton, Lauren A.

1990 Invisible Factories: the Informal Economy and Industrial Development in Spain. Albany: SUNY Press.

Brenner, Robert

2006 The Economics of Global Turbulence: The Advanced Capitalist Economies from Long Boom to Long Downturn, 1945-2005. London: Verso Books.

Menzies, Charles R.

2010 Reflections on Work and Activism in the University of 'Excellence.' New Proposals: Journal of Marxism and Interdisciplinary Inquiry 3(1):40-55.

Nash, June

1979 We Eat the Mines and the Mines East Us: Dependency and Exploitation in Bolivian Tin Mines. New York: Columbia University Press.

Redmon, David

2005 Made in China: Made in China (film). New York: Ryko Distribution.

Rinehart, James W.

1996 The Tyranny of Work: Alienation and the Labour Process. Toronto: Harcout Brace Jovanovich.

Thompson, E.P.

1963 The Making of the English Working Class. New York: Vintage Books.

AIDS Rumours, Vulnerability, and the Banana Wars: A View From Dominica

Deidre Rose
University of Guelph

ABSTRACT: This article examines rumours about HIV/AIDS in relation to the recent shift in Dominica's economic status from an agricultural producer to a service provider. This shift has been the direct result of neo-liberal economic policies and decisions made by the international economic community. The virtual destruction of Dominica's agricultural sector has forced the country to develop its tourist sector. The increased reliance on tourism and emigration as sources of income has led to anxieties about contact and contagion; specifically, people have become acutely aware of the social and health consequences that would result from this economic crisis. Through a close reading of AIDS rumors circulating in Dominica in the late 1990s and through the present, we can begin to better appreciate the connections between experiences of colonial exploitation, slavery, racism, current economic globalization, the impact of economic crisis on health, and local reactions to HIV/AIDS intervention strategies.

KEYWORDS: AIDS rumours; Banana Wars; counter-epistemology; Structural Violence; public health

Introduction

n September 22 2009 I received a "Cause Announcement" from the First Nations and Aboriginal Rights Group via Facebook. The missive informed readers that many people in the village of Ahousaht, British Columbia had been vaccinated against the H1N1 influenza and within the week over one hundred members of the community had fallen sick. The author stated that, "On the face of things, it appears that seasonal flu vaccinations and/ or antiviral medications are causing a sickness that is being deliberately aimed at aboriginal people across Canada." The notice continued by citing historical precedents – this had happened before – as evidence for its strong claim. The mainstream media was quick to dismiss the claims as "unfounded" and based on "conspiracy theory" and "rumour." The case reminded

me of the AIDS rumours that had been circulating in Dominica during the time of my fieldwork, and prompted me to revisit the ethnographic material collected then. In what follows, I intend to take seriously these rumours, not as statements of metaphysical truth, but as a kind of situated knowledge or counter-epistemology that can tell us something about the connections between experiences of colonial exploitation, slavery, racism, current economic globalization, the impact of economic crisis on health, and local reactions to HIV/AIDS and other pandemic intervention strategies.

Public health programs have done enormous good, but have also been met with skepticism. As the case of Ahousaht demonstrates, this skepticism may take the form of rumours about the motives of

the intervention. Amy Kaler (2009) has written a summary article focusing on the recurrent theme of sterility rumours and vaccination programs. Using reports of sterility rumours from public health interventions in Africa, Kaler argues that "these rumours are more than simply stories which are not true. The widespread rumour of sterility is a way of articulating broadly shared understandings about reproductive bodies, collective survival, and global asymmetries of power" (Kaler 2009:1719). Kaler introduces the concept of "counter-epistemic convergence" to understand sterility rumours. Charles Briggs and Clara Mantini-Briggs (2003) have written a stunning analysis of Warao narratives and counter-narratives surrounding the outbreak of cholera in Venezeula and the tragic death of hundreds of Warao children, women, and men. They point out that public interventions that adopt "cultural reasoning" or any strategies that blame people with little social, economic, or political power do not help to prevent illness. AIDS prevention programs that focus on cultural or behavioural factors would be an example of this type of program. Briggs and Mantini-Briggs (2003) in their account of a deadly outbreak of cholera in Venezuela aim to "provide everyone who is affected by social inequality, stigma, and disease - that is all of us - with new tools" to cope with vulnerabilities to illness. It is my hope to contribute to this project by looking at Dominican stories about HIV/AIDS.

This paper will take seriously the rumours about HIV/AIDS that were circulating during my first two prolonged periods of fieldwork in the Eastern Caribbean nation of Dominica (August 1996-August 1997 and October 1997-May 1998). The rumours were connected to the shift in Dominica's economic status from an agricultural producer to a service provider. This shift has been the direct result of neo-liberal economic policies and decisions made by the international economic community, specifically those that relate to the "banana wars." To take these rumours seriously, rather than dismissing these narratives as "false" or as evidence of "conspiracy theories," facilitates an examination of the socio-economic and historical context that gave rise to these widespread beliefs. In doing so, it is my hope that the counterepistemic stories told by some of my interlocutors will

help to contribute to our understanding of the connections between colonial exploitation, slavery, racism, current economic globalization, and the impact of an economic crisis on the health outcomes of the affected population. It is also my hope to help to contribute to the creation of more sensitive and efficacious health initiatives in the future.

Context

On June 23, 2000 an agreement between the European Community and its member states and members of the African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) group of states was signed in Cotonou, Benin. This agreement, known as the "Cotonou Agreement," will expire in 2020. The agreement temporarily extends the protection formerly afforded to ACP banana producers under Lomé IV.1 However, most banana farmers in Dominica have little confidence in their economic future as is evidenced by the sharp and steady decline in banana production there. The Cotonou Agreement marked the final chapter in an ongoing trade dispute dubbed the "Banana Wars" by the news media. The "eight year standoff between the European Union and the USA over bananas" had dire consequences, especially for smaller Caribbean nations such as Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, and the Grenadines. (Myers 2004:1)

My fieldwork in Dominica from 1996 to 2001 focused on AIDS awareness education and prevention initiatives. From the time of my first arrival in Dominica in August of 1996, anxieties surrounding the then current trade dispute between the United States and the ACP nations over the protected banana trade, were a frequent topic of conversation and surfaced in discussions about HIV /AIDS (Rose 2005). The dispute stemmed from a complaint made to the WTO by the US Trade Representative over the long-standing agreement that allowed ACP nations special access to their former colonial metropole countries though a system of quotas and protected price agreements for banana export/import.

¹ This is the name of the trade agreement that offered special, duty-free access for ACP (African, Caribbean and Pacific) bananas being imported into their former colonial metropole countries. In the case of Dominica and other islands that had been subject to British rule, this access was to the United Kingdom.

Around the world, people have "positioned the meaning of AIDS in relation to their structural positions in the local and global order" (Setel 1999:238) through various rumours and slogans that relate HIV/ AIDS to asymmetrical and racist international relations. Paul Farmer (1992:230) has noted, "conspiracy theories have been a part of the AIDS scene since the advent of the syndrome." Allport and Postman (1945) define rumour as "a specific proposition for belief, passed along from person to person, usually by word of mouth, without secure standards of evidence being present." Rumours are unverified, orally transmitted narratives (Turner 1993:1). But rumours are more than incorrect or incomplete information. They are socially constructed, publicly performed, and publicly interpreted narratives. As such, rumours reflect and construct beliefs about how the world works at a particular place and time (Kroeger 2003; Fine 1992; Kapferer 1990). This article intends to treat "such local, disqualified forms of interpretation" (Palmié 2002:20) as "situated knowledge" – a counter-epistemology that challenges ways of thinking and points to the increased marginalization brought on by neo-liberal economic policies. In doing so we can learn about the way the world looks to postcolonial subjects who continue to have little power in the current global arena.

A (Lost) Window of Opportunity

In 1996, UNAIDS had reported that the Caribbean was a "window of opportunity" - HIV/AIDS rates were relatively low and it was believed that intervention strategies would be effective in preventing a health crisis in the region. The AIDS epidemic was not yet at crisis level and there was optimism that effective AIDS prevention programmes could be launched and a human crisis averted. At the same time, the United States Trade Representative had launched an official complaint against the preferential treatment afforded to African, Caribbean, and Pacific Bananas under the Lomé Protocol. Walking around Dominica I often heard snippets that alluded to the changes that the island nation was facing. At the hospital while waiting for my chest x-ray (a requirement for the VISA) a woman commented, "Imagine, you have to pay to make a baby now!" She

was referring to the newly introduced user fees at the hospital, a change made in response to IMF and World Bank demands. In Roseau, the capital, I saw a woman on a stool selling ripe bananas for 25 cents each. A man walked past her and commented loudly "What, we in the UK now? We have to PAY for banana?" As popular theatre workers and public health officials in Dominica toured the country providing AIDS prevention education, the populace was facing a massive economic crisis. The island was abuzz with talk about the two events – the arrival and increasing awareness of HIV ("de virus") and the impending collapse of their primary economic base. Indeed, during the Dominica fieldwork period from 1996-2001, there were two widely circulating popular narratives concerning HIV/AIDS. These narratives went against the public health and AIDS committee's efforts to provide AIDS education and prevention and reflected the people's growing concerns about the impending demise of their economy as a result of the US-led complaint against Dominica's protection of its principal export crop – bananas. The first narrative held that the United States, or the CIA, had manufactured the virus in a laboratory, with the intent of destroying the black (or poor) populations around the globe. Many Dominicans believed that condoms donated to the Dominican Planned Parenthood Association by USAID had been impregnated with HIV by "the Americans." This type of rumour was not unique to Dominica. Setel has reported that the people he worked with in Tanzania also circulated a story that American condoms were impregnated with HIV (Setel 1999:240). In Dominica, this rumour was made even more plausible by the belief that the supply of donated condoms had been decreased or halted entirely after the "discovery" that HIV/AIDS could be transmitted through heterosexual contact. According to this narrative, once the virus had been introduced into the population, the Americans had moved to the second phase of their attack by ensuring that the virus would spread. Here is an example of a conversation on this topic:

Marna²: They used to send us condoms from the United States. The condoms went to town, to the

² Following standard anthropological practice, the names cited here are pseudonyms.

family planning clinic on George Street. They told people to use them not to make babies – they said we were having too many babies.

Barella: And it was true sometimes in those days it was babies having babies. And girls getting thrown out of school. So we thought it was a good thing.

Marna: But then they got to know that the virus could be spread man to woman and woman to man. And then they stopped sending the condoms. It was then that they stopped sending the condoms. Remember in 1987 – was it? – that was the first time we heard about AIDS here.

Barella: Yes, wi. It was then that we realized we had the virus here and it was the condoms that had the virus in them. That is how the virus came to Dominica.

We continued our conversation for while, with me expressing concern and some doubt that this would happen. It seemed too cruel, I said. Then Barella said, "But you see what they are doing now, Dee? Now they are taking our banana and it was only banana we had to get us anywhere in life." Marna choopsed loudly and then said, "They trying to kill us is all."

A second related belief was that information about HIV/AIDS disseminated by the news media and international development workers was a fabrication. The lie was concocted by "the Americans" (or an unnamed "them"), in order to trick black men into wearing condoms to thereby prevent the births of the next generation of Dominicans. People pointed out that USAID used to distribute condoms, through the Planned Parenthood Association, as a form of birth control. The distribution of free condoms, I was told, was halted once it became known that HIV could be spread through heterosexual contact. The variation of this narrative outlined above suggested that the imported condoms themselves had been "infected" or "dirty." These ideas fit a narrative wherein "Americans" wished to decrease the birth-rate of Dominicans – a future-oriented genocide. Again, this idea that AIDS does not exist is another common theme in AIDS rumours in the developing world. A commercial sex worker in the Dominican Republic town of Carrefour expressed the following beliefs when asked about HIV/AIDS:

"AIDS!" Her lips curl about the syllable. "There is no such thing. It is a false disease invented by the American government to take advantage of poor countries. The American president hates poor people, so now he makes up AIDS to take away the little we have." [Selzer 1987:60]

Here is an example from Dominica. Although this conversation was a bit tongue and cheek, it provides a clear example of this type of narrative:

Jacko: They do not want us anymore. They don't want us to have children. So they tell us there is this thing, the virus, and the condom will protect us from it. But you see, there is no virus. It is not true. We are made to think that we are protecting ourselves but really we are doing their work for them. They don't have to kill us. No more babies – no more Dominicans, you see? And you see they do that to their own too. Everywhere them want to do that.

Me: Who?

Jacko: You know them. Just look around. Just look at what they are doing to our bananas. Just look at them go on the boats to take the ganja. Just look, wi, just look.

The views he expressed to me that afternoon provide a very clear and concise narrative that links life, livelihood, and way of life. As in the conversation with Marna and Barbella, the narrative provides a poignant, and as I hope to now show, astute reading of global assymetries and realities crystalized for the people on this island with the banana wars. Put another way, while these rumours pose difficulties for AIDS education and prevention initiatives, particularly those that emphasize condom use as a form of protection, but, (as Treichler has pointed out):

We can do other things with theories of AIDS than seek to eradicate them or, more pragmatically, circumvent them. As we look over the meanings that AIDS has generated as it moves among subcultures and around the globe, we can ask different kinds of questions. Who are cast as villains in a particular account of AIDS? How does a given account resonate with different constituencies? What's in it for its adherents? The widespread belief that AIDS is

a deliberate experiment conducted on vulnerable populations is an example. In sub-Saharan Africa, the idea is common that AIDS is the latest effort by white global elites to control the reproduction of people of color. [Treichler 1999:221]

The widespread notion that AIDS is an American invention "often reveals an unwelcome narrative about colonialism in a postcolonial world" (Treichler 1999:103). In Dominica, this narrative was tied to the processes of globalization underway there during the fieldwork period. My last example from fieldwork is not an example of AIDS denial but rather an example of one of the ways AIDS was understood as a syndrome tied to global inequality. The example is taken from a community theatre workshop held at the Roseau Waterfront Workers' Union Hall in November, 1997. The skit was a dramatization of the discussion that followed an AIDS prevention talk given by a public health nurse. It was one of several skits that evening, but one that is illustrative of a point. Since participation in these health workshops was voluntary it is reasonable to assume that the participants that evening were people who believed that HIV/AIDS did indeed exist. The dramatization was set between two households and a party. There were no props, but the scenes were indicated through dialogue and the movement of chairs in the space at the front of the hall. The characters were two mothers, portrayed my middle aged Dominican women, two daughters portrayed by younger women and a young man. The man, we were told, worked for a well-known cruise line:

Two young women are talking about a party they plan to attend. One goes home and her mother asks her to sit down. "Lisa, come and sit with me a minute. I need to talk to you about something." The daughter sits and her mother tells her that she is hearing more and more about this virus, HIV. She tells her daughter that she must be very careful. If she goes with somebody, she must be very sure about him and should use protection. The daughter assures her mother that she will take care of herself and asks permission to go to a party with her friend. The mother says okay and tells her that she must be home by midnight. Then the action moves to

the other side of the "stage," where Lisa's friend is telling her mother that she will be going to a party. The mother has no comment. The young women go to the party where they meet with a friend, a handsome young man who works on a cruise ship. After the party, both girls return to their respective homes. Lisa's mother is waiting up for her, angry that she is late, but happy to see her home and safe. The other mother is asleep or absent. The next day Lisa's mother sits her down for another talk. "Lisa I heard something and I'm afraid." She asks her daughter if she was at the party with the "handsome fellow from Fascination.""You know Lisa, de boy is travelling north and south and east and west and they say he has de virus." She gives her daughter fifty dollars to "take de test and put my mind at ease." Lisa gets her friend, who was the one with the "fellow" and brings her to the clinic for an HIV test.

This performance highlights the sense of Dominica as an island and the connection between poverty, tourism, and HIV/AIDS (Lisa's friend agreed to go with the guy because she wanted Nikes for Carnival) in the transmission of HIV/AIDS emerges.

In order to understand how the rumours and assumptions described so far hold credence in Dominica, we need only look at the country's history and its current position in the global economy.

Colonial Legacies and Racism

Ignoring the past not only harms understanding of the present but compromises present action. [Bloch 1993:61]

For nearly 300 years, African bodies were purchased, kidnapped, transported, and sold as chattel. Slave traders stripped and displayed the Africans on blocks so that plantation owners or their agents could choose strong, healthy people to work as slaves on their plantations. On the plantations, slaves were forced to do strenuous labour and were subjected to corporal punishment, rape, and, occasionally, murder. The white elite displayed anxiety over African bodies, sexuality, and the "purity" of the white race – at least as far as relations between African men and European women were concerned (Beckles 1999; D'Emilio and Freedman 1997). Plantation owners also exhibited

concern and control over the Africans' reproductive capacities. Thus, African bodies were subjected to scrutiny, legislation, and maltreatment in regard to both their productive and reproductive lives. Although none of the Dominicans that I spoke with referred to slavery when discussing HIV/AIDS as an American-invented tool for genocide, certainly all were aware of its legacy. For many Dominicans, satellite television, stories from friends and relatives overseas, and personal travel have reinforced the fact of racism.

People who believed that HIV/AIDS was a fabrication - a virus invented in an American laboratory to eliminate the black race or a lie concocted to trick black men into using condoms and preventing Dominican births - often pointed to contemporary racism in the United States. Dominica has high rates of emigration, and the most common destinations outside the Caribbean region are the United States, Britain, and Canada. Many Dominicans have lived in one of these countries or have relatives who do. Posters of Reverend Doctor Martin Luther King, Jr., don the walls of many public places. With satellite television, many Dominicans saw the Rodney King beating. As one interlocutor commented, "You see what they do to their own. Imagine what they would do to us." However, by far, the most common association between HIV/AIDS and genocide was rooted in the looming crisis in the banana industry.

AIDS Rumours and the Political Economy

Dominica's agricultural economy is historically rooted in the slave-based plantation system of the French and British colonial regimes that controlled the country at one time or another. During the Plantation Era, the period of slavery, estates practiced monocrop agriculture for export to Europe and America. Slaves were given some basic provisions that included salt, salt cod or smoked herring, rudimentary clothing or fabric, and a ration of flour. They lived in chattel houses that they built themselves and were given a small plot of less desirable land on which they were required to grow the bulk of their own food, in addition to labouring in the fields. Any surplus remained the property of the slave who could then sell it at the marketplace on Sundays. Some people were able to purchase their freedom this way;

others used the monies earned to purchase fabric to make dressy clothes or for foodstuffs or entertainment (Honychurch 1995; Rose 2009a; 2005). Today, people still refer to certain food items as "provisions."

Following the British Empire's emancipation of African slaves on August 1, 1834, newly freed slaves left the estates and established squatter settlements. Many freed men and women cultivated their own small plots, selling any surplus in the local markets. A marketing system was already in place as a result of the Sunday sales of surplus crops described above (cf Honychurch 1995). Archival sources highlight the reluctance of newly freed slaves to engage in contract labour for former slave owners. Indeed, much of Dominica's economic history in the period immediately after emancipation describes the numerous strategies employed by the colonial government and the planter class to try to force the new peasant class into wage labour for the estates. Michel-Rolph Trouillot (1988) has argued that the development of a peasantry in Dominica was a form of resistance that enabled people to maintain a level of independence by limiting their engagement with the cash economy. Former slaves continued to produce for subsistence, selling the surplus in the local market. The colonial government, mainly through the imposition of taxation, forced many individuals into wage labour on estates where they produced crops for export to the United Kingdom. Despite significant historical changes - for example, the shift from slavery to independent producers or wage labourers - the majority of the population remained in agricultural production, and Dominica has continued to export tropical fruits through both inter-island and international trade. Farmers also produce a surplus to sell to local hotels and in the Saturday markets in Roseau and Portsmouth. Early in the last century, bananas became the prominent export crop.

The economic crisis caused by the collapse of the banana industry was seen as a direct attack by the United States on the Caribbean. Indeed, many people did not even mention Chiquita, the multinational responsible for the complaint, but pointed directly to the United States. Television, newspapers, and conversations in public places spread the news that the US Trade Representative had lodged the

complaint against the protocol protecting ACP bananas. For Dominicans, the banana industry represented more than potential economic security. It enabled many Dominicans to retain an independent lifestyle as peasant farmers. In short, it represented a form of freedom through the ability to retain a degree of independence from wage labour and the capacity to continue to grow subsistence crops while maintaining a reliable cash income. Secondly, despite the relative independence afforded to individual farmers, due to the country's reliance on a single crop (bananas) and, for the most part, a single market (the United Kingdom), the decline in the banana industry has been an economic disaster for the country (Rose 2009a:87-97). The economic crisis has meant increasing reliance on tourism and emigration; I will elaborate on these effects in the final section of this article. Throughout the AIDS prevention workshops that were the focus of my fieldwork, Dominicans repeatedly associated poverty, tourism, and emigration with HIV/AIDS.

The Crisis in Dominica's Banana Industry

Dominica is the poorest country in the Englishspeaking Caribbean and was so even before events in the world economy stifled their principal industry. Most Dominicans I encountered became angry when they heard reports of their country's poverty. Many of them told me, "We may not have money, but we have food."Twiggy, a young man who was about to attend college, asked me why Europeans, who have so much money, allow some to die of rickets and scurvy just for want of fruit. In Dominica, he assured me, such a thing could never happen. Despite its relative poverty, people in Dominica boast a high life expectancy and enjoy healthy diets, thanks to an abundance of fish, rainfall, and fertile land. In 1995, the new government responded to structural adjustment demands by introducing user fees at hospitals. Prior to that, most people had access to adequate health care services. Dominicans also share an ethos of caring. When a person (especially a child) requires health care that exceeds the capacity of Dominican facilities, community members collect money, and a few wealthy families contribute the balance to meet the costs of sending the patient overseas for treatment.

At the time of my fieldwork, 67 percent of the labour force was employed by the banana industry – primarily as peasant farmers, packers, truck drivers, or employees of the Dominican Banana Marketing Association. Many Dominicans engaged in multiple occupations. Some bus drivers doubled as tour guides when a cruise ship was in port, and many men also worked informally in this capacity. The tourist sector was a growing source of employment, hiring tour guides, taxi drivers, hotel workers, bartenders, servers, cleaners, receptionists, and souvenir vendors. The government and service sector were also major sources of employment. Women dominated in the marketplace and comprised the majority of the hucksters. They also sold sweets and snacks from street side stalls or from windows in their homes, took in laundry, and ran the majority of the island's rum shops and snackettes. There was also a small sector of professionals, including lawyers, doctors, engineers, and one psychologist. However, as noted earlier, the major economic sector was agriculture (Rose 2005).

Why Bananas?

Prior to 1930, the Dominican banana was not an export commodity. Bananas were consumed locally, and banana trees were used as shade trees for the principal export crops of cocoa and limes, which needed protection from the sun when immature. There was, however, a small scale inter-island trade in bananas shipped from Portsmouth, the major city and port on the northwest side of the island (Mourillon nd:9). However, in 1928 and 1930, Dominica was hit by hurricanes that destroyed the lime orchards, effectively putting an end to the lime industry because lime trees take up to eight years to resuscitate. In 1931, Mr. A.C. Shillingford found a market overseas and began to ship the Gros Michel banana to Liverpool on Leyland Line steamships.

The industry faced many challenges, however. For one thing, Dominica lacked sufficient roads, making it difficult for small holders in remote areas to get their produce to port. Another problem was that the Gros Michel variety of banana was susceptible to Panama disease. By 1937, the Canadian Banana Company was accepting only high-grade bananas free of scars. World War II brought problems in

shipping, which stopped altogether in 1942 after the Canadian National Steamship boats were destroyed by enemy action. The British government stepped in with a wartime subsidy to "relieve small-holders, who had been depending exclusively on bananas for a livelihood" (Mourillon nd:13). These trends – the demand for high-quality, unblemished bananas and the granting of subsidies to ensure Dominican small-holders continued to produce bananas – remained in place until the World Trade Organization (WTO) ruling in 2000.

One of the factors that led to the adoption of the banana crop as a staple export was that there was an existing market in Europe and North America. From a geopolitical and economic standpoint, a number of factors combined to create this market. Beginning in the nineteenth century, the new mass transportation technologies of steamship and rail, along with the availability of cheap land and labour in the tropics combined to make the banana a viable economic investment. The processes of industrialization, the development of mass markets in Europe and North America, and the rise of multinational corporations led to the exploitation and consumption of this new commodity (Nurse and Sandiford 1995:1). Another factor was the weakening sugar industry (Nurse and Sandiford 1995:26). The presence of an existing market and the decline of another major export crop were significant factors in Dominica's turning to bananas for export – but there were other reasons as well.

From an ecological standpoint, the banana is a viable plant because it is perennial, it grows well on steep slopes, its canopy provides shade for other plants that are grown between the rows of banana trees, the refuse from the tree provides mulch, and it has a relatively high yield per acre (Nurse and Sandiford 1995:16). Another important benefit of the banana tree is that it has a short nine-month gestation period, so growers can quickly rehabilitate it after hurricane damage (Nurse and Sandiford 1995:78). Thus, the crop is well suited to Dominica's ecological niche. Finally, since the majority of Dominica's banana producers are smallholders, they produce for their own subsistence, as well as for the market. "Fig" or green bananas have been and continue to be a staple in most Dominican's diets and

are served in some households as a side dish with all meals. Nurse and Sandiford point to the peasant farmers' subsistence ethic of "safety first" and "risk aversion." As we have seen, an established market for bananas was already in place. As a perennial, the banana crop gave farmers a regular biweekly income (Nurse and Sandiford 1995:79).

Dominica's agricultural sector has been dominated by smallholders with 78 percent of the farms being less than 5 acres and fewer than 1 percent over 100 acres in size (Thomas 1996:247). Although the "Cotonou Agreement" (2002) promised a temporary reprieve for banana producers, most farmers in Dominica have little confidence in its effectiveness, as evidenced by the sharp and steady decline in banana production there. As Campbell notes:

Although agriculture remains the leading contributor to Gross Domestic Product (GDP), the relative strength of its contribution in the 1990's is much lower than in the 1980's (IICA, 1997).... Between 1988-1999 the banana industry of Dominica recorded a 63 percent decline in production and a 62 percent decline in export value. There was also a corresponding decline in the number of farmers and acreage under bananas. Many farmers have abandoned their fields, especially those dependent on labor. Rural employment has fallen and there is evidence of declining livelihood among rural households as a result of falling financial resources that engenders a reduction to access of goods and services they previously enjoyed. At the national level, Dominica has now moved from a position of net exporter of agri-food products to net importer ... and it is very likely that this situation will continue because of the openness of the economy and the changing food habits of the population. [Campbell 2001]

The decline in banana production marks a significant change for Dominicans. For most of its recorded history, Dominica has been the provider of agricultural crops for metropole countries. Dominican farmers have also grown the bulk of their own subsistence crops. Since emancipation, much of this farming has taken place on independent peasant farms, and a culture has emerged that is based upon relative independence from the cash economy, self-

sufficiency, and an ethos of respect and caring (Rose 2005; 2009a:71-79). The crisis in the banana industry, therefore, marks more than an economic disaster. In the economic realm, there have been five interrelated results. There has been an increase in poverty levels; farmers and their families have been forced to emigrate in search of economic opportunities; land is increasingly alienated from the agricultural sector; and there is an increase reliance on imported goods. Anthropological and epidemiological evidence shows a correlation between these outcomes and the growing tourism trade. These studies also indicate that "poverty seems to favour rapid sexual spread of HIV" (Farmer 1995:3). Finally there is ample evidence linking tourism and HIV/AIDS (eg Farmer 1992; Padilla 2007). Thus, AIDS rumours in Dominica are "undisciplined stories" that deserve our attention.

HIV/AIDS and the Political Economy in Dominica: The Current Situation

According to a recent IMF report, rates of poverty in Dominica have increased significantly with 29 percent of households and 40 percent of the general population living in poverty, an increase of nearly 2 percent between 1995 and 2002 (IMF 2004). Alarmingly, 11 percent of households and 15 percent of the general population live in indigent poverty, and an average of 50 percent of Dominica's children live in poverty. In rural areas, one in every two households is poor. More than 37 percent of households in Dominica do not have access to piped water, and 25 percent of households have no access to toilet facilities. The situation is expected to worsen as the population faces increasing unemployment, which increased from 15.7 percent in 1999 to 25 percent in 2002. Along with poverty, the report mentions a rise in the number of cases of persons affected with tuberculosis – an indication of a corresponding increase in HIV/AIDS infections. Another development report on the socio-economic conditions in Dominica states, "Teenage pregnancy and reproductive health patterns of unprotected sex and gender-related issues have also been cited as problematic for Dominica. Dominica's current health situation sends a clear signal that HIV/AIDS prevention and education is becoming increasingly vital" (UNDP 2007).

The report concludes that Dominica is facing an economic crisis and that the country's "medium-term economic future rests on fiscal stability, tourism, and growth within the agricultural sector (specifically banana output)." The report links "social outcomes" to the fate of the banana industry, but pessimistically adds, "Decreasing preferential access to the EU market for bananas and hurricane-related crop damage has made it difficult for Dominica to assert its position on the future of an uncertain banana industry. Dominica's banana exports fell by 38.5% to a record low of 10,563 tons in 2003. Dominica's attempt to diversify the agricultural market by a scarcity of agricultural labor, investment capital, and transportation costs." The scarcity of agricultural labour is tied to the numbers of banana farmers who have left the country in search of economic opportunities elsewhere. Finally,

In the near to medium term, tourism is expected to be the principal driver in the economy. While Dominica's tourism earnings averaged 18% of GDP compared to an average 29% in other islands, tourism contributes over 30% of Dominica's foreign exchange earnings, three times the current earnings from bananas. In order to expand its tourism industry, Dominica will need to develop a coherent marketing strategy to promote its niche market – rainforests, waterfalls, volcanic sites and coral reefs – to the growing international eco-tourism market.

Rumours or Predictions?

In 1996, UNAIDS referred to the Caribbean as a "window of opportunity" referring to the perceived ability of development and health workers to prevent the spread of HIV and AIDS in the region, averting a pandemic such as that found in sub-Saharan Africa. Today the Caribbean has the second highest number of HIV/AIDS cases per capita in the world, and is ranked as the most affected sub-region in the Americas (Bryan 2007:60).

The intent of this article has been to focus attention on AIDS rumours as commentaries about the political and economic situation in Dominica, rather than to examine the profile of HIV/AIDS in the country. AIDS workers, local commentators, and development agencies all recognize the population's

likely vulnerability to the virus. The concern is supported by ethnographic evidence and epidemiological analyses of HIV/AIDS in the region which, at least since Paul Farmer's (1992) groundbreaking work on the AIDS pandemic in Haiti, clearly demonstrate the link between poverty, tourism, and HIV/AIDS in the region (Farmer 1992, 1995; Forsythe 1999; Figueroa and Brathwaite 1995; Padilla 2007). In November 2006, Dominica's HIV/AIDS Unit launched its "Know Your Status" campaign to encourage voluntary HIV testing in the country (Laurent 2006). A report issued by the European Commission in December 2008 states that "Dominica is reported to have the second highest seroprevalence rate in the Englishspeaking Caribbean" (European Commission 2008). Even more alarming, reported figures do not necessarily reflect the HIV status of those many Dominicans who have migrated to more popular tourist destinations in search of work. Of 306 HIV positive persons living in Dominica in 2006, only 24 were getting ARV treatment and only 32 were accessing any form of health care (OECS 2006:6). Further, AIDS prevention and health outreach programs have been cut (European Commission 2008). The actual level of the problem is uncertain. What is certain is that the crisis in the banana industry has thrown an already impoverished nation into a widespread economic crisis with dire social, cultural, and health outcomes.

Structural violence renders populations vulnerable to certain types of illness and members of these communities are well aware of their historical, economic and political marginality. In the 1990s this awareness manifested in AIDS rumours circulating in vulnerable populations around the world, particularly in the Caribbean, sub-Saharan Africa, and the Southern United States. Today it is surfacing in narratives about the H1N1 flu strain. Rumours of vaccinations causing sterility are also widespread (Kaler 2009) and linked to conditions similar to those described above for Dominica. With news of a potentially efficacious HIV vaccine on the horizon, the implications of this material for health initiatives need be taken seriously. Programs that use "cultural strategies" are also of questionable value. Although it was not the focus of this paper, AIDS initiatives (foreign run)

that pointed to Dominican cultural attributes (the common practice of men having multiple girlfriends, the difficulty some Dominican women reported in consistent condom use on the part of their partners) were simply dismissed out of hand: "We've always been this way, AIDS just came now."The discourse of public health workers, AIDS prevention and awareness activists, and Dominican media usually pointed to a link with a foreigner - HIV positive persons were reported and talked about only when they were people who had returned from another country with the virus, the first recorded case was always described as referring to the test results of a "foreigner who brought the virus to the island." Rather than understanding these narratives as a form of resistance or in any other way determined by the voice of outsiders, I am trying to make a case for taking these as commentaries or a kind of counter-epistemology about past relationships - colonialism, slavery, racism and the like, and their continuation in the form of neo-liberal economic policies that are, once again, catered to the needs of those in power, those who are structurally associated with the global north.

To sum up, shifting position in the global economy - from agricultural producers to pleasure providers - constitutes both dramatic change and continuity. The conditions of survival are still predicated upon the demands and desires of the developed North. Finally, the link between AIDS and tourism in the region has been well-established (Farmer 1992; Padilla 2007). Yet development initiatives consistently insist upon tourism as part of the region's solution to widespread economic crises. AIDS prevention education is indeed called for in this context, yet education initiatives that emphasize cultural practices and promote condom use will only be of limited effectiveness as the AIDS narratives discussed above indicate. Rather than dismissing these stories as mere "rumour," we should perhaps pay closer attention to the critiques of global geopolitics and economics embedded within these narratives. What is really called for in terms of global change is likely beyond the province of mainstream development agencies, yet I am certain that the farmers and former farmers in Dominica would have some useful suggestions.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Gregory Cameron and Renee Sylvain for comments on earlier drafts of this paper and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions; Michael Lambek and Richard Lee for their guidance in the early days of fieldwork; and most of all, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to the people of Dominica. Tchembe wed!

References

Allport, Gordon W. and Leo J. Postman

1945 The Basic Psychology of Rumor. Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences, Series II 8:
61-81. Reprinted in Readings in Social Psychology (3rd edn.). Eleanor E. Maccoby, Theodore M. Newcomb & Eugene L. Hartley, eds. 1959. London: Methuen.

Beckles, Hilary McD.

1999 Centering Woman: Gender Discourses in Caribbean Slave Society. Kingston: Ian Randle Publishers.

Bloch, Marc

1993 Apologie pour l'histoire ou Métier d'historian. Paris: Armand Colin. (1st ed 1949)

Briggs, Charles with Clara Mantini-Briggs

2003 Stories in the Time of Cholera: Racial Profiling During a Medical Nightmare. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Brummelhuis, Han ten and Gilbert Herdt (eds.)

1995 Culture and Sexual Risk: Anthropological Perspectives on AIDS. New York: Routledge.

Bryan, Anthony T.

2007 Sustainable Caribbean Tourism: Challenges and Growth to 2020. In No Island is an Island: The Impact of Globalization on the Commonwealth Caribbean. Gordon Baker, ed. Pp 44-78. London: Chatham House.

Campbell, Dunstan

2001 Positioning Dominica's Agriculture for Sustained Economic and Social Development. Electronic document, http://www.uwichill.edu.bb/bnccde/dominica/conference/papers/CampbellD. html, accessed March 7 2003.

D'Emilio, John and Estelle B. Freedman

1997 Intimate Matters: A History of Sexuality in America. 2nd edition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

European Commission

2008 Development and Relations with African, Caribbean, and Pacific States. Country Strategy Papers, Dominica. Electronic document, http://ec.europa.eu/development/icenter/repository/scanned_dm_csp10_en.pdf, accessed January 24, 2009.

Farmer, Paul

1992 AIDS and Accusation: Haiti and the Geography of Blame. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

1995 Culture, Poverty, and the Dynamics of HIV Transmission in Rural Haiti. *In* Culture and Sexual Risk: Anthropological Perspectives on AIDS. Han ten Brummelhuis and Gilbert Herdt, eds. Pp 3-28. New York: Routledge.

Fine, G. A.

1992 Manufacturing Tales: Sex and Money in American Culture. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press.

Figueroa, J. P. and A. R. Brathwaite

1995 Is Under-reporting of AIDS a Problem in Jamaica? The West Indian Medical Journal 44(2):51-4.

Forsythe, S.

1999 HIV AIDS and Tourism. AIDS Analysis Africa. Honychurch, Lennox

1995 The Dominica Story. London: MacMillan Education Limited.

Inter-American Institute for Cooperation in Agriculture (IICA)

1997 Agriculture in Dominica – Sector Profile.

International Monetary Fund (IMF)

2004 Dominica: Interim Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper. IMF Country Report Number 04/7. Electronic document, http://www.imf.org/external/ pubs/ft/scr/2004/cr0407.pdf, accessed October 18 2008.

Kaler, Amy

2009 Health Interventions and the Persistence of Rumour: The Circulation of Sterility Stories in African Public Health Campaigns. Social Science & Medicine 68(9):1711-9.

Kapferer, Jean-Noel

1990 Rumors: Usage, Interpretations, and Images. New Brunswick NJ: Transaction Publishers.

Kroeger, Karen A.

2003 AIDS Rumors, Imaginary Enemies, and the Body Politic in Indonesia. American Ethnologist 30(2):243-257.

Laurent, Malaika

2006 'Know Your Status' HIV/AIDS campaign launches in Dominica. Caribbean Net News. Electronic document, http://www.caribbeannewsnow.com/caribnet/cgi-script/csArticles/articles/000044/004401.htm, accessed March 12 2010.

Mourillon, V.J. Francis

nd The Dominica Banana Industry from Inception to Independence 1928 -1978. Printed by Tropical Printers Ltd. W.I.

Myers, Gordon

2004 Banana Wars, the Price of Free Trade. London: Zed Books.

Nurse, Keith & Wayne Sandiford

1995 Windward Islands Bananas – Challenges and Options under the Single European Market. Jamaica: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung.

Organization of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS)

2006 Scaling Up Prevention Care And Treatment to Combat the HIV/AIDS Pandemic in the Organization of Eastern Caribbean States. Annual Report for the Period of March 1st 2005-August 31 2006. Electronic document, http://www.oecs.org/Documents/GFATM%20annual%20report.pdf, accessed January 24, 2009.

Padilla, Mark

2007 Caribbean Pleasure Industry: Tourism, Sexuality and AIDS in the Dominican Republic. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Palmié, Stephan

2002 Wizards and Scientists: Explorations in Afro-Cuban Modernity and Tradition. Durham: Duke University Press.

Rose, Deidre

2005 Morality Plays: Popular Theatre for AIDS Awareness in the Commonwealth of Dominica, WI. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Toronto.2009a Bouyon Kultur: Creolization and Culture in

Dominica. Dubuque: Kendall/Hunt

2009b Telling Treasure Tales: Commemoration and Consciousness in Dominica. Journal of American Folklore 122(484):127-147.

Selzer, Richard

1987 A Mask on the Face of Death. Life 10(8):58-64. Setel, Philip W.

1999 A Plague of Paradoxes: AIDS, Culture and Demography in Northern Tanzania. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Thomas, Clive

1996 Three Decades of Agriculture in the Commonwealth Caribbean. *In* The Critical Tradition of Caribbean Political Economy: The Legacy of George Beckford. Kari and Michael Witter, eds. Jamaica: Ian Randle Publishers.

Treichler, Paula A.

1999 How to Have Theory in an Epidemic: Cultural Chronicles of AIDS. Durham: Duke University Press.

Trouillot, Michel-Rolph

1988 Peasants and Capital: Dominica in the World Economy. Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press.

Turner, Patricia A

1993 I Heard it Through the Grapevine: Rumor in African American Culture. Berkeley: University of California Press.

UNAIDS

1997; 2006 Report on the Global HIV/AIDS Epidemic. Geneva: World Health Organization. United Nations Development Report (UNDP), Barbados Country Profile: Dominica. Electronic document, http://www.bb.undp.org/index.php?page=dominica, accessed October 15 2008.

Market Socialism as a Distinct Socioeconomic Formation Internal to the Modern Mode of Production

Alberto Gabriele
UNCTAD
Francesco Schettino
University of Rome

ABSTRACT: This paper argues that, during the present historical period, only one mode of production is sustainable, which we call the modern mode of production. Nevertheless, there can be (both in theory and in practice) enough differences among the specific forms of modern mode of production prevailing in different countries to justify the identification of distinct socioeconomic formations, one of them being market socialism. In its present stage of evolution, market socialism in China and Vietnam allows for a rapid development of productive forces, but it is seriously flawed from other points of view. We argue that the development of a radically reformed and improved form of market socialism is far from being an inevitable historical necessity, but constitutes a theoretically plausible and auspicable possibility.

KEYWORDS: Marx, Marxism, Mode of Production, Socioeconomic Formation, Socialism, Communism, China, Vietnam

Introduction

To our view, the correct interpretation of the presently existing market socialism system (MS) in China and Vietnam requires a new and partly modified utilization of one of Marx's fundamental categories, that of mode of production. According to Marx, different Modes of Production (MPs) and different Social (or Social and Economic) Formations (SEFs) can be identified in different historical periods and in different parts of the world. In each territory and in each moment of time several MPs usually coexist, but one of them can be considered to prevail on the others. In the long historical time, relative stability predominates in some periods, while other periods are characterized by the transition from one prevalent MP to another one. During Marx's lifetime,

the most advanced mode of production, capitalism, was still prevailing only in a few countries. Yet, Marx confidently predicted that, thanks to its intrinsic superiority and to its inbuilt tendency towards incessant expansion, capitalism would eventually embrace the whole world.

The Marxian concepts/categories of MP, SEF, socialism, and communism, are well known, yet not always fully understood. A prime reason for this difficulty is their intrinsic intricacy and sophistication, made even harder to penetrate and even to identify by the fact that these concepts can legitimately be interpreted in different ways and at different levels of theoretical abstraction and depth. Some of these interpretations are quite intuitive but, inevitably, rela-

tively superficial as well.

At a deeper level, each one of these terms, as a signifier, refers to a very complex and holistic signified, encompassing a number of epistemic dimensions, such as the economic, the social, the anthropological, the historical and the philosophical one. Another reason, of course, is that (as it is the case for most of his revolutionary theoretical contributions) Marx formulated the above-mentioned concepts in the course of a lifelong, evolutionary, and unfinished research endeavour (See Wood 1991). As a result, their meaning can at times be interpreted as context-specific in the overall economy of Marx's scientific contribution. As a matter of fact, Marx himself was quite aware of the ultimately pioneering and embryonic degree of theoretical development of many of his ideas (especially in the cases of socialism and communism). In any case, it is an urgent theoretical necessity to reinterpret and re-elaborate these concepts in order to make them more suitable to the understanding of the 21st century world, which is of course guite different from the one where Marx and Engels lived – although some of their great intuitions and forecasts are proving to be still amazingly actual.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 presents a brief review of the meaning attributed to concepts such as MP, SEF, socialism, and communism by Marx and some his 20th century followers. We believe it can be instrumental in supporting the preceding observations and in justifying the distinctive use of the terms MP, SF, and socialism in the remainder of this paper, a task we undertake in section 2. Section 3 discusses some alternative interpretations of China's contemporary socioeconomic system. Section 4 concludes.

The Marxian Concepts of Mode of Production, Social Formation, Socialism, and Communism: A Review

The Concept of Mode of Production

Marx's concept of mode of production (MP) is rooted in the specific form of interaction between productive forces and social relations of production which holistically characterize and define the material base and reproduction of human civilizations over very long periods of time: "A mode of production is an articulated combination of relations and forces of production structured by the dominance of the relations of production" (Hindless and Hirst 1975:9). Therefore, it is a key category of historical materialism: "Marx's dictum: 'The relations of production of every society form a whole' is the methodological point of departure and the key to the historical understanding of social relations" (Lukács 1923).

The concept of MP appears early in the work of Marx. His subsequent production, while always attributing to the term a quite consistent meaning, would focus to varying degrees on one or another of its multiple features and dimensions.

In *The German Ideology* (1845), for instance, Marx refers to the MP as an ontological concept that is based on the material conditions of reproduction of human existence, yet also encompasses the totality of individuals' lives:

This mode of production must not be considered simply as being the production of the physical existence of the individuals. Rather it is a definite form of activity of these individuals, a definite form of expressing their life, a definite mode of life on their part. ... The nature of individuals thus depends on the material conditions determining their production. [Marx 1845]

In *Manifesto* (1848) Marx and Engels also refer repeatedly to the concept of MP. A famous example is the passage where they state the revolutionary worldwide role of the bourgeoisie, which "compels all nations, on pain of extinction, to adopt the bourgeois mode of production; it compels them to introduce what it calls civilization into their midst, i.e., to become bourgeois themselves. In one word, it creates a world after its own image" (Marx and Engels 1848, I).

As is well known, the category of MP plays a central role in the preparatory work that eventually led to the elaboration of *Capital* – the *Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy* – as well as in *Grundrisse* and in *Capital* itself. In *Grundrisse* Marx further develops the category of MP, striving to establish it firmly in historical and empirical analysis (see Kelch 2007). To this purpose, a chapter

is dedicated specifically to the "forms which precede capitalist production," i.e. to the pre-capitalist MPs, stressing that they shared with capitalism their systemic nature (see Marx 1973:471). Then, Marx stresses the crucial "difference between the capitalist mode of production and all earlier ones," its all-encompassing and universalizing tendency, and its ultimately transient nature:

There appears here the universalizing tendency of capital, which distinguishes it from all previous stages of production... it strives towards the universal development of the forces of production, and thus becomes the presupposition of a new mode of production.... This tendency – which capital possesses, but which at the same time, since capital is a limited form of production, contradicts it and hence drives it towards dissolution – distinguishes capital from all earlier modes of production, and at the same time contains this element, that capital is posited as a mere point of transition. [Marx 1973:540]

In A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy (1859), Marx again emphasizes the relationship between MP, material reality and human consciousness, and the transient nature of all MPs, including the capitalistic one:

The totality of... relations of production constitutes the economic structure of society, the real foundation, on which arises a legal and political superstructure and to which correspond definite forms of social consciousness. The mode of production of material life conditions the general process of social, political and intellectual life. It is not the consciousness of men that determines their existence, but their social existence that determines their consciousness. At a certain stage of development, the material productive forces of society come into conflict with the existing relations of production or - this merely expresses the same thing in legal terms - with the property relations within the framework of which they have operated hitherto. The changes in the economic foundation lead sooner or later to the transformation of the whole immense superstructure. [Marx 1859, Preface

References to the MP, finally, are very numerous and crucial in *Capital*, where Marx focuses mostly on the economic dimension of the concept. The term MP is used in relation to a number of other key Marxian concepts, such as commodity, accumulation, private and public property, the division of labour in manufacturing production, and the production of surplus-value.²

The Concept of Socio-Economic Formation

Marx refers to the concept of Socio-Economic Formation (SEF) in a famous passage of the Preface to *A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy*:

In broad outline, the Asiatic, ancient, feudal and modern bourgeois modes of production may be designated as epochs marking progress in the economic development of society. The bourgeois mode of production is the last antagonistic form of the social process of production - antagonistic not in the sense of individual antagonism but of an antagonism that emanates from the individuals' social conditions of existence - but the productive forces developing within bourgeois society create also the material conditions for a solution of this antagonism. The prehistory of human society accordingly closes with this social formation. [Marx 1859.]

Here, the concepts of SEF and MP are virtually indistinguishable. Yet, room is left for subsequent interpretative approaches that — without undermining the close relationship between the social and the economic spheres which constitutes one of the most fundamental legacies of Marx's thought — tended to differentiate the two concepts, along lines that are consistent with the respective different meanings of the terms "social" and " production" respectively. For instance, Lenin utilized the concept of SEF in a partly innovative way, in order to emphasize the crucial role of the analysis of the specific social and economic conditions of each country in a determined

¹ On pre-capitalist MPs this topic, see also Hindless and Hirst 1975.

² See, for example, Marx 1867 (Vol I, I, I, 4, Marx 1967; Vol. I. VIII. XXXII.3, Marx 1967; Vol. I.IV.XIV.31 Marx 1967; Vol. I. IV.XIV.36, Marx 1967; Vol. III, Part VII, 31) and Marx 1894.

³ The terms social formation and socio-economic formation have been utilized as virtual synonymous in the Marxian theoretical tradition. We prefer the term socio-economic formation, rather than social form, to stress the close interaction between the social and the economic dimension central to Marx's thought (see Lorimer 1999).

period of history, as the cognitive basis of both scientific understanding and revolutionary activities. (See Lenin 1894 Part 1.)

These approaches underlined crucial MP characteristics such as objectivity, very long duration, quasi-universality, and relative exogeneity with respect to the subjective wills and activities of individual human beings, and a prevalence of the economic dimension on all the others. Conversely, the SEF is seen as a holistic concept centred chiefly on the social and cultural dimensions inside limited political, geographic and historical boundaries. Such a limitedness property can allow to consider each SEF to be internal to a given, existing MP (along with other SEFs), or in a process of transition from one MP to another. Social and economic relations in the realm of each SEF are strongly constrained by the laws of the dominant MP, yet individuals maintain a certain degree of freedom as they strive to understand and modify such relations. Eventually, organized individuals can succeed in achieving a revolutionary change, subverting the very social and economic structure of a SEF to the point of steering it towards a process of transition from one MP to another. Such a success, of course, can only be possible if the necessary objective (related to the degree of development of the relations of production of the prevailing MP inside and outside a given, specific SEF) and subjective (related to the degree of cultural, political and military organization of revolutionary forces) conditions are met.

Among major modern Marxist scholars, Althusser did the most to develop the concept of social formation. According to O'Ruairc, "In his philosophical under-labouring, Althusser seeks to make Marxist epistemology and the fundamental axioms for the study of social formations.... They can also be found in Lenin's analysis of the revolutionary situation in Russia in 1917 or Mao's distinction between the primary and the secondary aspects of contradiction" (O'Ruairc 2008).

In *Reading Capital*, the well-known text he wrote with Balibar, Althusser defines a SEF as a "totality of instances articulated on the basis of a determinate mode of production" (Althusser and Balibar 1970:207. See also Maulidiansyah 2008). Althusser

and Balibar outline a "theory of historical time" that allows "to establish the possibility of a history of the different levels considered in their 'relative' autonomy" (Althusser and Balibar 1979:104)⁴, driving the analysis to focus on "the form of historical existence peculiar to a social formation arising from a determinate mode of production" (Althusser and Balibar 1979:104).

With an approach that we regard as consistent with that of Althusser and Balibar, and also close to the meaning we are going to attach to the term SEF in the remainder of this paper, Lorimer (1999) argues that: "The SEF is... an integrated social system... the totality of relations of production in a SEF based on a distinct mode of production is almost never homogeneous - there exists alongside the dominant property form... other relations of production" (Lorimer 1999:109-111).

In sum, we conclude that – while in Marx it plays a comparatively little role and is barely distinguishable from the far more crucial role of MP – the term SEF can be reinterpreted along the lines proposed by Althusser and other 20th century Marxists in a more restrictive sense, as referring to social and economic "sub-sets" largely but not fully contained and constrained by a larger "set," constituted by the prevailing MP.

The latter is to be seen, in his original Marxian sense, as an all-encompassing category belonging to the very long period (á la Braudel), and which is dominated by the principle of necessity. The existence and evolution of SEFs, conversely, over long but relatively shorter periods of time, and within the boundaries imposed by the structural characteristics of the slow-changing MP, is strongly affected by changes in the superstructure, and in the consciousness and organization of social classes. Therefore, it is at least partly characterized by the principle of freedom. ⁵ Consistently, in the remainder of this paper we

⁴ In this context the authors maintain that due to its structural properties, history allows uneven developments in different domains of different countries during the same period.

⁵ Marx's concept of freedom is complex, and cannot be thoroughly analyzed here. Essentially, however, for Marx freedom (in the realm of production) is freedom from material necessity: "The realm of freedom actually begins only where labour which is determined by necessity... ceases...Freedom in this field can only consist in socialized man, the associated producers, rationally regulating their interchange with

will refer to the term SEF as the specific complex of social relations of production and exchange obtaining in a certain country or group of countries during a long period of time, inside larger a global social and economic system (MP) where social relations of production and exchange can be significantly different in other countries. In this interpretative framework, the social relations of production and exchange prevailing on a global scale are decisively (but not necessarily fully) shaped by those of the strongest and most advanced countries and groups of countries, and by the hegemonic interests of their ruling classes/ social groups.

Communism and Socialism

Marx admired several dynamic and modernizing features of capitalism, but criticized its defects, advocating its demise and the advent of a new MP -communism- as a result of the immanent contradictions of capitalism itself. Marx saw communism as the ultimate stage of development of human society, where, thanks to the extraordinary development of the forces of production, all human activities are the unconstrained expression of individuals' free will, and the production is fully de-linked from distribution, with the latter being guided by the principle of distribution according to need. However, Marx acknowledged that communism could not be expected to replace capitalism overnight, and that a transition through an intermediate transitional MP, commonly identified as socialism, would be necessary. Socialism was to be founded on the public ownership of means of production and on rational economic planning, as opposed to private property

Nature, bringing it under their common control, instead of being ruled by it as the blind forces of Nature...the true realm of freedom, however...can only blossom forth only with this realm of necessity as its basis. The shortening of the working day is its basic prerequisite" (Marx 1894, ch 48). It is important to note that, for Marx, true freedom could only stem from the conscious acknowledgment of necessity and the need to emancipate humanity progressively from it through collective political and social action. In our view, different SEFs are historically possible, with some being more advanced than others, also in this respect.

6 We prefer the term "social relations of production and exchange," slightly different from the classical Marxian term "social relations of production," in order to acknowledge more clearly the crucial role of markets (or of their non-existence). In practice, we attribute to the words "social relations of production and exchange" a meaning very similar to Marx's "social relations of production."

and the spontaneous play of anarchic market forces that characterize capitalism, and on the principle of distribution according to work. The materialistic necessity of a transitional MP (from capitalism to communism) can be theoretically explained as follows: capitalism is an MP in which the exchange value dominates the commodities use value; on the other hand, in the communist MP the commodities' exchange value disappears. As a consequence, the passage from capitalism to communism can be guaranteed solely by a transitional MP, socialism, where exchange value is still initially dominant, but is progressively superseded by use value.

The key features of the future communist society are described by Marx and Engels in many of their works, with distinctively uneven degrees of abstraction. Yet, they are mainly represented as radical negations of the correspondent, negative features of capitalism. In this respect, it is well known that Marx himself was quite aware of the purely indicative nature of what he (or anybody of his contemporaries) could fathom of the concrete traits of a society that would only arise in its full form in a distant moment of the future.

In two of his early works Marx refers to the future, full-fledged communist society as the realm of full individual freedom, and juxtaposes to it the severe limitations of "crude communism" (an embryonic and raw form of communism which might arise as the mere result of the seizing of power on the part of the proletariat and the abolition of private property). In *Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts* (1844), he argues that:

The first positive annulment of private property – crude communism – is thus merely a *manifestation* of the vileness of private property, which wants to set itself up as the *positive community system*...The category of the *worker* is not done away with, but extended to all men...Both sides of the relationship are raised to an *imagined* universality – labour as the category in which every person is placed, and *capital* as the acknowledged universality and power of the community." [Marx 1844]

In *The German Ideology* (1845) Marx writes:

In communist society, where nobody has one exclusive sphere of activity but each can become accomplished in any branch he wishes, society regulates the general production and thus makes it possible for me to do one thing today and another tomorrow, to hunt in the morning, fish in the afternoon, rear cattle in the evening, criticise after dinner, just as I have a mind, without ever becoming hunter, fisherman, herdsman or critic. [Marx 1845]

With the concept of "crude communism," Marx appears to have forecasted (and probably seen as inevitable) the huge differences between the severely flawed reality of all the first forms of what would later be called "really existing socialism," including presently existing MS, and the ideal of full-fledged communism. In two of his later works and in the first volume of *Capital* the end of capitalism and the advent of a classless society are seen essentially in negative terms, as the dialectical result of the immanent contradiction between the development of productive forces and the existing relations of production.

In *Critique of Political Economy*, Marx argues that:

At a certain stage of development, the material productive forces of society come into conflict with the existing relations of production or – this merely expresses the same thing in legal terms – with the property relations within the framework of which they have operated hitherto. From forms of development of the productive forces these relations turn into their fetters. Then begins an era of social revolution. The changes in the economic foundation lead sooner or later to the transformation of the whole immense superstructure. [Marx 1859]⁸

In the first volume of *Capital* this argument is developed further:

The capitalist mode of appropriation, the result of the capitalist mode of production, produces capitalist private property. This is the first negation of individual private property, as founded on the labor of the proprietor. But capitalist production begets, with the inexorability of a law of Nature, its own negation. It is the negation of negation. This does not re-establish private property for the producer, but gives him individual property based on the acquisitions of the capitalist era: i.e., on cooperation and the possession in common of the land and of the means of production. [Marx 1867]

Thirty years after *The German Ideology*, in a famous page of the *Critique of the Gotha Program*, Marx outlines in a more detailed and practical fashion the main features of socialism and communism and the crucial differences between the two. The first phase of communist society is the one traditionally identified with socialism, i.e. the stage of human-kind's evolution characterized by the socialist MP. Conversely, communism is the highest, ultimate phase, where a fully communist MP is finally established). In this work, Marx appears rather dismissive of the socialist principle of distribution according to labour, yet he considers it necessary in the first phase:

The right of the producers is proportional to the labor they supply; the equality consists in the fact that measurement is made with an *equal standard*, labor. But one man is superior to another physically, or mentally, and supplies more labor in the same time, or can labor for a longer time; and labor, to serve as a measure, must be defined by its duration or intensity, otherwise it ceases to be a standard of measurement. This equal right is an unequal right for unequal labor. It recognizes no class differences, because everyone is only a worker like everyone else; but it tacitly recognizes unequal individual endowment, and thus productive capacity, as a natural privilege. It is, therefore, a right of inequality, in its content, like every right. Right, by its very nature, can consist only in the application of an equal standard; but unequal individuals (and they would not be different individuals if they were not unequal) are measurable only by an equal standard insofar as they are brought under an equal point of view, are taken from one definite side only - for instance, in the present case, are regarded only as workers and nothing more is seen in them, every-

^{7 &}quot;There are no communist societies in the world today, but an array of socialist societies that include capitalist elements maintained in an uneasy relation with more progressive economic trends such as public ownership of some means of production. There is no "socialist mode of production", and so what are we to do with these socialist societies? ... we need to distinguish whether the capitalist elements or the communist elements are the main force for development." (Brown 2006).

⁸ A few lines below, Marx states that "the bourgeois mode of production is the last antagonistic form of the social process of production... The prehistory of human society accordingly closes with this social formation."

⁹ This concept was originally introduced in Grundrisse (see Kelch 2007)

thing else being ignored. Further, one worker is married, another is not; one has more children than another, and so on and so forth. Thus, with an equal performance of labor, and hence an equal in the social consumption fund, one will in fact receive more than another, one will be richer than another, and so on. To avoid all these defects, right, instead of being equal, would have to be unequal. But these defects are inevitable in the first phase of communist society as it is when it has just emerged after prolonged birth pangs from capitalist society. Right can never be higher than the economic structure of society and its cultural development conditioned thereby.

Quite differently,

in a higher phase of communist society, after the enslaving subordination of the individual to the division of labor, and therewith also the antithesis between mental and physical labor, has vanished; after labor has become not only a means of life but life's prime want; after the productive forces have also increased with the all-around development of the individual, and all the springs of co-operative wealth flow more abundantly -- only then can the narrow horizon of bourgeois right be crossed in its entirety and society inscribe on its banners: From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs! [Marx 1875]

Engel's vision of communism in his later works is not different from that of Marx, although the flavour of his argument might appear to be slightly more deterministic than that of Marx:

Proletarian Revolution – Solution of the contradictions. The proletariat seizes the public power, and by means of this transforms the socialized means of production, slipping from the hands of the bourgeoisie, into public property. By this act, the proletariat frees the means of production from the character of capital they have thus far borne, and gives their socialized character complete freedom to work itself out. Socialized production upon a predetermined plan becomes henceforth possible. The development of production makes the existence of different classes of society thenceforth an anachronism. In proportion as anarchy in social production vanishes, the political authority of the State dies out.

Man, at last the master of his own form of social organization, becomes at the same time the lord over Nature, his own master – free. [Engels 1880]

Yet, Engels too did not question the inevitability of a long and difficult journey towards communism during the evolutionary and changing era of socialism:

To my mind, the so-called 'socialist society' is not anything immutable. Like all other social formations, it should be conceived in a state of constant flux and change. It's crucial difference from the present order consists naturally in production organized on the basis of common ownership by the nation of all means of production. To begin this reorganization tomorrow, but performing it gradually, seems to me quite feasible. That our workers are capable of it is borne out by their many producer and consumer cooperatives which, whenever they're not deliberately ruined by the police, are equally well and far more honestly run than the bourgeois stock companies. [Engels 1890]

Among modern contributions on this topic (which do not appear to be numerous), Elliot (1978) is particularly useful. He identifies in Marx's writings a "typology of alternative economic systems," based on four "major organizational features":

- (1) processes for the organization of production, allocation of labor and coordination of production decisions, notably market exchange vs central planning (or other forms of nonmarket coordination);
- (2) property relations, notably private vs social ownership and control on the means of production; 10
- (3) relations between work and ownership, notably separation vs coalescence of work and ownership/control;
- (4) the role of division of labor, notably division of labor in society vs division of labor in manufacturing industry, ad the extent and character of division of labor. [26]¹¹

¹⁰ According to Elliot, Marx tended to perceive property and control as "coalescent" (even if he knew that already in his time they tended already to be increasingly separated from each other in the large corporations of his time). We agree with this interpretation.

¹¹ Elliot also quotes Marx, showing that he explicitly stated that means of production had to be "tranformed (at least in the first stage) into state property" (Marx 1932:181) and "united cooperative societies are to regulate national production upon a common plan." (Marx 1932:504).

We believe that probably Marx himself would have conceded that at least some 20th century (self-declaring) socialist economies did advance to some limited extent towards communism, at least in the areas of strengthening the roles of planning and public ownership and of reigning in the previously unrestrained domination of private property rights.

On the contrary, Marx's judgment would likely have been much more pessimistic with respect to the latter two dimensions. There is little doubt that Marx would have concluded that all 20th century forms of socialist-oriented societies (including presently-existing China and Vietnam) did not go further than the stage of (quite) crude communism, and would probably have agreed with Elliot's pessimistic statement: "whatever its orientation concerning market vs. planning... crude communism sustains important elements of both alienation and exploitation, and is sharply contrasted with Marx's own vision of 'true communism'" (Elliot 1978:36-37).

Yet, it is also likely that Marx would have considered this fact as unsurprising, taking into account the absolute, definitive, and probably teleological character of his concept of communism, on one hand, and the very long-term perspective typical of his own concept of history. However, a naïve hope that time will finally solve all problems would be unwarranted. In this respect, we also mention (without further exploring its very complex and crucial implications) another interesting observation of Elliot, who points towards a lingering aporia in Marx's very concept of communism. Elliot identifies an intrinsic tension/ambiguity in Marx's thought, stemming from his

juxtaposition of two key properties, not manifestly consistent, of socialist economies:...(1)" freely associated" groups of workers in control of production decisions and the allocation of labor; and (2) the formation and implementation of a central plan...to substitute for the "anarchy" of the market....Clearly, the first of these two properties have decentralized, while the second contains potentially centralized, implications. [39]

A Methodological Clarification

In the previous sub-sections we adopted an historical/philological approach, briefly reviewing the meaning and implications of a few key Marxian concepts – such as those of MP, SEF, socialism, and communism - according to Marx himself. Of course, in doing so we had no pretension whatsoever at being exhaustive, nor actually original. ¹² On the contrary, we see it as an introductory exercise, that can now allow us to move to a methodologically different kind of approach (see below, Section 2). We adopt this approach, that is to some extent a formal and logical (albeit non-mathematical) one, in order to utilize some of these Marxian concepts in a partly innovative way. Our hope is to provide a modest, preliminary, and of course debatable contribution to the understanding of a major topic: the core features, laws of motions, and internal consistency constraints of the only form of socialism that we deem to be sustainable and practically achievable (at least, in a medium- to long-term temporal framework.

In this respect, we would like to stress again that the theoretical firepower we are endowed with in such a vast and intellectually dangerous enterprise is at best only that of dwarfs standing on the shoulders of giants. Only in virtue of this privileged position dwarfs might on occasion be able to see further than giants themselves. This said, we are also aware that, most likely, our argument would not (as a thought experiment) be approved by Marx himself. Possibly, he might be bemused, or even disturbed, by our attempt to venture in those very cookshops of the future he so carefully tried to avoid. 13 Yet, he would also probably concede that, as we are now in that future, somebody should at least try to give some advice on how to cook an acceptable meal. Without need to recur to such a heroic conjecture, we are also aware that our ideas are quite different from those of the majority of Marxian scholars and of socialist and communist militants (with whom we share basic

¹² We believe that our interpretation of such fundamental categories is a rather simple and straightforward one (as far as such adjectives can apply to the ideas of a unique thinker as Marx was). This is not to deny that diverging interpretations are possible, and, of course, perfectly legitimate.

¹³ Marx famously ridiculed the idea that he could be expected to write "receipts... for the cookshops of the future" (Marx 1873).

ethic and humanistic aspirations, as well as much of our theoretical and analytical formation). 14

As will become clear from the remainder of this paper, our argument in fact departs from that of Marx on several grounds, and especially with respect to a key issue: the intrinsically transitional nature of socialism. We see socialism as a sustainable and long-lasting socioeconomic system, that represents a concrete and even urgent opportunity in the reality of the early 21st century.

Eventually, human societies can and should also advance progressively towards transforming into material reality more and more elements of the traditional communist program (see Marx 1875). However, we can presently fathom this process only in very broad conceptual terms.

On one hand, from an objective, "positive" viewpoint (see below, p. 29), there is still a need to keep developing productive forces, thereby reducing the socially necessary labour time. This goal, of course, must be pursued while attaching utmost priority to the harmonious co-existence of humankind with the natural environment – an elementary condition for long-run sustainability. On the other hand, from a subjective and ethical viewpoint, social and humanistic consciousness is to be enhanced, in order to promote the coalescence of a democratic consensus favourable to a less consumerist and fetishistic, and more egalitarian and environment-friendly form of economic development.

Progress along both these avenues cannot be achieved without maintaining a sufficiently ample policy space, that can allow to shift progressively an ever-increasing share of collective wealth towards non-market, needs-based forms of the provision of social and environmental goods and services. In this respect, however, there is an inescapable caveat. There is a necessary condition that must be respected for such a virtuous process to be practicable and

sustainable: the enlargement of the policy space cannot ignore the objective constraints imposed by the operation of the law of value, as doing so would unravel the basic laws of motion of socialism itself. As will become clear in the following section, this approach implies the need to reconsider the very category of mode of production, and a partly novel theoretical approach centered around the concept of socialism as a socioeconomic formation, rather than as a full-fledged mode of production per se.

Market Socialism as the Only Sustainable Form of Socialism in Our Time

The Modern Mode of Production (MMP)

Our argument in this section begins with the following working assumption, which we regard as axiomatic: historical experience has shown that the high and ever-increasing degree of complexity of modern economies, linked as it is to continuous and stratified knowledge accumulation on the part of numerous and diverse agents, does not allow for simplistic or over-centralized solutions to the core problem of governance. Soviet-style command economies proved to be too rigid to be able to absorb from outside, internally generate and diffuse innovations in a satisfactory manner, due inter alia to the empirical and conceptual contradictions intrinsic to the very attempt to build one socialist country as an island in the midst of a capitalistic world. Twentyfirst century socialist countries should realize that, under the objective conditions likely to prevail in the present historical era – to be seen (à la Braudel) as a period of long duration - the role of coordinating ordinary economic activities must be entrusted to the market to a large extent. Therefore, in a mediumto long-term historical perspective, only one mode of production is sustainable, which we propose to call simply and neutrally the "modern mode of production" (MMP). In the framework of the MMP, however, several alternative forms can develop as a result of various factors. 15

¹⁴ A fortiori, our reasoning and goals are light-years away from those of the innumerable apologists of capitalism, and especially of its ultimate and most dangerous brand, neoliberalism. Contrary to ourselves, these sycophants incessantly repeat the mantra "no other world is possible." Therefore, it is absolutely vital for them to argue that if it might superficially appear that something different from capitalism can (and, to some extent, does) exist, and even work passably well, it cannot be anything different from a primitive, lousy, and ephemeral way to achieve the same old shit.

¹⁵ The most important of these factors is class struggle, focused on the control of the power relations determining the extraction, appropriation and utilization of the surplus value.

Our approach implies to consider all major contemporary social and economic systems as different forms of the MMP. As opposed to the previous ones, this mode of production is critically based on the production of surplus value, capital accumulation, and technical progress, as well as on the pervasive role of market exchanges/relations: ¹⁶ these elements, if a number of ancillary conditions are satisfied, allow for a continuous increase in per capita production for a relatively long period of time. ¹⁷

The concept of MMP can be applied both at the global and at the national level, but must be understood very differently in the two opposite contexts. At the global level, each historical phase is marked by the prevalence of one specific type of MMP.¹⁸ So far, each phase has been fundamentally capitalist in nature, and the present one is also strongly characterized by the categories of imperialism and global quasi-monopolistic competition. Nationstates' degrees of freedom in the area of economic and social policies are obviously constrained, but not completely negated, by the international economic and political forces of global capitalism. As a result, at the national level, a limited but significant range of different variants of the MMP can coexist, at least for a certain period of time. In this paper we focus precisely on one of these variants.

Positive and Normative Characteristics of Social and Economic Systems

National forms of the MMP differ among themselves to varying degrees. One convenient way to concep-

tualize these differences consists in classifying their social and economic systems ¹⁹ according to their position in a multidimensional space, determined by vectors that describe key structural economic and social characteristics. ²⁰ Such characteristics have both positive and normative ²¹ components, and can be quantified *strictu sensu* only in some cases, while in others they can be evaluated only tentatively, on the basis of heuristic assessments that are arbitrary to some extent.

Socioeconomic vectors belong to two categories. The vectors of the first category represent structural features of social production relations, and are thus essentially positive in nature. One of the most important vectors describes the relative weight of the State and of the market respectively in regulating economic activities ²² – taking for granted that the space of possible states of the world excludes the extremes "no state" and "no market" as they are not sustainable. Another structural vector describes the distribution of the ownership of the main means of production. A third vector, strictly related to, yet not

19 Our attempt can be compared to Elliot's "typology of alternative

economic systems" discussed in section 1 (see Elliot 1978).

isolate itself from social ethics, and should rather ultimately be seen as hierarchically subordinated to the latter (see Yuengert 2000).

¹⁶ The extraction of surplus on the part of dominant classes, of course, has been in existence long before: it presents different characteristics in the MMP, as in this mode of production the generation of surplus value is linked to the existence of a labour market, to which workers participate as formally free agents.

¹⁷ In the long run, all presently-existing forms of MMP are likely to prove not sustainable, due mainly to environmental and entropic considerations, unless they are profoundly reformed. In the very long run, it is also likely that the survival of human civilization might eventually require radically revolutionary changes in production and exchange relations, changes of such a quantitative and qualitative magnitude as to imply a transition to a radically new and diverse mode of production, which can be thought of as an authentic and mature form of socialism, or as a far more advanced stage of communism that has finally superseded that of crudeness. However, at the present stage we can hardly envisage its concrete features, and therefore we must leave this task to the future generations.

¹⁸ With the term "phase" we refer to one of several stages of development of the same mode of production.

However, Elliot's goal was that of interpreting Marx's own view in an epistemologically correct fashion. Conversely, our approach, while inspired by the Marxian theoretical tradition, is a diverse and independent one, stressing the elements of continuity rather than those of reciprocal negation between different socioeconomic formations. 20 According to such a mathematical metaphor, most of these vectors are to be imagined as continuous. Of course, the continuity of the vectors and the "density" of the multidimensional space containing all theoretically possible features of socioeconomic systems has nothing to do with the advisability or not of adopting certain forms of political action (revolutionary vs. reformist/gradualist) on the part of political organizations trying to modifying the existing socioeconomic setting in a socialist direction, in the context of a concrete historical situation. 21 The distinction between positive and normative enquiry (i.e. between focusing on "what is" and on "what should be" respectively) is an ancient one, and has its roots in Aristotle. This useful methodological distinction, however, cannot be translated into practice in a fully dichotomic way in the realm of social sciences. We basically agree with Yuengert on the need to avoid " any unwarranted imperialism of economics," and to accept with some humility - without prejudice for its relative methodological autonomy - that economic science cannot

²² This vector is positive by itself, as it describes objective features of the world as it is. However, the way different observers assess it is inevitably influenced by ex ante normative principles, as is always the case in the realm of social sciences. Actually, liberals (in the European sense of the word) and conservatives consider a very minor role of the State as an intrinsic virtue by itself. Socialists, on the contrary, tend to see public intervention in the economic sphere as a potential tool to achieve goals such as rational planning, social justice, and environmental sustainability.

identical to the second one, identifies the class(es), or social group(s) controlling the economy as whole, and determining the joint process of accumulation and technical progress. Other vectors could be identified, referring to other, less crucial positive aspects of a country's economic and social reality.

The vectors of the second category are normative, and represent the degree of achievement of intermediate (e.g., GDP growth, energy consumption, speed of technical change) and final goals (such as poverty elimination, universal satisfaction of basic needs, equity in opportunities, an ethically and socially satisfactory income distribution, environment protection).

In this context, each country's socioeconomic system can be identified by a given point in the multidimensional space described above. Many of both the positive and normative characteristics described by the corresponding vectors can be seen as determining a higher or lower level of "socialisticity" of a country's specific version of MMP. Necessarily, even the categories which might allow to define a country's socioeconomic system "more socialist" than that of another country are arbitrary to a large extent, and not all observers can necessarily be expected to agree on their choice. Nevertheless, it is likely that the majority would accept two very schematic criteria, each one valid only in its own sphere (positive and normative respectively).

The positive criterion is simple: the more relevant the socioeconomic role of the State, seen holistically as a synonymous for the public domain as a whole 23 — both in the area of the organization and coordination of production and exchange (along a market vs planning) and in the area of property relations (along the continuum of private vs social ownership and control of the means of production)²⁴ — the more a country's system is "socialistic." Under the normative criterion, the degree of "socialisticity" of a SEF is directly cor-

related to the effective and measurable achievement of the traditional and relatively less traditional goals of the international socialist movement, such as low social and economic inequality (both in terms of possibilities and of outcomes), the universal satisfaction of basic needs, environmental sustainability, and the like. However, it is conceptually also linked to the degree of achievement of less measurable social and political goals, such as individual freedom, workers' self-organization and direct control on their own labour and production conditions, the superseding of the division between manual and intellectual work, and the progressive overcoming of workers' alienation.²⁵

Taking into account that social production and exchange relations are extremely complex, and that history itself is often contradictory in nature, there is not necessarily a bi-univocal correspondence between the positive and the normative sphere respectively. Yet, the two are significantly related to each other. The relationship between systemic structure and economic and social outcomes can be seen as a specific form of the more general relationship between means and ends in the historical-social domain.

Market Socialism as a Distinct Socioeconomic Formation

According to our conceptual framework, different countries separated by a distance not inferior to an arbitrarily established threshold in the multidimensional space described above, can be considered as belonging to different subsets of the quasi-universal MMP.²⁶ Utilizing (with some caution) the Marxian

²³ The "public domain" is meant to encompass, in the broadest possible fashion, not only the central government, but also local governments, cooperatives, collectives, and all other forms of non-private organizations and associations.

²⁴ See above, p. 24. Our positive criterion embodies Elliot's two first "major organizational features," those which we considered more "objective" and "economic" in nature with respect to the latter two.

²⁵ Our normative criterion broadly embodies Elliot's third and fourth major organizational features.

²⁶ It might be argued that there is still at least one country, North Korea, which features a mode of production radically different from the MMP. However, we believe that the North Korean "model" is not historically sustainable, apart from being obviously not defendable from a normative viewpoint. The extraordinary surviving capacity of Cuba, on the other hand, shows at the same time the maximum potential and the inevitable limitations of a socioeconomic system which is still based at the core on traditional command economy principles. In our view, Cuba's state socialism is not sustainable either in its present form, and therefore is in need of deep structural reforms (see Gabriele 2010). To a considerable extent, this appears to be also the view of Cuba's leadership, that — battling severe internal resistances and external constraints—is trying to carry out a comprehensive program of structural reforms.

term discussed in Section 1, we call these subsets "socioeconomic formations" (SEFs). In our view, the presently-existing Chinese economic and social system (and the Vietnamese one, that shares with it several structural characteristics) can be considered as historically new and diverse socioeconomic formations with respect to the typical capitalist one prevailing in most other countries.²⁷

We also argue that the term "market socialism" (MS) is at least partially apt to define them, with the big caveat that the word "socialism" must be interpreted a weak, strictly positive sense. 28 In this respect, however, it is important to specify that the key priority of our argument is to defend the possibility of existence of MS as a distinct socioeconomic formation at a theoretical level. Only secondarily (from a logical vantage point) we also argue that realworld China and Vietnam do in fact represent crude historical realizations of MS. Among other things, this perspective allows us to consider as a realistic eventuality that in other parts of the world (such as, in particular, Cuba, and possibly, other countries in Latin America) other practical realizations of the MS model progressively take place and eventually consolidate, even in a relatively short-term scenario. Clearly, they will differ in many ways from those of China and Vietnam, and hopefully they will be less imperfect, especially in the domain of social policies.

The structural feature which allows to significantly differentiate MS from the standard capitalist model is as follows:

The State ²⁹ is endowed with a high degree of direct and indirect control of the means of production, and, as a result, social production relations are

different from those prevalent in capitalism. This statement implies that, at a lower level of abstraction, a "market socialist" and a capitalist system differ essentially in two key aspects. The first one is that in a market socialist system the role of the State is both quantitatively larger and qualitatively superior, thereby allowing the public sector as a whole to exert an overall strategic control over the country's development path, especially in crucial areas such as setting the economy-wide rate of the accumulation and determining the speed and direction of technical progress. The second difference is that in a market socialist system, although capitalists endowed with private ownership rights on some means of production do exist, they are not strong enough to constitute a hegemonic and dominant social class, as it happens in "normal" capitalist countries. [Gabriele 2010:326]

The difference between capitalism and MS is a significant and meaningful at the macroeconomic and systemic levels, but does not necessarily manifest itself at lower levels, those which are subjectively relevant for individual human beings. Even in a purely theoretical dimension, this implies that the minimum necessary conditions for the existence of what we call market socialism are far less ambitious and much more modest that those that that Marx and most of his followers would have considered adequate to justify the use of the very term "socialism." The most evident reason is that under forms of MS (or, at least, in its most crude and underdeveloped stages) individual workers face social production relations which are essentially market-determined, and therefore are not subjectively different from capitalistic ones.

Turning to what is practically happening in the real world, it is (unfortunately) evident that this is in fact the case in China and Vietnam. ³⁰ Still, in our view, in spite of the substantial persistence of workers'

²⁷ Depending on the magnitude of the imaginary threshold referred to above, other distinct socioeconomic formation could be identified, such as, for instance, the Scandinavian social-democratic model, or the peculiar Singaporean form of quasi-State capitalism.

²⁸ In a way, the term "market socialism" could be seen as redundant. Socialism needs "for definition" a market, since the exchange value does not disappear in this MP, that is supposed to be a transitional one. 29 The term" State" we refer not only to the central bureaucratic machine, but to all public institutions, including the most peripheral ones. Thus, a strong role for the State in the economy is to be seen exclusively in the public-private continuum, and does not imply a higher or lower level of centralization. In China, for instance, provinces enjoy a high degree of autonomy.

³⁰ It can be pointed out, however, that - notwithstanding the boom of the private sector – the absolute majority of Chinese and Vietnamese workers is still constituted by independent farmers, workers of SOEs and other public enterprises, and civil servants. These social groups are not subject to capitalist exploitation in the Marxian sense. This argument, however, cannot be pushed very far, as the existence of MS is not by itself predicated to any arbitrary quantitative characteristic (such as, for instance, the relative share of total employment generated by public enterprises).

alienation under the presently-existing form of MS, it is a fact that the role of key agent of the accumulation and economic development process (as well as of holder of political and military power) is played by the Party, not by the bourgeois class. The Party is an organization which does not privately own the main means of production from a legal point of view. Yet, it exerts a strong form of strategic control on them through a network of public and semi-public bodies, in the context of a complex, multi-layered system of property rights.

We warn readers that this point is a central one in our argument. There is little doubt that the Party did control directly the means of production during pre-reform times. However, nowadays, to gauge that the Party maintains such strategic control on the economy, on one hand, and has not transformed itself into a new form of capitalistic bourgeoisie, on the other hand, constitutes a value judgment proceeding from a holistic assessment.

Again, we stress that our concept of MS is a purely positive one, centred on the economic role of the public sector. It acknowledges the seriousness of real-world social and environmental problems and contradictions, and ignores the crucial (albeit not strictly "economic") issues of workers' participation, alienation, and democracy. At this stage, some readers might be tempted (understandably) to argue that the concept of MS is just a fig leaf covering another kind of animal, and a very old one indeed: State capitalism. The two concepts can in fact be seen as rather close to each other.³³

However, we prefer the term MS, for two main reasons. First, the term State capitalism has a long

history, and it has been applied with various meanings to many socioeconomic formations which are quite different from contemporary China and Vietnam (from the USSR, to the US, and again to contemporary Russia³⁴). It is thus bound to be interpreted in confused and contradictory ways. Second, even taking for granted the pursuit of scientific objectivity on the part of all honest social scientists, it is fair to acknowledge that the language itself is not a totally neutral tool, and the choice of one term over another does imply to some extent a form of value judgment, as we mentioned above. The choice of the term MS suggests the underlying assumption that China and Vietnam cannot be seen (at least, for the time being) as fully de-linked from their past socialist history, and that they should rather be considered as relatively sustainable socioeconomic formations. (see Schettino 2006:1) To our view, such socioeconomic formations do contain elements of socialism and, more importantly, embody significant potentialities, which might allow them to evolve towards a superior and less contradictory socialist direction. Other observers, of course, might not share our value judgment, which does not, in any case, exclude the opposite eventuality (i.e., an overall irreversible capitalistic degeneration, which might eventually become unstoppable some time in the future).³⁵

The MS, in theory, can allow to overcome an intrinsic drawback of capitalism: the potential contradiction between savings and investments which is caused by the appropriation in financial form of the socially-generated surplus value on the part of an extremely tiny social class – the bourgeoisie. This class is not endowed with effective internal coordination mechanisms to undertake long-term key economic decisions (such as the determination of the rate of capital accumulation), and each of its members has little alternative from relying on the myopic signals stemming from the market. ³⁶

³¹ This point is controversial, but it appears to be accepted by a significant and growing number of independent observers. There is little doubt, however, that the role of the State in China's economy, and that of large State-controlled industrial enterprises in particular, has been growing in strength during the present decade. See, among others, Morel 2006; Naughton 2007, 2008; Dodson 2008; Li and Xia 2008; Mayberry, Wang and Suh 2006; Haggard and Huang 2008; Gabriele 2010.

³² Notwithstanding the severity of well-known degenerative phenomena such as corruption and the collusion between local party leaders and with private enterprises.

³³ Actually, for instance, one of us has used the term State capitalism to refer to some concrete aspects of China's economic reality: "China's modus operandi (in the global geopolitical/economic arena characterized by latent inter-imperialistic conflicts) would be hard to define as anything different from State capitalism" (Schettino F., 2006).

³⁴ See, for instance, Trotzky 1936; Cliff 1974; Grinder and Hagel 1977; Ilarionov 2006.

³⁵ See, for instance, Gabriel 2006.

³⁶ Modern, large, semi-monopolistic transnational corporations enjoy a significant and increasing degree of market power and ample planning capabilities. These advantages allow them to alleviate the negative consequences of those exclusively market-based, atomized investment decisions typical of less advanced forms of capitalism, but only to a point.

Conversely, under the presently-existing form of MS, the State has the capability to affect and determine the rate of investment, to an extent which is significantly larger than under typical capitalist conditions. This advantage is made possible by the availability of a vast array of tools for controlling directly and indirectly the production and utilization of the socially-generated surplus value, and by the absence of a properly structured and politically hegemonic national bourgeois class.

In the present epoch, characterized by the availability of sophisticated and ever more powerful calculation devices, such a direct and indirect strategic control on the main means of production and on the *loci* of generation and reproduction of technical knowledge enables (at least in principle) the State to formulate and implement an advanced form of planning, focusing on the speed and the qualitative characteristics of the accumulation process. This potentiality is the key historical element of superiority of MS with respect to capitalism, seen from a theoretical viewpoint.

Of course, potentiality is not synonymous to necessity. Even under MS, the State might fail to exploit properly its long-term planning capabilities, or use them only in an inadequate and/or distorted fashion. Actually, in our view, the potential advantages of MS have been exploited so far in real-world China and Vietnam only to a limited extent. Nevertheless, in our view, the extraordinary dynamism of the Chinese economy (and, to a slightly lesser extent, of the Vietnamese one) is mainly attributable to the structural characteristics of MS. In sum, MS allows in theory (and, gauging from the last three decades' experience, also in practice) to achieve more effectively that under a standard capitalist regime a key intermediate goal: the rapid development of productive forces. This goal, which is particularly important for less advanced countries, is synthetically measured expost, in a notoriously inadequate fashion, by the GNP³⁷

rate of growth.³⁸

Moreover, without going beyond the frontier of theoretical possibilities offered by the structural characteristics of MS, a high degree of public control on most of the surplus potentially implies relevant distributive and, more generally, normative advantages in the realm of the rational planning of an important portion of final consumption. MS, in fact, potentially allows to minimize the superfluous consumption of those privileged classes which, under capitalism, capture non-labour incomes, and to earmark the corresponding resources towards public and/or social consumption. As a result, the degree of satisfaction of basic needs through public, non-market supply of social services could be distinctively and structurally higher than under standard capitalistic conditions. Both from the side of production and from that of consumption, a similar line of thought would suggest an analogous superiority of MS in minimizing negative environmental externalities.

Unfortunately, it is plain that this second set of potentialities of MS is far from being exploited nowadays in real-world China and Vietnam. Marketoriented reforms generated new class contradictions, which were nonexistent (or anyway of very minor importance) during the command economy era, when paradoxically Mao theorized the intensification of class struggle in the post-revolutionary period. 39 Such contradictions have not been even officially acknowledged until a few years ago and, in absence of an adequate subjective intervention of political power, they have gone progressively out of control – at least until the early 2000s. The Chinese Communist Party (CCP) itself manifested serious forms of opportunistic degeneration, and economic policies were vitiated by an excessive and myopic priority

³⁷ The awareness of the inadequacy of (conventionally measured) GNP growth, mainly because this indicator ignores or seriously underestimates environmental costs, is widespread in China. Due to one of the paradoxes typical of the present phase of great and fast changes, statistical progresses towards a realistic estimate of the "green GNP" are more advanced in China than in most industrialized countries. In practical terms, huge investments are being earmarked towards last and next generation environmental-friendly technologies. So far, however, such theoretical and practical advances are insufficient

to rein in China's huge environmental problems.

³⁸ Our focus is far from the debate on the ultimate advisability (or not) of economic growth per se. However, broadly speaking, we believe that there is nothing wrong by itself in pursuing growth and development goals, provided growth is seen as a progressive widening of technical possibilities apt to satisfy legitimate human needs, among which an adequate and sustainable relationship with the environment figures prominently. This approach is quite different from endorsing an indefinite and uncontrolled expansion of commodities production, thereby progressively destroying the natural environment, as it typically happens where capitalistic relations of production and exchange prevail.

³⁹ A similar set of class contradictions is emerging in Vietnam as well.

accorded to quantitative growth. Notwithstanding the extraordinary improvement of living standards for most Chinese people, the Party underplayed the worsening of the welfare and livelihood conditions for consistent, underprivileged groups of the population, especially in poor rural areas. More importantly, the CCP also underestimated the gravity of the relative impoverishment of the majority of the people, as an inevitable consequence of to the excessive growth in the incomes of new, dynamic, but still relatively small social groups.

The perverse spiral towards an ever-worsening distribution of incomes went virtually out of hand, essential public services such as health (and, to a lesser extent, primary and secondary education) were partially privatized and ended up in a parlous state, 40 and pollution reached alarming proportions. The latter problem is, in the long term the most severe and intractable one. It stems largely from an array of objective constraints, and admits no easy fixes. On the other hand, the quasi-destruction of China's and Vietnam's previously excellent 41 basic public services during the 1980s and 1990s has little objective, economic justification. Actually, it could have been avoided by investing just a small fraction of the newly-created wealth, and stands in contrast with the positive experience in this area of a number of both socialist and capitalist countries, both developed and developing. 42 Therefore, the decay of public health in China and Vietnam is mainly the ominous consequence of a major step backward in the sphere of the

superstructure (i.e., the prevalence of blind market fundamentalist drive), a major ideological and policy mistake that has eventually been admitted in rather frank terms by the present Chinese leadership. 43

In sum, the presently-existing form of MS has substantially failed so far to translate the achievement of an intermediate and instrumental goal – GNP growth – into final social and "humanistic" goals (where the latter is a series of reasonable objectives, which are not class-based but of crucial importance for humankind as a whole, the main one being establishing an adequate and sustainable relationship between the sphere of human activities and that of the natural environment).⁴⁴

⁴⁰ This stark statement refers mainly to the severe degradation of their very public nature, related to the crucial goal of assuring to everybody non market-based, universal and egalitarian access to health and education (see, among others, Gabriele and Schettino 2008a,b). Semi-privatization and marketization of public services, moreover, produced (not differently, in this case, from what happens in capitalist countries) other evils, such as loss of economies of scale, perverse profit-oriented oversupply, and corruption.

⁴¹ Of course, relatively to the very modest degree of development of production forces in Maoist China.

⁴² Cuba, a socialist developing country that has been performing quite poorly in broad economic terms due to an array of endogenous and exogenous factors, has managed to maintain and even enhance its public health and education systems under extremely negative circumstances—even if its state socialist model is probably not ultimately sustainable (see above, Note 26). The same can be said of many developed and even developing capitalist countries which, while been spared Cuba's unique hardship, were far from resembling economic dragons and experienced noticeable social regression trends during the last quarter of the 20th century, such as for instance Italy and Costa Rica.

⁴³ In a major and well-publicized 2005 report, China's Ministry of Health stated that "The decisive factor for the success in the health system during the planned economy period is that government plays the dominant role....In general economic activities, the disadvantages of letting government play the dominant role in planned economy system are serious. However, in health sector, due to its characteristics, the dominant role of the government is absolutely necessary...China's health system has changed greatly and made great progress since the reform and opening up, but the problems are also serious. Overall speaking, the reform was unsuccessful... Reform has made service basically provided through commercialized and market-oriented mode. The cause of the problems roots in that the commercialized and market-oriented development violated the basic requirements and basic rule of the development of health system. ... One of the problems is contradiction of the nature of public product of health service and the commercialized and market-oriented service mode. Different from the general consumptions, quite a lot of health services have the nature of public goods or quasi-public goods." (Ministry of Health 2005). In the second half of the 2000s, after an unusually long debate, a major public sector-centred plan to strengthen the health sector was launched. Public expenditure on health increased hugely, both in absolute and in relative terms (the share of health expenditure on GDP rose from 1.76% in 2006 to 1.91 in 2008, and kept on an upward trend thereafter (see China Digital Times 2008, Trading Economics 2010). Yet, public expenditure on health in China is still too low with respect to what would constitute a socially optimum level.

⁴⁴ The CCP has acknowledged the need for a deep restructuring of the presently-existing form of MS in China. Aiming to build a "harmonious society," the Party claims to be ready to frame its present and future economic policies attaching a higher degree of priority to the satisfaction of basic needs. (including health, see above, Note 48), the improvement of income distribution, the lessening of regional imbalances, and the protection of the environment, and a lower degree of priority to GDP growth. These good intentions have been partly reflected in the practical investment choices of the 11th plans Five-Year Plan (2006-2010), and more so in those of the 12th Plan (2011-2015) (see People's Daily 2005, 2010; Fan 2006; China.Org 2010).

Other Interpretations of Contemporary China

A Radical Critique

The literature on China's socio-economic system is immense. Most of it is constituted by non-theoretical analyses carried out by mainstream economists. There are, however, some studies that, from different viewpoints, try to interpret contemporary China utilizing fully or in part the Marxian conceptual approach, and hence categories such as capitalism, exploitation, socialism and market socialism. ⁴⁵ In this section, we briefly refer to some of these latter contributions.

A minority of observers interpreter China's model in a way that is quite similar to ours (see, for instance, Sheying 2003). Most don't. Among the left-wing critics of the China "model," the most authoritative are possibly Hart-Landsberg and Burkett (2004, 2005, 2010). Their core thesis is that the "identification by progressives with China and its "socialist market economy" represents not only a serious misreading of the Chinese reform experience but, even more important, a major impediment to the development of the theoretical and practical understandings required to actually advance socialism in China and elsewhere" (Hart-Landsberg and Burkett 2004).

Hart-Landsberg and Burkett's position is clearly diametrically opposite to ours. ⁴⁶ By itself, it is of course legitimate. In this paper, we have repeatedly argued that, from a methodological and epistemological viewpoint, the interpretation of such a complex phenomenon as that constituted by contemporary China is necessarily subjective to a large extent – even if the observer tries her best to apply a scientific analytical approach, within the limits to which this is possible in the domain of social sciences. A fortiori,

this caveat applies to the use of terms, or labels, that are so politically, historically, ideologically and even emotionally charged such as socialism. ⁴⁷ Thus, it is not surprising the fact that many (probably, most) progressive analysts hail China's impressive advancements in terms of material satisfaction of the people's basic needs, but see China's model as capitalist (or state-capitalist), rather than market-socialist (see, for instance, Smith 1997, Yasheng 2008).

This said, it is (in our view) clear that Landsberg's and Burkett's argument is predicated on a number of unsustainable interpretations of distinct aspects of China's reality and of statements that are squarely contradicted by plain stylized facts. For instance, the authors write, "China's market reforms have led not to socialist renewal but rather to full-fledged capitalist restoration, including growing foreign economic domination." They also argue that "the weakening of central planning led to ever more reliance on market and profit incentives, which in turn encouraged the privileging of private enterprises over state enterprises and, increasingly, of foreign enterprises and markets over domestic ones."

The latter statement is contradicted by abundant evidence showing the growing strength and dominant position of China's large public industrial enterprises (see the Appendix). With respect to the former statement, to talk about a China increasingly dominated by foreigners at a time when its economic and political influence is growing exponentially (and one industrialized capitalist country after the other is forced to come cap in hand to beg China to bring in some badly needed capital, or to buy part of its foreign debt) is very weird. Actually, only in one area it could have been plausible until recently to argue that China's economy was significantly dependent on foreign (industrial) capital: exports. Foreign TNCs have traditionally contributed a very large share of China's booming exports. Of course, this fact by itself is far from meaning that China's economy as whole was "dominated" by foreigners. However, as China's domestic enterprises have become progres-

⁴⁵ There is another very different stream of theoretical literature literature on market socialism, most of which was written long time before the Chinese government began to implement the first market-oriented agricultural reforms in the late 1970s (see, for instance, Lange 1936). Due to its complexity and its only indirect relation with our main focus, we do not discuss it in this paper.

⁴⁶ Landsberg and Burkett refer to the work of several other Marxist scholars who substantially share their view (see Hinton 1990, Meisner 1996, Weil 1996, Greenfield and Leong 1997, Smith 1997, Cheng 1999, Foley 2002, Yufan 2002, Landsberg's and Burkett's book was also praised by other renowned Marxists such as Minqi Li, Harry Magdoff and John Bellamy Foster (see MRP 2010).

⁴⁷ We would like to support our cautious approach quoting the famous answer of Zhou Enlai when asked to evaluate the impact of the French revolution in 1971 (too early to say). Unfortunately, it has been demonstrated that Zhou was misunderstood, as he was actually referring to the 1968 students' riots in Paris (see Financial Times 2011).

sively stronger both in absolute and in relative terms (see Appendix), they are now forecasted to overtake foreign-invested companies as the dominant exporters from China since 2012 (see EIU 2011).

More reasonably, albeit in an excessively pessimist tone, the authors identify what they see as the "considerable costs of the pro-market transition (rising unemployment, economic insecurity, inequality, intensified exploitation, declining health and education conditions, exploding government debt, and unstable prices)." Yet, there is a lot of confusion of truths, half truths, and false statements in these few lines. Unemployment has risen only during relatively short periods, and on average has not constituted a major social problem. Conversely, the increase in inequality is undeniable, and in our view (along with deteriorating health care) it has been the most negative consequence of China's reforms. Economic insecurity increased for many – although in context where the most extreme form of economic insecurity, death caused by starvation, became a relic of the past, an achievement that unfortunately cannot be claimed by pre-reform Chinese socialism⁴⁸ - and some workers in the private sectors did find themselves in a situation of increased exploitation, albeit accompanied by rapidly increasing real wages. Access to public health and education services worsened dramatically in the 1980s and 1990, yet major improvements in income, nutrition, housing, and other welfare-related domains allowed basic health and education indicators to keep improving. It is, however, true that progress in the crucial area of health was severely hampered by the dismantling of the "system of rural clinics and 'barefoot doctors' (paramedics)...in favor of a fee-for-service system," that Lippitt (2005) rightly defines as "shameful"⁴⁹ and "unnecessary." In the 2000s, policies in this area changed and public health in particular was strengthened (see the Appendix). Finally, in comparison with most developed and developing capitalist countries, it is very odd to argue that China's growth has been

characterized by a particularly alarming level of government debt or by extraordinarily unstable prices.

In a roundtable debate on their book that took place in 2005, Hart-Landsberg and Burkett restated their main argument, but also incurred in a still more blatant factual error, talking about "stagnant or declining real wages" (Hart-Landsberg and Burkett 2005:600). The opposite, of course, is true (see the Appendix). More recently, Hart-Landsberg and Burkett published a new version of their book (Hart-Landsberg and Burkett 2010) In spite of the mounting evidence to the contrary that has been accumulating in the late 2000s - including, among others, the myriads of publications documenting China's rapid productivity growth, technological advances, and massive infrastructural investments 50 - they still see China embarked in a "foreign-dominated development path," in which growth has "not been due to efficiency gains but rather to deliberate erosion of the infrastructure.... The transition to the market has been based on rising unemployment, intensified exploitation, declining health and education services, exploding government debt, and unstable prices."

The discussion generated by their intellectual "provocation," however, was very interesting. Some of the participants supported their argument. Others, while criticizing the major shortcomings of China's social policies, acknowledged the impressive gains made in terms of poverty reduction and in many other areas, and - more importantly - argued that there was not (according to both theory and logic) an inevitable trade off between economic growth and social progress under market socialism. In other words, the weakening of public health and of other essential public services and the excessive increase in income inequalities that took place in China could have been avoided, without substantially hampering economic growth (see Cooper 2005; Lippitt 2005). Of course, it is a well-known characteristic of economic science that it cannot be based on rig-

⁴⁸ The famine largely caused by the great Leap Forward caused tens of millions of deaths.

⁴⁹ We agree with Lippitt also in considering that such a major policy blow was also "unnecessary," and that it was not (as Hart-Landsberg and Burkett maintain) an inevitable consequence, or corollary, of market-oriented reforms in agriculture.

⁵⁰ See, among others, Wan 1995; Wu 1995; Hu and Khan 1997; Felipe, 2005; Holz, C. 2002, 2006; Felipe and McCombie, 2002, 2010; Gabriele 2002, 2008, 2010; Heytens and Harm. 2003; He and Qin. 2004; Gabriele and Khan 2008, 2010; Kui-Wai Li and Yun 2007; Springut, Schlaikjer and Chen 2011; EIU 2011; NBR-MST 2010; NBR 2011.

orous counterfactual scenarios. Taking into account this inevitable constraint, we do agree with this interpretation.

The Debate on Arrighi's *Adam Smith in Beijing* and the Primitive Accumulation Hypothesis

Another interesting debate followed the publication of a major book by the late Giovanni Arrighi (Arrighi 2007). In general terms, our approach is completely different from that of Arrighi, and in particular does not rest on the acceptance of his interesting but debatable interpretation of the longterm evolution of the relationship between China and the West since the Middle Ages, which in turn is theoretically based (to a large extent) on an original reading of Smith (1976). However, our view of the structural differences between capitalism and market socialism has elements in common with (although is not identical to) that proposed by Arrighi in the last part of his book. Arrighi saw "the recent rise of China as a progressive development" (Campling 2010), and argued that the presently-existing Chinese economy is a "non-capitalist market economy" rooted both in China's ancient economic history and in its more recent revolutionary tradition. In the words of one of his harshest critics, Arrighi's argument that China is a "non-capitalist market economy" rests on the "relation between the power of the state and the power of capital" (Pradella 2010), rather than on the detailed analysis of specific production relations among different social groups. Arrighi also argued that China has achieved "accumulation without dispossession." Therefore "so long as the principle of equal access to land continues to be recognized and implemented in China.... In spite of the spread of market exchanges in the pursuit of profit... the nature of Chinese development is not necessarily capitalist" (Arrighi 2007:24). Another similarity between our argument and that of Arrighi is his insistence on the provisional nature of his own interpretation of China's system, and on the necessity to re-think the meaning of basic categories such as capitalism and socialism themselves: "The social outcome of China's titanic modernization effort remains indeterminate, and for all we know, socialism and capitalism as understood on the basis of past experience may not be the most useful notions

with which to monitor and comprehend the evolving situation" (Arrighi 2007:24).

In a 2010 symposium organized by the historical materialism journal, various Marxist scholars discussed Arrighi's book, praising the greatness of the author's vast intellectual enterprise but disagreeing with most of his ideas.

Introducing the debate, Campling observed that "The main thrust of *Adam Smith in Beijing* is a projection of this line of argument to the possibility of the (re-)emergence of China as a 'non-capitalist' centre in world-development and an end to Western hegemony over the world-system" (Campling 2010:33).

Chase-Dunn praised the depth of Arrighi's work, and agreed with him in seeing China as "a somewhat more progressive force in world-politics than many other powerful actors (Chase-Dunn 2010:49). Yet, Chase-Dunn was skeptical about the non-capitalist nature of China's system, and criticized the environmental impacts of China's development and state repression in Tibet. However, he believed that China could still be on time to evolve towards "a different model of market-state socialism...based on fairly and evenly distributed shares in large firms" (Chase-Dunn 2010:49). He was aware that similar attempts in European formerly socialist countries led only to quick capitalist restoration, but he thought this approach could work in China thanks to its unique characteristics.⁵¹

Panitch criticized Arrighi's view of China as non-capitalist because he saw it as based essentially on China's "active state." Conversely, he maintained that "We do need to give primacy to the category of social relations in developing our understanding of what makes China capitalist today" (Panitch 2010:86).

Pradella correctly reminded that in its first thirty years the People's Republic managed to achieve a respectable rate of economic growth and to accomplish a vast program of industrialization, which in turn served as the basis for the success of the reforms period. However, the core of her intervention is a destructive methodological critique of Arrighi, as

⁵¹ Consistently with the state-centered approach that we develop in this paper, we do not share Dunn's faith in the feasibility of individual share ownership-based market socialism.

Pradella accuses him of ignoring Marx's lesson:

On the basis of partial, fragmentary and, sometimes, downright wrong theoretical and historical reconstructions, [Arrighi] fails to analyse the fundamental economic levers underlying the social transformations that are taking place today. ... The fact that *Adam Smith in Beijing* does not even mention any of the analyses and positions of Marx detailed above is due to the fundamental divergence between the position of Arrighi and that of Marx. [Pradella 2010:107]. 52

Walker conceded that Arrighi was right in arguing that "the world-shaking transformation of China is not a case of neoliberal restructuring, because the central government has kept a firm hand on reform since 1978 and has steered a remarkably consistent, gradualist path. Equally important, China's development has been driven from within, starting from Deng's reforms in the countryside and a new economy built, above all, on rapid industrialisation and the home-market. These crucial insights go against the common misconception that China's transformation has been the product of foreign investment and foreign trade (Walker 2010:67). Yet, like Chase-Dunn, he did not accept "Arrighi's core argument regarding the non-capitalist nature of the post-Mao reform-era, [as]....all the earmarks of a transition are in place, however much they are embedded in the particular characteristics of Chinese civilization" (68). Walker also criticized what he perceived as Arrighi's excessively cautious and optimistic view with respect to four key critical issues: the creation of a working class; the emergence of a capitalist class; the semi-privatisation of urban land; the very nature of the Chinese state. On our part, while obviously disagreeing with Walker on the core point of the capitalist nature of China's system, we acknowledge the relevance of his critical arguments, and especially of the latter two.

Flemming observed that China's market-oriented reforms which gave rise to a new generation of critical approaches "inspired mainly by János Kornai, have been applied to China's transition economy" (Flemming 2010:118), mentioning among others

52 In our view, Pradella's critique of Arrighi is far off the mark.

Nee, Stark, and Putterman, and Herrmann-Pillath. 53 His own view of China's socioeconomic system, while critical, was more problematic than that of other participants. He identified the mergence of capitalism in a specific region (the Pearl River Delta), but he concluded that "there is still no sign that China has been wrenched open by the uncontrolled invasion of Western capitalism." (Flemming 2010:126). He also noticed (rightly, in our view), that "the capacity to allocate and manipulate resource-flows through government intervention has been a hallmark of the reforms; the strategic use of 'market-exchange' to incite 'private initiative' has helped create rapid levels of economic development (Flemming 2010:125-126).

We conclude this brief and far from exhaustive review referring to an interpretative approach that identifies in reforming China (i.e., after 1978) a pattern not dissimilar to that of the primitive accumulation in England described by Marx (see Marx 1967; Holmstrom and Smith 2000; Harvey 2003, 2005; Webber 2008). This bizarre view, among other things, would imply that the mass migration from the countryside towards urban industry since the 1980s⁵⁴ was caused by peasants' dispossession of their land rights and their consequent impoverishment. We show below (see Appendix) that the bulk of China's peasantry was neither disposed of its collective land rights nor absolutely impoverished. The application of the concept of primitive accumulation to contemporary China has been criticized for various theoretical and empirical reasons by several other observers (see Dunn 2007, Perelman 2008, Post 2008).55

⁵³ See Kornai 1992; Nee and Stark 1989; Putterman 1992, 1993, 1995; Herrmann-Pillath 2006a, 2006b.

⁵⁴ Migration waves towards urban areas caused by peasants' dispossession and absolute impoverishment did in fact occur in the past, and are still taking place, in many capitalist developing countries. 55 In a rather metaphorical way, it might be plausible to apply Marx's concept of primitive accumulation to the period of initial accumulation and industrialization after the Revolution (1949-1978, and especially the 1950s and 1960s). However, even in that period, peasants' real income and welfare on average improved, notwithstanding the massive transfer of resources from agriculture towards industry.

Conclusions

The present CCP leadership has recognized in its official political discourse the seriousness of the problems mentioned above, criticizing sometimes explicitly the market-fundamentalist deviations of the recent past. It has also emphatically proclaimed a new and diverse political course, centered around maintaining and perfecting the key dynamic features of the presently-existing form of MS in the sphere of production, but abandoning to a large extent the role of market mechanisms in the fundamental areas of income distribution, provision of social services, and environment protection, in favor of an enhanced role of State intervention. Hu Jintao and his leadership have walked important steps towards a better scientific understanding of their own MS system, and have obtained some practical results, but have so far fallen short from achieving their most ambitious goals. MS is alive and kicking in China, but it still essentially in what we can only hope future social scientists will classify as its first, primitive, severely flawed historical phase. If the theoretical approach proposed in our paper is correct, there is at least the logical possibility that the present Chinese leadership will eventually succeed, and/or that other, more advanced forms of MS will develop in other parts of the world, including the most advanced and industrialized regions, over a period that we can only tentatively imagine as being a very long one.⁵⁶

Such auspicable social and economic changes would be consistent with the spirit of Marx's famous words:

From the standpoint of a higher socio-economic formation, the private property of particular individuals in the earth will appear just as absurd as the private property of one man in other men. Even an entire society, a nation or all simultaneously existing societies taken together are not the owners of the earth. They are simply its possessors, its beneficiaries and have to bequeath it in an improved state to succeeding generations, as *boni patres familias*. [Marx 1894, Ch.46]

Appendix

A Brief Survey of Empirical Evidence

As discussed above, this paper essentially argues that market socialism as a distinct socioeconomic formation can be identified as a consistent theoretical possibility, and that presently-existing China and Vietnam constitute real-life historical manifestations of market socialist systems (even if they are very imperfect, primitive, and underdeveloped ones).

We also stressed that, from an logical viewpoint, the validity of the first point is not dependent on that of the second one. In other words, it would still make sense to speculate about market socialism even while conceding that no practical example of such a thing has ever existed yet on earth. Yet, it is evident that, in practice, if this were in fact the case our entire argument would lose most of its potential interest and relevance. It is also up to us to try to provide at least some evidence showing that China's 57 socioeconomic system is in fact market socialist.

In this respect, however, we remind the readers that our approach identifies the core difference between market socialism and capitalism mainly with respect to categories such as class, state, hegemony, and strategic control on the overall development process. By themselves, they cannot be proved (or disproved) mathematically in a rigorous way. By the way, we believe that this methodological observation also applies to any kind of social, economic, and historical analysis that is carried out at a similar level of abstraction and generalization. No serious scholar, for instance, would argue that capitalism was established in England exactly in 1785, because it was only in that year the share of GDP produced under capitalist conditions exceeded a certain threshold, or because the percentage of the population constituted by proletarians rose over percent.

Notwithstanding this self-evident point, we do believe that, broadly speaking, and at least to some extent, there is a relationship between quality and quantity, although in the domain of social sciences this relationship is not an exact and mathematically demonstrable one. Therefore, in the remainder of

⁵⁶ A necessary, albeit not sufficient condition for the realization of more advanced forms of MS might be constituted by political democracy. We do not explore further this important question.

⁵⁷ We focus on China because it is the largest, most advanced, and most globally significant example of a market socialist country, and also the one on which more information and data are available.

this section we provide some information based on quantitative and econometric evidence on China that supports our argument – that is, that China's socioeconomic system is in fact substantially different from that of capitalist countries, and that it retains enough elements of socialism to justify the use of the term "market socialist." In doing so, we are aware of the purely indicative and tentative nature of our attempt, and also of the fact that the evidence we refer to is far from being exhaustive.

We begin referring to a recent study of one of us on the role of the state in China's industry (Gabriele 2010). The author argues that

the crucial component of China's public industry reform process has been the transformation of many SOEs into state-holding enterprises⁵⁸ A key result of SOEs' reforms has been a major turnaround in profitability... A major role in the public industry reform process has been played by the State-owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission (SASAC). The Commission was created in 2002 to represent central-government shareholder interests in large enterprise groups.... After reorganizations, SASAC-managed firms become joint stock corporations or wholly-owned state corporations.... Public enterprises⁵⁹ are now concentrated in few strategic sectors: energy and power, industrial raw materials, military industry and large-scale machinery-building, transport and telecommunications. Some of these sectors are explicitly reserved to state firms; while in others spontaneous market forces and regulatory discrimination combine to erect very high barriers to entry for private operators. In both cases, however, the government has strived to avoid the creation of monopolies, engineering the emergence of oligopolistic market structures in which typically two or three large public firms compete with each other. [Gabriele 2010:329]

One of the key goals of this reform is to achieve in some sector a certain degree of oligopolistic and managed competition, not to relinquish strategic state control. The idea that the core of China's industry has been privatized is a myth. As Kroeber (2008) starkly points out:

This privatization story exists in defiance of experience: in virtually all industrial sectors state firms play a significant or dominant role.... More than 70% of all China's enterprises are now private, and they have contributed to compensate job losses in the public sector and to net job creation in urban areas. Yet, economic power remains firmly concentrated in the hands of the state.

Similarly, Wildau (2008) affirms that "the state's command over key economic levers is as strong as ever. The state has retreated from highly competitive, low-margin manufacturing and service industries, but has kept tight grip over a wide range of critical industries generating large cash flows."

From a purely quantitative viewpoint, public enterprises still constitute a relevant share of China's industry. By 2007, they were

only 6% of all industrial enterprises, while (domestic) private enterprises were more than half of the total. Yet, (public enterprises) employed over 20% of the industrial workforce, produced almost 30% of the output, detained over 40% of industrial assets and generated 40% of the sector's profits. SOEs proper were less than 3% of all industrial enterprises, producing about 9% of total gross industrial output value ... and employing 8% of the sector's workforce. [Gabriele 2010:336]

The latest edition of China's Statistical Yearbook shows that, between 1998 and 2009, public enterprises as a whole increased production almost fivefold, while halving their labour force. Labour productivity rose almost tenfold (see Table 1).

Table 1. Labour productivity in public industrial enterprises

	1998	2009
Gross Value Industrial Output	33621	146630
Employment Labour	3748	1803
Productivity	8.97	81.33

Source: CSY 2010

⁵⁸ See Li and Putterman 2008.

^{59 &}quot;Public industry in China, as in other countries, is constituted by industrial enterprises which are controlled by non-private legal entities (be them the State, local governments, or groups of workers. The state-controlled sector of industry is constituted by two components: SOEs and state-holding enterprises (mixed enterprises in which the State holds a majority share)." Gabriele 2010:335.

Table 2. Productivity and	profitabilit	y indicators of China's	s industrial enterprises (2007)

	Labour productivity	Assets per worker	Profits per worker	Fixed Capital Profitability Capital/Output	Ratio
State-Holding Mixed Enterprises	88.03	99.17	9.25	0.09	99.17
State-Owned and State- Holding Enterprises	68.67	90.76	6.19	0.07	90.76
Domestic Private Enterprises	41.73	23.66	2.24	0.09	23.66
Foreign Direct Investment Enterprises	54.24	40.95	3.2	0.08	40.95
Private-Controlled Mixed Enterprises	41.63	41.63	2.43	0.08	30.91

Source: Gabriele 2010 Table 3b

However, these numbers tell little about the key role of large public industrial enterprises in China. Their most advanced component is constituted by state holding mixed enterprises (SHMEs), in which the State owns a larger share than any other shareholder, thereby effectively being able to exercise strategic control:

SHMEs are few, large, capital-intensive, and very productive. They are only 2.7% of all industrial enterprises... Yet, they employ over 9% of the labor force, own over 20% of total assets, produce almost 16% of the output and generate almost a quarter of all industrial profits. Their capital endowment per worker is the highest among all groups of enterprises. SHMEs are industry leaders in terms of labor productivity, with 880300 Yuan on average in 2007. This figure is almost 60% higher than that of SOEs and extra-regional FDI-funded enterprises, and also more than double that of domestic private enterprises and that of privately controlled mixed enterprises. .. SHMEs are also very profitable, as confirmed by the very high levels of their profits/ worker and profits/assets ratios. Both profitability indicators are superior to those of any other large grouping of either public or private industrial enterprises. [Gabriele 2010:341. See also Table 2]

Therefore, "China's SASAC-controlled elite enterprises are pioneering a form of ownership and management structure which has a good chance to prove itself quite suitable to deal with the challenges of industrial development in the 21st century" (Gabriele 2010:335).60

Even in the relatively difficult times caused by the negative impact of the world capitalist crisis on China's export demand, China's core large-scale enterprises kept growing fast and remained highly profitable.

Some recent data on China's large enterprises have been published in September 2011. They show that:

60 To properly understand their respective roles, weight, and strength, however, it is useful to compare the public sector of China's industry with its private counterpart. The private industrial sector in China is quite heterogeneous, being composed by four uneven categories of enterprises: domestic private enterprises (DPrivEs); private-controlled mixed enterprises (PrivMEs), foreign-funded enterprises. The majority of foreign-funded enterprises are rather small, but some of them are very large and advanced TNCs. The focus of our analysis, however, is the relationship between public enterprises and domestic industrial capital. In this respect, it is interesting to note that DPrivEs are now over half of the total, employ over one-quarter of the industrial labour force and produce almost one-quarter of total output. Yet, they own only about 15% of industrial assets and generate a slightly higher fraction of total profits, and their labour productivity is much lower than that of all other categories of industrial enterprises. DPrivEs are comparatively small and under-capitalized, and their most valuable contribution to China's overall economic and social development so far is that of creating and maintaining a large share of total employment, utilizing relatively few physical and financial resources... PrivMEs (that, in most cases, are controlled by TNCs) share a number of characteristics with the state-controlled section of mixed enterprises. As such, they are larger and more capital intensive than PrivDEs. They are about 15% of all enterprises, and contribute to about 10% of total industrial capitalization, output, and profits, and to almost 15% of the employment. However, on average, privately-controlled mixed enterprises lag behind their state-owned counterparts, as shown by size, labour productivity, capitalization, and profitability indicators. (see Table 1 and Gabriele 2010 Table 3.)

the status of China's large enterprises among the world's large enterprises has greatly risen. Between 2002 and 2011, the annual business income growth rate of the top 500 Chinese enterprises was 22 percent on average, much higher than the 7 percent of the top 500 world enterprises and 4 percent of the top 500 U.S. enterprises. China's large enterprises have become an important pillar in the world's large enterprises. [Yanan 2011]⁶¹

The China Summit of Large-Scale Enterprises held in Chengdu on September 3-4 2011 made public some recent data on China's large enterprises, stating that "the status of China's large enterprises among the world's large enterprises has greatly risen. Between 2002 and 2011, the annual business income growth rate of the top 500 Chinese enterprises was 22 percent on average, much higher than the 7 percent of the top 500 World enterprises and 4 percent of the top 500 U.S. enterprises. China's large enterprises have become an important pillar in the world's large enterprises" (Yanan 2011).

Moreover, it has to be taken into account that enterprises are a central but not exclusively component of each country's overall economic system. The availability of a complex set of what could broadly be seen as "public goods" has the potential to generate major systemic external economies, thereby decisively affecting enterprises' ability to invest, increase their productivity, promote technical progress and compete in domestic and world markets. Such economically-relevant public goods are well-known: infrastructure, education, health, and the like.

The most strategically crucial of these public goods is the national system of innovation (NSI).⁶³ During a period of exceptionally fast economic

Table 3. Share of Research and Development Expenditure over GDP

	2005	2007	2008	2009
R&DEXP/ GDP	1.32	1.4	1.47	1.7

Source: CSY 2010

Table 4. Per capita income of rural households (yuan)

1990	2000	2009
991	3146	7116

Source: CSY 2010

growth, the R&D to GDP ratio kept climbing, reaching 1.3 percent in 2005, almost 1.5 percent (a figure much higher than that of many OECD countries) in 2007, and 1.7 percent in 2009 (see Table 3). In sum, over little more than one decade,

China leapfrogged from an almost insignificant role in the global research scenario to that of one of its main protagonists. The role of the public sector at large in propelling China's unprecedented research effort is overwhelming... over 70% of China's R&D takes place in the industrial sector the rest being performed by fully public research centers and universities). An absolute majority of this R&D activity is carried out by (large) enterprises owned or controlled by the state or other public bodies (Gabriele 2010:346 ... Thus, broadly speaking, the public sector as a whole funds and performs about 2/3 of China's R&D activities. [see Gabriele 2010, Tables 3, 4.]⁶⁴

Finally, large Chinese enterprises exhibit another striking and unique feature. Notwithstanding their relatively high degree of autonomy, their behaviour is not purely profit-maximizing at the level of a single firm, as is shown by a very interesting empirical study

⁶¹ Yet, the Summit acknowledged that large Chinese enterprises operating in competitive markets lack core competitiveness with respect to their western counterparts, due especially to their still inadequate R&D intensity and innovative capabilities.

⁶² The data were made public in the China Summit of Large-Scale Enterprises held in Chengdu on Sept. 3 and Sept. 4, 2011. Notwithstanding the progress achieved so far, the Summit acknowledged that large Chinese enterprises operating in competitive markets lack core competitiveness with respect to their western counterparts, due especially to their still inadequate R&D intensity and innovative capabilities.

⁶³ Gabriele and Khan have argued that the rapid development of China's NSI shows that (contrary to what is routinely assumed by mainstream economists) fast technological progress is compatible with a basically socialist structure of property rights (see Gabriele and Khan 2008, Gabriele 2001, 2002).

⁶⁴ Gabriele 2010 concludes that "the role of the State. ... far from being withering out, is in fact massive, dominant, and crucial to China's industrial development.... State-owned and state-holding enterprises are now less numerous, but much larger, more capital- and knowledge-intensive, more productive and more profitable than in the late 1990s... The state-controlled sub-sector constituted by state-holding enterprises ... is in many aspects the most advanced component of China's industry, and the one where the bulk of in-house R&D activities take place... the dominant role of the state in China's industry (and, more generally, in China's economy)... is an ever-evolving but structural characteristic of China's peculiar form of market socialism." (p.348)

on their outward direct investment (ODI).65

Huang and Wang (2011) analyzed China's outward direct investment (ODI), showing it follows a pattern different from that of advanced capitalist countries. Reviewing industry distributions of China's ODI data for 2003–2009, Huang and Wang show that it follows a pattern different from that of advanced capitalist countries:

Chinese ODI was not concentrated in industries that performed well either in exporting or domestically. Statistical analyses also confirmed that traditional variables, such as market size, production cost and legal environment, did not impact Chinese investors' choice of location for ODI. Instead, investors selected places where they could either learn advanced technologies or secure stable commodity supplies... the main purpose of the China model of ODI has not been to expand production overseas but to strengthen industries at home. [Huang and Wang 2011:1]

As China's ODI is overwhelmingly carried out by public enterprises, these findings show that the State manages (at least in part) to plan holistically their investment activity in order to maximize its benefits for China's industry as a whole.

Other studies focused on different aspects of China's economic and social reality, that in one way or another are relevant for our core argument.

Zheng and Ward (2011) analyze the boom in the telecom industry in China and the reforms implemented in the sector since the 1980s. The sector grew very fast, costs declined and enormous technical progress was achieved. The authors attribute most of these gains to "liberalization and privatization." However, the very word "privatization" is basically wrong to describe the essence of China's telecom reforms, as is made clear by the conclusions of the article. After observing that, after the liberalizing reforms in the late 1990s, "average state ownership fell from 100% to 80%" (212), the authors observe that

Unlike most developing countries, privatization in China did not lead to companies being completely

It is therefore evident that there has been no privatization (according to the usual meaning of the term) of telecommunications in China. Instead a limited degree of liberalization and controlled, oligopolistic competition was achieved without relinquishing the state's strategic control on this key sector and maintaining the dominance of public enterprises in the telecommunication market. Other researchers (see Andrew-Speed and Dow 2000; Gabriele 2004) have shown that a very similar policy approach, centered around the central role of the State while promoting FDI and technology upgrading, was followed in China also in the reform of the energy sector. Reforms of strategic infrastructural sectors in Vietnam's were of an analogous nature (see Gabriele 2005).

In a very different economic and social context, that of agriculture, another crucial asset (land) has not been privatized in China either. Yu, Shi, and Jin, after reminding that "in 1978, the ruling Communist Party...gradually issued land-use rights with fixed time intervals, typically 15 years, which were extended another 30 years in 1993" (272), show econometrically that economic agents profiting from land in the latter stage of their life tend to save less for retirement than their land-deprived counterparts. There is, in their words, "a substitution effect between land-use rights and endowment insurance" (278). Therefore, the authors conclude that "the government should secure land-use rights for young farmers and should think twice before privatizing farmland.... rapid reform of property rights of farmland may

privately run. Instead, China sought foreign investment...listing equity shares in SOEs..rather than through full privatization or through introducing foreign private firms. The Chinese government has repeatedly made statements that the telecommunication sector is one that entails sensitive national interests...the government feared that a telecommunication sector controlled by private or foreign companies could... reduce social welfare...the ownership structural reform for Chinese telecom SOEs were a process of Share Issue privatization (SIP), using public listing as a way of divesting some of the government ownership in SOEs...while retaining ultimate governmental control. [213-214]

⁶⁵ China's ODI skyrocketed since the turn of the century and is now a major feature of the global economic landscape.

Table 5. Share of consumption expenditure devoted to food (rural households)

1990	2000	2009
1.32	1.4	1.47

Source: CSY 2010

Table 6
Infant and child mortality 1991–2009

	1991	2000	2005	2009
Infant mortality (up to one year)	50.2	32	19	13.8
Rural	58	37	21	17
Child mortality below 5 years	61	40	22	17
Rural	71	46	26	21

Source: CSY 2010

not be a good practice...it may increase inequality... abuse of the land market may inevitably throw some farmers below the subsistence level" (278).

These findings, besides confirming that the bulk of agricultural land is still not privately owned, contribute to confute the "primitive accumulation" hypothesis. Chinese peasants could not possibly have become absolutely poorer during a period (since the late 1970s) when – without underestimating the disasters caused by the quasi-collapse of public health services⁶⁶ – they (collectively) maintained their property rights on the land, agricultural production, rural incomes and food consumption increased extremely fast, and all human development indicators dramatically improved. (see Tables 4 to 8).

The positive trends in China's rural incomes and welfare indicators since the late 1970s are well-known. No more famines occurred, for the first time in the history of the country.⁶⁷ Here, it might just be useful to refer to a few recent data, referring to the 1990s and 2000s.⁶⁸ Per-capita incomes of rural households increased extremely fast since 1990, and the share

Table 7 Health Expenditure

	1978	2000	2009
Per capita (yuan)	11.4	362	1095
% of GDP	3.02	4.62	4.63
Government % of total	32	15	25
Out of pocket % of total	20	59	40
Social % of total	47	26	35

Source: CSY 2010

Table 8. China. Average urban wage (yuan)

1995	2000	2009
5348	9333	32244

Source: CSY 2010

devoted to food (a basic welfare indicator) dropped by large margin (see Tables 4, 5). Infant and (under five years) child mortality kept decreasing rapidly (see Table 6). In the 2000s the Chinese government also changed course in the area of health policies, partly correcting the damage caused in the 1980s and 1990s. Public health expenditure increased faster than GDP, and out-of-pocket health expenditure, that had reached the ominous share of 59 percent of the total in 2000s, was reduced to a (still outrageously high) level of 40 percent by 2009 (see Table 7).

Mass migration from the countryside, therefore, was caused not by an absolute impoverishment of Chinese peasants, but by their (both perceived and real) relative impoverishment. The latter is a complex phenomenon embodying a strong cultural component (related among other things to lifestyle mutations and the diffusion of telecommunications), but also stemming from the very material increase in income differentials caused the exceptionally fast rate of growth of real wages in urban areas (see Table 8).⁶⁹

⁶⁶ See Gabriele and Schettino 2008a.

⁶⁷ The last famine (1959-1961) caused about 30 million deaths. Moreover, it increased inequality in the long run as women hit by "famine in the first year of life had a lower probability of completing high school and lived in less wealthy households" (Shi 2011:244). 68 Peasants' overall incomes and welfare had also improved greatly in the late 1970s and 1980s.

⁶⁹ The increase in real wages in China has not been matched in any large capitalist country (developed or developing). Wage growth accelerated in the late 2000s due to the progressive exhaustion of the "reserve army" of rurals made redundant by the steady increase of labour productivity in agriculture: "Chinese workers received real wage rises averaging 12.6 per cent a year from 2000 to 2009, compared with 1.5 per cent in Indonesia and zero in Thailand, according to the International Labor Organisation" (Barrett 2011). Wage hikes are contributing to accelerate the shift of unskilled labor-intensive activities towards other Asian countries and to sustain domestic consumer demand, at a time when export growth prospects are weak (see Jacob 2011; Tsui 2011).

Migrants partly benefitted from the increase in urban wages, and so – via remittances – did their families in the countryside. Yet, the lack of political willingness to overcome the obsolete hukou system severely discriminated against them, creating a dual labor market in urban areas. The reform of urban residence and welfare system remains as the most severe social challenge in China (see Cai 2011). In our view, however, its control on the bulk of the huge social surplus generated by the domestic economy could relatively easily allow the State to implement this reform (and to earmark increasing resources towards public health, another urgent priority), without jeopardizing China's unique pattern of accumulation and technical progress.

References

Althusser L. and E. Balibar

1979 Reading Capital, Translated by Ben Brewster, Amazon 1979, (First published by François Maspero, Paris, 1968). Electronic document, http:// www.marx2mao.com/Other/RC68glosdex.html.

Andrews-Speed S, S. Dow S.

2000 Reform of China's electric power industry: challenges facing the government, Energy Policy, 28 (50) (2000), pp. 335–347

Arrighi G.

2007 Adam Smith in Beijing: Lineages of the Twenty-First century, by Giovanni. Arrighi. London: Verso, 2007. ISBN: 978-1-84467-104-5; 420 pages.

Barrett C.

2011 Can mathematics explain China's soaring wage bill? Financial Times, July 28.

Brown H.

2006 Dominant and other modes of production. A discussion from the Marxism list, December 2006, in http://www.hartford-hwp.com/archives/10/160. html.

Chase-Dunn, Christopher

2010 Adam Smith in Beijing: A World-Systems Perspective Historical Materialism 18:39-51.

Campling, L.

2010 Editorial Introduction to the Symposium on Giovanni Arrighi's Adam Smith in Beijing. Historical Materialism 18:31-38.

Cheng, Eva

1999 China: Is Capitalist Restoration Inevitable? Links International Journal of Socialist Renewal 11.

China Digital Times

2008 Critics Attack Health Plan as Impenetrable. Electronic document, http://chinadigitaltimes.net/2008/10/critics-attack-health-plan-as-impenetrable/

Cliff, Tony

1974 State Capitalism in Russia. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/cliff/works/1955/ statecap/index.htm;

China.org

2010 Full text of Communiqué of the Fifth Plenum of the 17th CPC Central Committee. Electronic document, http://www.china.org.cn/china/2010-10/19/content_21151020_5.htm.

Cooper, G.

2005 Thinking about China: Engaging Burkett and Hart-Landsberg. Critical Asian Studies 37(3): 490.

Dodson B.

2008 China SOEs Getting Lean and Mean. This is China! March 17.

Dunn, Bill

2007 Accumulation by Disposession or Accumulation of Capital – the Case of China, Journal of Australian Political Economy 60:6-27.

Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU)

2011 Heavy Duty: China's Next Wave of Exports. Electronic document, http://www.eiu.com/public/topical_report.aspx?campaignid=heavyduty_Aug11.

Elliott, John E.

1978 Marx's Socialism in the Context of his Typology of Economic Systems. Journal of Comparative Economics 2(1).

Engels, Frederick

1880 Socialism: Utopian and Scientific. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1880/soc-utop/index.htm.

1890 Letter to Otto Von Boenigk. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1890/letters/90_08_21.htm.

Fan C. Cindy

2006 China's Eleventh Five-Year Plan (2006–2010): From "Getting Rich First" to "Common Prosperity." Eurasian Geography and Economics 47:6, pp. 708–723.

Financial Times

2011 Zhou's cryptic caution lost in translation. June 10.

Felipe, Jesus

2005 Aggregate Investment in the People's Republic of China: A Comment. Working Paper No.17. Canberra, Australia: Centre for Applied Macroeconomic Analysis (CAMA).

Felipe, Jesus and John McCombie

2002 Productivity in China before and after 1978 revisited. ZagrebInternational Review of Economics and Business 5(1):17–43.

2010 Modeling Technological Progress and Investment in China: Some Caveats. Levy Economics Institute of Bard College. Working Paper No. 643. Electronic document, http://www.levyinstitute.org/pubs/wp_643.pdf.

Flemming C.

2010 Arrighi's Adam Smith in Beijing. Historical Materialism 18 (2010) 110–129.

Foley, Barbara

2002 From Situational Dialectics to Pseudo-Dialectics: Mao, Jiang, and Capitalist Transition. Cultural Logic. Electronic document, http://clogic. eserver.org/2002/foley.html.

Gabriel, Satyananda J.

2006 Chinese Capitalism and the Modernist Vision. Oxon: Routledge.

Gabriele, Alberto

2001 Science And Technology Policies, Industrial Reform And Technical Progress In China. Can Socialist Property Rights Be Compatible With Technological Catching Up? UNCTAD Discussion Papers 155, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

2002 S&T Policies and Technical Progress in China's Industry. Review of International Political Economy 9(2).

2004 Policy alternatives in reforming energy utilities in developing countries,pp. 1319-1337, Volume 32, Energy policy, Issue 11, Pages 1253-1352 (July 2004)

2008 Science and Technology Policies, Industrial Reform and Technical Progress in China. In Technology in the New Century: Theory, Policy and Case Studies. R. Tendon, ed. Delhi: Delhi Press, Sir Hans Singer Festschrift II.

2010 The Role of the State in China's Industrial Development: A Reassessment. Comparative Economic Studies 52:325-350.

Gabriele, Alberto and Haider Khan

2008 Creating an Innovation System for China in the 21st Century (with Haider Khan), 2008, MPRA paper No.10695, available in http://mpra.ub.unimuenchen.de/10695/.

2010 Enhancing Technological Progress in a Marketsocialist Context: China's National Innovation System at the Crossroads. Lambert Academic Publishing. Gabriele, Alberto and Francesco Schettino

2008a Child Mortality in China and Vietnam in a Comparative Perspective. Economic Change and Restructuring 41(1):29-59.

2008bChild Malnutrition and Mortality in Developing Countries: Evidence from a Cross-Country Analysis. Analysis of Social Issues and Public Policy 8(1).

Greenfield, Gerard and Apo Leong

1997 China's Communist Capitalism: The Real World of Market Socialism. Socialist Register 33.

Grinder, Walter E. and John Hagel III

1977 Toward a Theory of State Capitalism: Ultimate Decision-Making and Class Structure. Journal of Libertarian Studies 1(1):59-79.

Haggard, S. and Y. Huang

2008 The Political Economy of Private Sector Development in China. In China's Great Economic Transformation. Loren Brandt and Thomas G. Rawski, eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hart-Landsberg, Martin and Paul Burkett

2004 Introduction: China and Socialism, Monthly Review, July-August 2004, Vol. 56, No. 3

2005 China and Socialism: Market Reforms and Class Struggle, New York: Monthly Review Press.
2010 China and Socialism - Market Reforms and Class Struggle. Monthly Review Press.

Harvey, D.

2003 The New Imperialism. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

2005 A Brief History of Neoliberalism. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

He, Xinhua, and Duo Qin

2004 Aggregate Investment in People's Republic of China:Some Empirical Evidence. Asian Development Review 21(1):99–117.

Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten

2006a An Evolutionary Approach to Endogenous Political Constraints on Transition in China. Electronic document, http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=948213.

2006b China's Path-Dependent Transition: Culture Mediating Between Market and Socialism. Electronic document, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=950698.

Heytens, P. and Z. Harm

2003 How Fast Can China Grow? In China Competing in the Global Economy. Wanda Tseng and Markus Rodlauer, eds. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.

Hindless, Barry and Paul Q. Hirst

1975 Pre-capitalist Modes of Production. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul Publishers.

Hinton W.

1990 The Great Reversal: The Privatization of China, 1978–1989. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Holmstrom, Nancy and Richard Smith

2000 The Necessity of Gangster Capitalism Primitive Accumulation in Russia and China. Monthly Review 51(9). Electronic document, http://monthlyreview.org/2000/02/01/the-necessity-of-gangster-capitalism.

Holz, C.

2002 Long Live China's State-owned Enterprises: Deflating the Myth of Poor Financial Performance. Journal of Asian Economics 13:493–529.

2006 Response to Gregory C. Chow's "New Capital Estimates for China" Comments. China Economic Review 17(2):193–7.

Hu, Z. F., and Khan. M.

1997 Why is China Growing so Fast? International Monetary Fund Staff Papers 44(1):103–31.

Huang, Y. and Wang, B.

2011 Chinese Outward Direct Investment: Is There A China model?, China & World Economy, 19: 1–21., No.4.

Ilarionov, A.

2006 When the State Means Business. International Herald Tribune, 2006-01-05.

Jacob, R.

2011 China wage rises bring a shift in production, Financial Times, 6 September.

Kelch, R.

2007 Marx's Grundrisse: Forms Which Precede Capitalist Production. An introductory lecture at Niebyl-Proctor Library, February 11, 2007.

Kornai, János

1992 The Socialist System: The Political Economy of Communism, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Kroeber, A.

2008 Large and in charge. China Economic Quarterly 41.

Kui-Wai Li, Tung Liub and Lihong Yun

2007 Technology Progress, Efficiency, and Scale of Economy in Post-reform China, Ball State University, Department of Economics Working Papers 200701, available in http://ideas.repec.org/p/bsu/wpaper/200701.html

Lange, O.

1936 On the Economic Theory of Socialism. Review of Economic Studies 4(1):53-71.

Lenin, V.I.

1894 What the "Friends of the People" Are and How They Fight the Social-Democrats. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1894/friends/index.htm.

Li, Shaomin and Jun Xia

2008 The Roles and Performance of State Firms and Non-State Firms in China's Economic Transition. World Development 36(1):39-54.

Weiye Li W. and Putterman L.

2008 Reforming China's SOEs: An Overview. Comparative Economic Studies 50(3):353-380.

Lippit, V. D.

2005 The Political Economy of China's Economic Reform: Observations on China and Socialism. Critical Asian Studies 37(3):471-72.

Lorimer, Doug

1999 Fundamentals of Historical Materialism: The Marxist View of History and Politics. Broadway NSW:Resistance Books.

Lukács, G.

1923 History & Class Consciousness, in http://www.marxists.org/archive/lukacs/works/history/index.htm

Marx, Karl

1844 Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1844/manuscripts/preface. htm.

1845 The German Ideology. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1845/german-ideology/

1857-58 Grundrisse. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1857/grundrisse/.

1859 A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1859/critique-pol-economy/preface.htm.

1867 Capital, Vol. I., in http://marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Capital-Volume-I.pdf.

1875 Critique of the Gotha Program. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1875/gotha/index.htm.

1894 Capital, Vol. III., in http://marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Capital-Volume-III.

1932 Selected Works, Vols I, II. New York: International Publisher.

Marx, Karl and Frederick Engels

1848 Manifesto of the Communist Party. Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1848/communist-manifesto/.

Maulidiansyah, Roli

2008 Althusser: The Social Formation as a Totality of Instances. Electronic document, http://roliandalas. blogspot.com/2008/06/althusser-social-formationas-totality.html

Mayberry, Trent, Shiping Wang and Bob Suh

2006 China: Getting IT Right the First Time. Outlook. Electronic document, http://www.accenture.com/Global/Research_and_Insights/Outlook/By_Issue/Y2006/GettingFirstTime.htm.

Meisner, M.

1996 The Deng Xiaoping Era: An Inquiry into the Fate of Chinese Socialism, 1978–1994. New York: Hill and Wang.

Ministry of Health

2005 Ministry of Health Report on China's Healthcare System and Reform, August 2005, Executive Summary. Electronic document, http://www.casy.org/Chindoc/MOH_report_0805.htm.

Monthly Review Press (MRP)

2010, in http://monthlyreview.org/press/books/pb1234/ (accessed April 24th, 2012)

Morel, E.

2006 The Changing Role of State-Owned Enterprises in Chinese Industrial Research: New Goals, Ownership, and Management. University of Kansas, Motorola Foundation Young Scholar. Naughton, Barry

2007 Strengthening the Center, and Premier Wen Jiabao, China Leadership Monitor 21.

2008 SOE Policy, Profiting the SASAC Way. China Economic Quarterly (June):19-26.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBR)

2011 China Statistical Yearbook 2011. China Statistics Press.

National Bureau of Statistics and Ministry of Science and Technology of the PRC (NBR-MST)

2010 China Statistical Yearbook On Science and Technology. China Statistics Press.

Nee, Victor and David Stark (eds.)

1989 Remaking the Economic Institutions of Socialism: China and Eastern Europe, Stanford: Stanford University Press.

O'Ruairc, Liam

2008 Reading is an Argument: Althusser's Commandment, Conjecture and Contradiction, Variant 32. Electronic document, http://www.variant.org.uk/32texts/althuser32.html.

Panitch L.

2010 Giovanni Arrighi in Beijing: An Alternative to Capitalism? Historical Materialism 18(1):74-87.

Perelman, Michael

2008 Comment: Primitive Accumulation in Modern China. Dialectical Anthropology 32(4):327.

Post, Charles

2008 Comment: Primitive Accumulation in Modern China. Dialectical Anthropology 32(4):321-325.

Pradella L.

2010 Beijing between Smith and Marx. Historical Materialism 18(1).

Putterman, Louis

1992 Special Issue, editor. Modern China 18(2).

1993 Continuity and Change in China's Rural Development: Collective and Reform Eras in Perspective. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

1995 The Role of Ownership and Property Rights in China's Economic Transition. The China Quarterly 144 (December):1047–64.

Schettino, Francesco

2006 The Factory of the World -Tendencies and Contradictions in XXI century China, Presented at conference "La Cina è vicina", Bologna, December 2006). Sheying, C

2003 General Public Policy and Development Strategy. The Chinese state in perspective China Perspectives, n.48, in http://chinaperspectives. revues.org/388.

Shi X

2011 Famine, fertility, and fortune in china. China Economic Review, Elsevier, vol. 22(2), pages 244-259, June.

Smith, Adam

1976 Glasgow Edition of the Works and Correspondence Vol. 2b An Inquiry Into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations, Vol. 2 [1776]. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Smith, Richard

1997 Creative Destruction: Capitalist Development and China's Environment. New Left Review I/222.

Springut, Micah. Stephen Schlaikjer and David Chen

2011 China's Program for Science and Technology Modernization: Implications for American Competitiveness. Prepared for the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission. CENTRA Technology. Electronic document, http://www.uscc.gov/researchpapers/2011/USCC_REPORT_China's_Program_for Science_and_Technology_Modernization.pdf

Trading Economics

2010 Health Expenditure: Public (%of GDP) in China. Electronic document, http://www.tradingeconomics.com/china/health-expenditure-public-percent-of-gdp-wb-data.html.

Trotzky, Leon

1936 The Revolution Betrayed: What is the Soviet Union and Where is it Going? Electronic document, http://www.marxists.org/archive/trotsky/1936/revbet/index.htm.

Tsui E.

2011 China pushes minimum wage rises. Financial Times, January 4.

Walker, Richard

2010 Karl Marx Between Two Worlds: The Antinomies of Giovanni Arrighi's Adam Smith in Beijing. Historical Materialism 18(1):52-73.

Wan, G. H.

1995 Technical Change in Chinese State Industry: A New Approach. Journal of Comparative Economics 21(3):308–25.

50 • A. GABRIELE AND F. SCHETTINO

Webber, M.

2008 Primitive accumulation in modern China. Dialectical Anthropology. 32(4):299-320.

Weil, R.

1996 Red Cat, White Cat: China and the Contradictions of "Market Socialism." New York: Monthly Review Press.

Wildau, Gabriel

2008 Albatross Turns Phoenix. China Economic Quarterly 12(2): 27-33.

Wood, John Cunningham, ed.

1991 Karl Marx's Economics: Critical Assessments.
Taylor & Francis

Wu, Y.

1995 Productivity Growth, Technological Progress, and Technical Efficiency Change in China: A Three-Sector Analysis. Journal of Comparative Economics 21(2):207-229.

Yan Pengfei and Wang Bing

2004 Technical Efficiency, Technical Progress & Productivity Growth: An Empirical Analysis Based on DEA. Economic Research Journal 12.

Yanan, L.

2011 China's Top 500 Enterprises Still Lack Core Competitiveness. People's Daily. September 6. Electronic document, http://english.people.com. cn/90780/7589970.html.

Yasheng, H.

2008 Capitalism with Chinese Characteristics: Entrepreneurship and the State. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Yu, N., Q. Shi, and H. Jin

2010 Permanent Land-use Rights and Endowment Insurance: Chinese Evidence of the Substitution Effect. China Economic Review 21(2):272-281.

Yuengert, Andrew

2000 The Positive-Normative Distinction Before the Fact-Value Distinction. Electronic document, http://www.gordon.edu/ace/pdf/Yuengert_PosNorm.pdf.

Yufan, L.

2002 A Preliminary Report on China's Capitalist Restoration. Links 21.

Zheng, S. & Ward, M.R.

2011 The Effects of Market Liberalization and Privatization on Chinese Telecommunications. China Economic Review 22(2):210-220.

Hand-Mills to Wind Turbines: Technology Gatekeeping in Medieval Europe and in Contemporary Ontario

Dennis Alan Bartels

In contemporary Ontario and in medieval England, the power and political influence of propertied classes and labour aristocracies were (and are) used to restrict popular access particular technologies, and to facilitate private appropriation of wealth.¹

Past and present political-economic constraints on propagation of particular technologies, and on types of ownership of particular technologies, are explored in this commentary.

Milling in Medieval England

In the medieval period – *ca.* 1150 – 1400 CE – every English village or manor had a mill, or mills, sited on water courses, for grinding various grains into flour, the main ingredient of bread, a dietary staple. Mills were held by manorial lords, or by religious institutions such as abbeys. Peasants or serfs who worked a lord's land were required to bring their grain to the lord's mill for grinding. For this, the peasant had to provide a proportion of his grain – the 'multure' – to the lord. The amount of the multure varied widely. In

some cases, serfs paid one-thirteenth while free men paid one-twenty-fourth (Bennett 1987: 133).

Peasants who used hand-mills – querns – or who were caught trying to have their grain ground at a mill not held by their lord were fined. "If men were caught on the way to a rival mill, the custom of the manor was often such that, if the offence was other than the first, the lord was entitled to seize the man's horse, while his miller took whatever [grain] or flour the wretched man was carrying" (Bennett 1987:131).

Lords designated particular millers to operate mills. Community ownership of mills by peasants and/or villagers was not an option.

In the feudal social order, it was in the miller's interests to insure that the lord's milling monopoly was enforced. While milling often involved hard, skilled work, it also afforded opportunities for millers to cheat peasants by adulterating high quality grains. Bennett cites a medieval riddle: "What is the boldest thing in the world? A miller's shirt, for it clasps a thief by the throat daily" (1987:135).

In *The Canterbury Tales*, Chaucer caricatured the miller as a drunken boor who would not be dissuaded from telling his obscene – but hilarious – tale.

Not surprisingly, there was widespread peasant resistance to milling monopolies. For example, peas-

¹ The relevance of medieval restrictions on hand-mills to constraints on deployment of renewable energy technologies in Ontario was first suggested by Alice L. Bartels, co-author of *When the North was Red, Aboriginal Education in Soviet Siberia* (McGill-Queens, 1995). Responsibility for any errors of fact or interpretation, however, rest with the author.

ants who revolted against the Abbey of St. Albans in 1274 installed hand-mills in their own houses. In 1327, the townspeople forced the Abbey to grant a charter which allowed them to keep hand-mills. The concession was later repudiated. The Abbott forced people to surrender their millstones and had them cemented into the floor of his parlour. During the peasant revolt of 1381, peasants levered up the Abbot's floor (Bennett 1987).

Electric Power In Ontario

Just as milling was essential for providing the necessities of life in medieval England, so generation and transmission of electric power are essential for providing the necessities of life in industrial societies, including Ontario.

Generation and transmission of electric power in Ontario were, until recently, operated almost entirely as public utilities. Publicly-owned hydroelectric generating facilities and, later, coal-fired generating plants were mandated to provide electric power, at cost, to Ontarians. Ontario Hydro – formerly the Hydroelectric Power Company – did not pay taxes and was not intended to make a profit (Swift and Stewart 2004; Hampton 2003).

Between the early 1970s and the early 1990s, twenty CANDU nuclear reactors began generating at Pickering, Bruce, and Darlington. Further construction of nuclear generating facilities was curtailed in 1993 because an economic downturn had reduced demand for electric power (Swift and Stewart 2004).

In the mid-1990s, the Conservative government, led by Premier Mike Harris, passed legislation aimed at facilitating privatization of electric power generation and transmission. Ontario Power Generation (OPG) and Hydro One (for transmission) were carved out of Ontario Hydro and disestablished as Crown Corporations. By this time, problems of safety and reliability of Ontario's nuclear reactors had become increasingly apparent. An accumulated nuclear debt of \$38 billion had forced Ontario Hydro into "effective bankruptcy" (Ontario Sustainable Energy Association (OSEA) 2011: 3).

Plans were made to decommission some of the oldest reactors and to refurbish others. The generating capacity of the closed reactors was to be made up

by OPG's five coal-fired plants (Swift and Stewart 2004).

In 2001, a private business partnership became the licensed operator of the Bruce Generating Station. This partnership – Bruce Power – now consists of Cameco (31.6 percent owernship), a major producer of nuclear fuels, TransCanada Corporation (31.6 percent), BPC Generation Infrastructure Trust (31.6 percent), The Society of Energy Professionals (1.2 percent), and the Power Workers Union (PWU), Local 1000 of the Canadian Union of Public Emloyees (4 percent). The PWU operates the Bruce reactors, OPG's remaining coal-fired generating plants, and Hydro One. Bruce Power, excluding Cameco, contracted to refurbish and restart some of the Bruce reactors (Swift and Stewart 2004).

In 2003, air quality in southern Ontario, linked to coal-fired power generation, as well as greenhouse gas emissions from coal-fired plants, were election issues. So were major cost overruns for refurbishing reactors and safety concerns about transportation and storage of nuclear waste (Swift and Stewart 2004).

The Liberals, led by Dalton McGuinty, won the election of 2003. The McGuinty government committed to phasing out coal-fired electricity generation by 2007 (Swift and Stewart 2004). This date was later pushed back to 2014. Phasing out of coal-fired generation was strongly opposed by the PWU. Current plans to convert the remaining coal-fired plants to biomass or natural gas have been welcomed by the PWU (Don Mackinnon, President of the PWU, 2011).

The McGuinty government established the Ontario Power Authority (OPA), an independent non-profit corporation, which was mandated to plan power generation, conservation, and transmission in Ontario in accordance with government priorities (Swift and Stewart 2004).

Government plans for electric power generation in 2025 are currently aimed at achieving a mix of nuclear (approximately 50 percent), hydroelectricity (approx. 25 percent), and renewables – i.e., wind, biomass, and solar (approx. 25 percent) (OSEA 2011).

In an attempt to promote renewable energy technologies, the OPA has signed twenty-year contracts at guaranteed prices for electricity generated by wind turbines, biomass facilities, and photovoltaic installations. Under this Feed-in Tariff (FIT) programme, electric utilities, including Hydro One, are obligated in most cases to transmit power generated under FIT contracts. Renewable energy facilities generating more than ten megawatts receive lower prices than smaller generating facilities (OSEA 2011; Greenpeace Canada 2010).

Many Ontarians have mounted photovoltaic (PV) systems on their property to generate electric power under the FIT programme. The OPA requires that FIT contracts for generation under ten megawatts must be signed by the owners of roofs where PV systems are to be mounted. This measure is aimed at preventing fraud by private firms offering to lease roof space for PV systems.

The FIT programme has proved to be surprisingly successful. Energy produced by renewables in 2011 has far outstripped projected levels (Greenpeace Canada 2010; Morris 2011). So far, however, the proportion of renewables in Ontario's projected energy mix has not been increased.

Lords, Capitalists, And Cooperatives

Large, privately-owned wind farms share Lake Huron's Bruce Peninsula with the reactors operated by Bruce Power. Electricity generated from Bruce Peninsula wind farms and nuclear reactors is transmitted south along a single corridor to urban centres, including Toronto. This transmission capacity includes a new line for Bruce nuclear generating facilities built at public expense for \$650 million (OSEA 2011).

To provide an alternative to private ownership of wind farms on the Bruce Peninsula, two wind energy co-ops, Lakewind and the Countryside Energy Cooperative, were organized. Even though these cooperatives successfully raised capital, acquired sites for turbines, and mobilized community support, the OPA denied them transmission capacity (Toronto Renewable Energy Cooperative (TREC) 2011).

Just as community-ownership of medieval mills was disallowed by lords, so community-ownership of windfarms on the Bruce Peninsula has been effectively disallowed by the OPA. Also, the proportion of renewable energy in the Ontario energy mix has been restricted because of the OPA's commitment

to nuclear power. Thus, the OPA, representing the Ontario government, acts as a gatekeeper of types of ownership of wind farms. Private ownership has, so far, been favoured over community ownership.

The OPA also acts as a gatekeeper for the types of energy technologies which will be deployed, and nuclear energy is favoured over wind energy. This parallels restrictions on milling technologies and types of ownership of mills imposed by medieval lords.

The analogy between lords and the OPA should not, however, be pushed too far. The wealth and power of lords was patriarchal and hereditary. The wealth and power of clerical lords was patriarchal and oligarchic. The OPA, in contrast, is ultimately controlled by a democratically-elected government which could, presumably, abolish the OPA altogether. During the election campaign of 2011, the Conservatives pledged, if elected, to abolish the FIT programme (d'Aliesio 2011). The New Democratic Party pledged to restrict FIT contracts to small-scale projects (personal communication from an NDP activist, October 2011). The extent to which these electoral strategies actually involved democracy will be seen below.

Millers And Power Workers: Labour Aristocrats

According to classical Marxist theory, labour aristocracies arise in cases where capitalists gain super-profits by monopolizing key sectors of production, infrastructure, and exchange. For example, in European overseas empires, raw materials produced by cheap labour in colonies were processed in metropolitan centres. While workers and peasants in colonies were usually controlled by direct coercion exercised by colonial authorities, segments of working classes in metropoles received significant improvements in wages and working conditions from deliberate trickling-down of monopoly superprofits. Thus, the growth of labour and progressive movements in metropoles was forestalled (Lenin 1924).

The crucial condition for the rise of labour aristocracies is monopoly, whether or not it is situated in the context of overseas empires.

In medieval England, lords had monopolies on milling. In so far as the wealth and power of millers

derived from concessions granted by lords, millers can be seen as labour aristocrats. While they performed arduous, skilled labour, millers shared lords' interests in restricting milling technology and ownership of mills. Similarly, the relatively high wages and good benefits of skilled PWU workers derive largely from the monopoly enjoyed by Bruce Power and Hydro One. Like medieval millers, PWU workers can be seen as labour aristocrats.

Not surprisingly, the PWU (CUPE Local 1000), along with their capitalist partners in Bruce Power, oppose renewable energy technologies – especially wind power. They also oppose the FIT program (Mackinnon 2011). Tyler Hamilton, an environmental columnist for the *Toronto Star*, has suggested that the PWU and Hydro One have deliberately delayed and obstructed connection of FIT projects to the Ontario power grid in order to sabotage the FIT program (Hamilton 2011).

Renewable Energy Technologies Versus Nuclear Power in the Election of 2011

The role of the PWU in the Ontario election of October 2011 supports the analysis presented above. According to a full-page PWU ad in the *Toronto Star* which appeared just before the election, "... the tens of billions Ontario has spent on intermittent wind and solar energy is not delivering the promised benefits to the environment or the economy" (quoted in Hamilton 2011). No data were offered in support of this claim.

The claim that the FIT programme did not provide anticipated jobs was repeated by the Ontario Auditor-General shortly after the election. The study that the Auditor-General used to support this conclusion was funded by the fossil-fuel industry (Natural Resources Defence Council 2009).

Wind Concern Ontario (WCO), a coalition of mostly rural groups opposed to wind energy, also played a prominent political role in the election. The WCO and other anti-wind-power activists claimed that the Ontario Liberal government had suppressed a host of complaints that wind farms have damaged the health of nearby residents (Seglins and Nicol 2011). Mass media, including the CBC, reported these claims uncritically. Studies of the health effects

of wind turbines on Europeans who have lived near wind farms for decades were not investigated. Nor were sources of funding for the WCO. Some Liberal MPPs believe that the WCO was largely funded by the nuclear power industry. It has also been suggested that the WCO was funded by the PWU (see BigCityLib Strikes Back 2011). Because the WCO did not file for third-party status before the election, it was not legally required to report its sources of funding.

The Liberals were able to form a minority government. Some renewable energy supporters and prominent Liberals suggest that the WCO/PWU campaign against wind energy and the FIT program cost the Liberals at least seven rural seats (personal communication from a Liberal MPP, December 2011).

The Spectre of Global Climate Change

During the election campaign, the WCO and other critics did not address the necessity of promoting renewable energy technologies in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Why was this issue avoided? Perhaps it was because most major political parties wished to avoid public controversy regarding the politics of climate science - notably, the view that combustion of fossil fuels produces greenhouse gases which contribute significantly to global climate change. Climate science denial seems to be a central feature of the ideology of right-wing mass media, such as Fox News in the US and Sun Media in Canada. For example, John Robson wrote in the Toronto Sun, "Not only is the Kyoto Protocol flawed, but the science behind it is utter twaddle" (10 December 2011). Refutations of climate science denial can be found at www.skepticalscience.com.

Liberal-left politicians and most proponents of renewable energy technologies seem reluctant to openly oppose climate science denial. This may be related in part to the unrelenting promotion of the Alberta tar sands by the Harper government (see Dillon, Thompson, and Orange 2010).

Subsidies for Nucelar Energy and Renewable Energy

During the election campaign, the PWU and the Conservatives avoided the issue of massive and ongo-

ing public debts – at least \$14 billion – and subsidies for nuclear energy (OSEA 2011), focusing instead on subsidies for renewable energy provided by the FIT program. 'Hidden' subsidies for nuclear energy include government loan guarantees, government assumption of insurance risks, government support for nuclear research and development, and government responsibility for transport and storage of nuclear wastes (OSEA 2011). In contrast, subsidies for renewable energy technologies under the FIT program are transparent, and will be relatively short-lived (OSEA 2011). For example, as costs of photovoltaic (PV) panels decline, so will guaranteed FIT prices for solar power.

As for PWU and WCO claims that wind and solar power are "intermittent," the Ontario Sustainable Energy Association points out that installation of combined-heat-and-power (CHO, or cogeneration) technologies at major Ontario industries that operate 24/7 would, in conjunction with renewable energy technologies, remove the need for base load electricity provided by nuclear power (OSEA 2011; also, see "Cogeneration." http://en.wikipedia.org. Retrieved Dec., 2011). Installation of CHO technologies would cost a small fraction of the ongoing public subsidies for nuclear energy. As well, promising storage technologies are now under development (see Patel 2011a; Bullis 2011b).

Querns and PV Systems

Hand-mills, or querns, were a Neolithic technology, widely used by European peasants before the imposition of feudalism. In medieval England, lords and millers realized that free access to querns impeded appropriation of wealth from peasants. Consequently, peasant/serf access to querns was prohibited. Similarly, access to high-efficiency renewable energy technologies (RETs) might impede appropriation of working people's wealth by capitalist owners of fossil fuel and nuclear industries. For example, PV systems now generate power in Lesotho, thus removing dependence diesel-powered generators (see United Nations Development Programme n.d.). In India, PV water-pumping in rural areas is now cheaper than diesel-powered water pumping (see Trivedi 2011). High-efficiency PV systems mounted on apartment

balconies or embedded in windows might significantly reduce dependence of Ontario urban-dwellers on for-profit nuclear and fossil fuel industries (see www.tropiglas.com).

Technological breakthroughs promise major increases in the efficiency of PV materials (for example, see Bullis 2011a). For example, some experimental PV materials, unlike conventional PV materials, tap the entire range of the light spectrum, both visible and invisible (Bourzac 2011). Others use nano-materials and quantum processes to boost PV efficiencies (Patel 2011b). It remains to be seen whether access to such technologies will be blocked by capitalists or others who stand to lose wealth and power by their propagation, just as access to querns was blocked by lords and millers in medieval England.

Interestingly, individual milling of grain was only legalized in Scotland in 2004.

The situation described above is perhaps reminiscent of the attempt by the Canadian inventor, George Cove, to commercialize his solar energy device in the early 20th century. Cove was allegedly kidnapped in 1909. His kidnappers offered him \$25,000 and a furnished house if he would stop promoting his solar electric generator (Bartels 1997). In a 1909 article on Cove's solar energy device, Winthrop Packard wrote that the "direct rays of the sun" could not be monopolized by coal barons and oil kings (quoted in Bartels 1997:47-48). This raises the possibility that "coal barons and oil kings," acting to protect their wealth and power from the advent of a renewable energy technology, were behind Cove's alleged kidnapping and the attempt to buy him off.

Cove later attempted to build a tidal power installation on the Bay of Fundy in Nova Scotia (Bartels 1997). Although Cove's tidal power installation was destroyed by a storm, it prefigured contemporary tidal power experiments (Harris 2011).

Goliards and Global Climate Change

The peasant uprising in medieval St. Albans (see above, p. 52) was typical of the chronic conflict between lords and peasants/serfs during the feudal period. Lords claimed that their class position was divinely-ordained. From the lords' point of view, members of the lower orders who questioned or

resisted the feudal social order were defying God. Peasant rebels deserved earthly torment and eternal damnation (see Engels 1978). From the point of view of most peasant rebels, lords were exploiters and oppressors whose actions defied scripturally-prescribed egalitarianism. Lords who persisted in oppressing and exploiting peasants/serfs deserved earthly torment and eternal damnation (see Engels 1978; Macek 1958). There was, however, a secular counter-ideology during the medieval period which perhaps more aptly applies to current struggles over energy technologies.

Goliards were itinerant scholars and renegade clergy who lived by busking and begging (ca. 1140-1275 CE). Goliard poetry, exemplified by the well-known Carmina Burana, celebrated drunken revelry, sexuality, and good food (Whicher 1949). It implied that there is no afterlife; otherwise fear of hell would have inhibited clerical corruption. Chance, not divinity, governs human affairs. Chance – or, Fortuna – can arbitrarily ruin the wealthy and powerful, or raise up the poor and powerless. The best-laid plans can be dashed by Fortuna.

Is the Goliard worldview relevant to current struggles over energy technologies? Perhaps. Despite valiant efforts to propagate renewable energy technologies in order to forestall further global climate change, carbon emissions continue to rise. The profit-driven juggernaut of fossil fuel and related industries, underpinned by state support, neo-conservative ideology, right-wing mass media, and climate science denial, threatens to release huge amounts of methane and carbon dioxide as the Arctic ice and permafrost thaw. Whether humans can survive rising sea levels, increased levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, and an increasing frequency of extreme weather events, may be largely in the hands of Fortuna.

References

Bartels, Dennis

1997 George Cove's Solar Energy Device. Material History Review 46:45-50.

Bennett, H.S.

1987 [1937] Life on the English Manor, A Study of Peasant Conditions 1150-1400. Gloucester: Alan Sutton Publishing. First published by Cambridge University Press.

BigCityLib Strikes Back

2011 Dirty Business: Who Funds Wind Concerns Ontario? Electronic document, http://bigcitylib. blogspot.ca/2011/09/dirty-business-who-fundswind-concerns.html. Retrieved December 2011.

Bourzac, Katherine

2011 Solar Cells that See Red. Technology Review. Electronic document, http://www.technologyre-view.com/energy/37994.

Bullis, Kevin

2011a Cheaper High-Efficiency Solar Panels. Technology Review. Electronic document http://www.technologyreview.com/energy/37882.

2011bEnergy Storage for Solar Power." Technology Review. Electronic document, http://www.technologyreview.com/energy/38257.

D'Alieseio, Renata.

2011. "Ontario government gives stranded solar projects a lifeline." [Toronto] Globe and Mail. 19 August.

Dillon, John and Ian Thompson with input from Heather Orange

2010 Pumped Up, how Canada subsidizes fossil fuels at the expense of green alternatives. Published by KAIROS: Canadian ecumenical justice initiatives in support of the re-energize campaign for a just and sustainable energy policy for Canada. (www.tarsands.watch.org). Retrieved Dec., 2011).

Engels, Frederick

1978 [1850] The Peasant War in Germany. New York: International Publishers.

Greenpeace Canada

2010 Renewable Is Doable. (www.greenpeace.org/ Canada/Global/Canada/report). Retrieved Dec., 2011.

Hamilton, Tyler

2011 Power Workers' Union spreading misinformation to protect its fieldom. Electronic document, http://www.cleanbreak. ca/2011/09/17/power-workers-union-spreadingmisinformation-to-protect-its-fieldom/.

Hampton, Howard

2003 Public Power. Toronto: Insomniac Press.

Harris, Michael

2011 Crown Estate Encouraging Tidal Power Expansion with Nine Contracts. Renewable Energy World. Electronic document, http://www.renewableenergyworld.com/rea/news/article/2011/12/crown-estate-encouraging-tidal-power-expansion-with-nine-contracts.

Lenin. V.I.

1924 [1916] Imperialism, the Last Stage of Capitalism. London: Communist Party of Great Britain.

Macek, Josef

1958 The Hussite Movement in Bohemia. Prague: Orbis.

Mackinnon, Don

2011 Big multi-nationals want to chip away at Ontario's electricity transmission company. Toronto Star. 19 September.

Morris, Lindsay

2011 Wind Energy Outlook 2012, An Uncertain Forecast. Renewable Energy World. Electronic document, http://www.renewableenergyworld.com/rea/news/article/2011/12/wind-energy-outlook-2012-an-uncertain-forecast.

Natural Resources Defence Council

2009 Debunking the "Spanish Jobs Study": 9
Inconvenient Truths You Should Know About.
Electronic document, http://switchboard.nrdc.
org/blogs/paltman/credit_for_trying_spanish_stud.
html.

Ontario Sustainable Energy Association (OSEA)

2011 Nuclear Power: Where's the Business Case? Electronic document, http://www.ontario-sea.org/Page.asp?PageID=122&ContentID=3483. Retrieved December 2011.

Patel, Prachi

2011a New battery could be just what the grid ordered. Technology Review. Electronic document, http://www.technologyreview.com/energy/38689.

2011bQuantum Dots as Solar Cells. Technology Review. Electronic document, http://www.technologyreview.com/energy/37248.

Seglins, Dave and John Nicol

Ont. Wind farm health risks downplayed: documents. Electronic document, http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/story/2011/09/21/wind-turbines.html.

Swift, Jamie and Keith Stewart

2004 Hydro, The Decline and Fall of Ontario's Electric Empire. Toronto: Between the Lines.

Toronto Renewable Energy Cooperative (TREC)

2011 Largest community wind co-op in Ontario facing further delays due to grid constraints. Electronic document, http://www.trec.on.ca/blog/trec-blog/2011/06/16/largest-community-wind-co-op-in-ontario-facing-further-delays-due-to-grid-constraints.

Trivedi, Bhupesh

2011 Solar power becomes cheaper than diesel in India. Electronic document, http://www.renew-ableenergyworld.com/rea/news/article/2011/12/solar-power-becomes-cheaper-than-diesel-in-india.

United Nations Development Programme

n.d. Renewable Energy-based Rural Electrification in Lesotho. Electronic document, http://www.undp.org.ls/energy/renewable_energy.php.

Whicher, Geroge F. (Trans.)

1949 The Goliard Poets. Norfolk Conn.: New Directions.

Seeking Spatial Pedagogy: Towards an Ontological Alternative

Brad D. Baumgartner
Indiana University of Pennsylvania

nnexed by the neoliberal hegemony of commercial professional training, our contemporary university system continues to exasperate a sense of communal exhaustion, a far deeper sense of cultural and political emptiness - the expansion of what Mark Fisher has recently termed 'capitalist realism' - than we have seen before. The United States' increased involvement in neoliberal capitalism, for instance, as evidenced by President Obama's 2009 hand-picking of U.S. Secretary of Education Arne Duncan, former CEO of Chicago Public Schools known for his consensus building, promotes a brand of anti-democratic education and yields ruinous effects. This cultural (il)logic does not foster safe spaces for critical thinking, but rather introduces militarized models of education disguised as progressive. Duncan's administration, one that forces efforts towards urban cleansing in some school districts, is perpetuating the nationwide malaise suffered as a result of the Bush administration's No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, the pink elephant that still loiters in many a classroom.

Yet we also find, within the histories of antiimperialism, that social change often happens in the vastness of such very ruin, at the critical point when an encounter with nothingness is substantiated. Rather than to intimate routine acts of repair as happens so frequently, this commentary is designed to explore a mode of being that provides educators with a new possibility of resistance, and to practically consider this mode of being as a viable alternative. Herein we aim to unite in what Edward Soja calls "the ontological struggle to restore the meaningful existential spatiality of being and human consciousness, to compose a social ontology in which space matters from the very beginning" (1989:7), and to generate more visibility concerning issues of social justice pedagogy and the democratic public sphere.

Developing a Left that collectively utilizes a spatial ontology will take some hard work, but we can begin by articulating the ways in which capitalist realism functions as a deictic phantom, one that refers to its own cultural haunting, foregrounds its own lack, and yet remains hidden under the aegis of ideology. As Fisher's analysis of capitalist realism insightfully shows, what seems to happen when late capitalism undergoes a significant reconfiguration, embedding strains of the global market with concentrations of wealth while others experience massive financial crisis, is that it presents itself as the only realistic political-economic system available – but this

is simply not true. There are alternatives. As we will argue, building on spatial conditions through which the pedagogical act may be reframed, in conditions not so deeply tied to corporate capital and neoliberal discourse, implicates us in a spatial redistribution, a right to the inner world that is more tenable and realistic than capitalist realism.

In social justice pedagogies one often sees an affective interplay, in which it is not thought but suffering that is of central importance. With ontology, one tends to think the "what is actually there and how is it so" in a way that differs from the affective interplay of social justice. Spatial ontology is at once distinct from other social ontologies because it considers existential struggle in terms of space, but it also relies on them - one's spatial consciousness corresponds to an equally social consciousness. When affect and thought coalesce vis-à-vis spatiality a threefold ontology, one that is "simultaneously and interactively social, historical, and spatial," soon develops (Soja 2010:1). And if we create a new conceptual space for pedagogy by rebalancing the structure of this threefold ontology, we can develop new strategies for encountering opposition and generate viable opportunities to dissent. As such, pedagogical space is restructured within the guidelines of a philosophy of affirmation (one which negates the initial negation) by practically applying spatial theory to pedagogy, and thus transforming knowledge production into activism.

The connection between pedagogy and social ontology can be easily linked to the classroom itself, a concrete space entangled within a complex history of power relations. On one level, non-critical pedagogies are often articulated in temporal language-matrixes (economies of institution, media, policy, etc.), ones which intimidate educators to conform their speech to the normative ideal. On another level, many educators employ normative discourse in order to harmonize their own ideological presuppositions as part of an ignorant reification of popular culture. In opposition to the complex internal processes of lived hegemony, critical pedagogy has historically functioned counter-hegemonically as an articulated, organized movement wherein critique and resistance must be continually renewed and recreated, truly

effective not in the realm of ambiguous monologue but of conscientious dialogue.

Educators can more fully negotiate their presence in personal and political space when pedagogy is situated within theories of thirdspace and described in terms of emergent identity. Purely physical or mental spaces present challenges to an educator's sense of spatial being, and thus hinder social-pedagogical processes—such as classroom interactions, structuring activities or assignments, and teaching—that are germane to fostering student development. When it is socially produced, spatiality becomes "an 'embodiment'and medium of social life itself," writes Soja, a medium which subverts traditional Western dualisms in favor of a "social incorporation-transformation" that synthesizes nature and cognition, body and mind (1989:120). Critical pedagogy, in a similar way, may benefit by opening up alternative, hybrid spaces, integrating social relations on the interdependent levels of race, class, gender, nationhood, etc.

Most recently, sj Miller has added to the spatial concept-repertoire, coining the term fourthspace. Miller's position is in many ways an extension of theories on third space, yet it helpfully and appropriately adds a vertical dimension to the concept of spatial being. For Miller, fourthspace helps educators resist what Soja refers to as a psychasthenic state, "a state in which we are unable to demarcate our own personal boundaries and become engulfed by and camouflage ourselves in the scholastic milieu" (2008:7). Currently, the concept of fourthspace is regarded within the particular discourse community of English education, yet we can broaden the scope of the term to encompass all critical educators. In this context fourthspace serves as a mediating space, one which allows educators to incorporate an immense inner space with immediate practice - a continual perception existing prior to the inner experience's sublimation to discursive intellect.

The Auto-production of Space

If our analysis is to posit an alternative spatial pedagogy, it must be geared, as spatial ontologist Henri Lefebvre would have it, toward the production of space and not toward things in space (1991:89). Pedagogically-produced space refers itself to a teacher

identity that can "either be stabilized or affirmed in a given social space, or destabilized when a social space excludes or is unwelcoming of a particular identity, or even restabilized once the individual has had time to regroup" (Miller 2010:63). The conditions of spatial creation are subject to such factors in part because fourthspace is auto-produced, an inner experience resulting from the causal relation of thoughts, desires, social milieu, and individual identity. This mode of spatial production reveals the contingency of one's own facticity: that at any given time in a social space, whether one is aware of it or not, the interconnected elements of existential struggle circumscribe and inform an educator's emergent identity.

In contrast to thirdspace – a liminal signifying space often marked by intersecting discourses, histories of power and struggle, and the geographies of cultural difference – fourthspace extends signifying space inwardly, similar to a moebius strip. It is the genius loci of inner experience, a space of escape and relocation, for even the most evocative critical pedagogies convey secret meanings: personal ethics which express cues of resistance. And given that a critical pedagogy requires an intimate knowledge of social cues that remain unknown and unknowable to capitalist realism, spatial pedagogy requires considerable privacy to found the expression of such cues. In an effort to uncover "the superlative element in what is hidden" (Bachelard 89), educators utilizing this untouchable place, completely secret and uninhabited by any process of a lived hegemony, can oppose the value-laden, symbolic space of Capital. Thus (and to oversimplify), the experience of locating and relocating the self's spatial presence would be slightly different – weirdly identifying with the superlative element in accessing space in itself – for everyone who auto-produces such space and is therefore joined in the vastness of inner experience.

Embodying Space

Of particular interest to our argument is exactly how emergent teacher identities are performed and constituted via embodied acts. Maurice Merleau-Ponty was perhaps the first philosopher to theorize the body as the fabric into which the world weaves itself (Bannan 1967:93), or put another way, as a

historically-mediated set of personal possibilities. According to Merleau-Ponty, the individual's body is a constituent of perception, that body "by which man transcends himself towards a new form of behavior, or towards other people, or towards his own thought, through his body and his speech" (1962:194). Within this framework, one's conception of spacetime is felt, or perceived via the body's senses, and culminates with an affective response. As such, the body becomes a repository for all theoretical and ethical experiences of the mind as perceived by the critical educator in fourthspace, and through her body the critical educator is capable to carry out fidelity to the event¹ of their spatial pedagogy. This event is thus dialectically conjoined to one's bodymind: "It is that point in reality where [concepts] accomplish their meaning, where they utter themselves. The body...is that strange object which uses its own parts as a general system of symbols in the world" (Bannan 1967:93). During a fourthspace journey, in which one has identified a felt moment – whether empathic, affective, or teachable – the self-in-fourthspace becomes unified with the body for the first time.

Much remains to be said about whether felt events exterior to the self produce manifold behaviours that were once hidden but did indeed exist *a priori* to the felt event. Thus, any further analysis of the auto-production of fourthspace must consider the role that the anteriority of the mind/body split plays in the teacher's past and present, lived or livable experience. Repairing the scission between mind and body is important for educators because this split is the cycling presence/absence dialectic that haunts non-self-actualization. But inner experience unifies

¹ Within this framework, fourthspace becomes linked to what Alain Badiou's work on ethics substantiates: the potential for radical innovation in every situation (Hallward 2001). Utilizing fourthspace as vertical space, the critical educator upwardly bears the trajectory of her own subjective truth-formation, a formation that must be enacted via what Badiou calls an 'event.' An event is a happening, something that is proclaimed by a subject, which is spurred from her situation. An educator's personal event, in our view, is her embodied practice as it is brought forth into spatial being, and, as such, is the proclamation of her truth-procedure as a break with the "ordinary" temporal situation in which it takes place. It becomes a single process of personal affirmation: through her spatio-subjective lens and by maintaining fidelity to the consequences of this event, one that takes place in a situation but not of it (Hallward 2001), her truth upholds being. As such, the educator unmasks the corresponding socio-political situation of her time. Here fourthspace is not a metaphor for truth at all, but rather an economy between embodied reality and a self-actualized event.

the mind/body split by embarking teachers on a perceptual journey, one which utilizes a verticospective gaze to look at oneself (while within) from above. Throwing out the entire metaphysical system used prior to Merleau-Ponty for a new speculative pedagogy that implicates teachers in body-mind synthesis, the vertical production of fourthspace insists upon the primacy of one's own sensory experience of a bodily act in accordance with its psycho-geographical integration. We have here, then, a new self-analytical framework - a continual progression of bodily processes at sites of intuition, classroom, and teaching, which impress upon an educator, as if bearing witness to the auto-production of space, what Merleau-Ponty calls 'fresh presents,' a flowing series of non-linear, perceptual reflections.

Enacting Spatial Pedagogy

Mark Fisher reminds us of Slavoj Žižek's assessment of capitalism in general, as it "relies on a structure of disavowal" (2009:13). So long as we know that capitalism works on us at the level of libidinal fantasy, then we are precisely accepting the very ideological pawning that capitalist realism does not try to conceal: neoliberal ideology relies on previously assumed behaviours and beliefs while masquerading as progressive. (Contemporary examples of commodity fetishism play on a reverse politics of visibility. Lady Gaga, for example, in all her iconic allusiveness, shows us everything while in fact showing us nothing.) In other words, the ideological work that capitalist realism actually does is something like trying to square a circle. As a result, many educators want a system that appeals to the pathos of our innermost desires and, yet, when the system abandons us, failing to reify those desires, any attempt to restructure the system is consequently abandoned in fear of political repercussions.

Fisher has also observed that capitalist realism represents itself not as a totality in itself but in smaller doses, namely in the form of bureaucracy (2009:49). There is a close relationship between bureaucracy and pedagogy, one often manifested via behavior, and not totally, as one might initially think, through a cognitive power play. The problems facing a newly hired educator, for instance, are at their greatest in

the task of developing a sustainable pedagogy, as it must take into account, often for the first time, an awareness of individual habits and predispositions to create an atmosphere that is receptive to the needs of each student. In order to stimulate an internal process in which each student may become capable to make critical choices based on experiential knowledge gained from interacting with others, a critical pedagogy is key yet likely challenging when met by manipulative administrators, especially those who are easily taken to capitalist realism's brand of desire deferral.

In Narratives of Social Justice Teaching, Miller suggests that "by moving toward a vertical space, or space that cannot be seen to the visible eye, vertical space can become a haven for how to support...teachers in their conceptualization of social justice pedagogy" (2008:6). In this way, a sense of vertical space refers to being at one with the mode of spatial production during pedagogical fresh presents. This unity with the conditions through which this pedagogical act is framed gives rise to a conscientization² that constitutes the experience itself, from an awareness of one's willed systemic changes, to the acquisition of a new skill set. As the organization of academic labour moves towards the production of a vertical space that can protect educators from outside forces, and with its foundation in the democratic public sphere, we find that trans-cultural analogies are also available to aid us in generating spatial consciousness.

In shamanic traditions, spatial being is often explained through the pastime of storytelling. These stories, which upon first reading appear simply to recount a shamanic experience, are often transferrable to other cultural contexts, indicating a utility of meaning that extends much further than to just one person or group. In the tradition of the Kalahari bushpeople, descriptions of vertical havens are often

² Paulo Freire, once an advocate of the liberation theology movement in 1960s Latin America, is an admittedly utopian thinker who exhibits profound Marxian and Christian convictions in his writing. Freirean critical pedagogy strives, first and foremost, for conscientization—meaning consciousness raising within individuals—a word which is derived from the Portugese "conscientização." Maureen O'Hara points out that "he sees human beings as responsible participants in the continuous evolution of consciousness. This vision and his work to bring it about are the basis of what he terms 'comradeship' with Christ and with Marx" (O'Hara 1989:13).

used in order to evoke spiritual teachings, expressed through the medium of narrative and necessary from their inception to prolong the well-being of a still largely oral culture. In *Shamans of the World*, Motaope Saboabue, a Kalahari bushwoman healer, narrates a spiritual story to arrive at a deeper understanding of spatial being, wherein while she heals the sick people in her village she dances, going into a trance and growing very tall. Saboabue describes this vertical growth in terms of the Great Spirit who taking the form of a rope lifts her up and takes her away.

The rope-like figures portrayed on the book's cover can be said to artistically render a configuration of this experience, one in which people stretching into the sky are those who have managed to grasp the rope of the Great Spirit. Saboabue's description of her experience here becomes important. While projected into vertical space "the spirit decides where it will take you," she explains, "and the spirit brings you back. If the spirit takes you away [up], it is your spirit that goes away and your body remains on the ground. When you come back, you return to your body. You can never tell anyone else where you went. It is between you and your soul" (2008:228). Finding a point of analogy between this story and spatial pedagogy is one example of fourthspace's bodily and cross-cultural utility. This beautiful story can be applied by and large, in such a way that space matters from the very beginning, to a fourthspace check in/ out: at one with their inner world, at once penetrating space in itself via the bodymind, educators can utilize fourthspace via vertical floatation, and much like Saboabue's shamanic way fourthspace remains a pathway to safety.

The primary relation between safety and pedagogy is explainable as a validation of spatial being, an affirmation that accounts for the fundamental existential experience of seeking spatial justice. On one hand, when educators feel safe they are more apt to explore the perimeters of thought and its relation to being. On the other hand, as their will grasps the potentiality of spatial being they are able to deepen their ability to cultivate dialogical relationships with their students. If bureaucratic alienation impairs an individual's ability to create and transform, then spatial justice pedagogy, of which dialogue is a central feature, repairs the impoverishment of alienation to the level of critical consciousness.

As we strive to achieve new conditions through which pedagogical acts are framed, spatial ontology introduces something beyond the limits of ruin and in favour of the immense depth of psycho-geography; it allows us to think the most basic level of how human beings fit into the spatial domain. Not a circuit in the sense of a capitalist realist superstructure, spatial justice pedagogy provides us with an alternative ontological concept and a new field of speculative possibility: spatial justice pedagogy offers us the collective subject that neoliberalism's brand of education promised but has consistently failed to achieve.

References

Bachelard, Gaston.

1958 The Poetics of Space. Maria Jolas, trans. Boston: Beacon Press.

Bannan, John F.

1967 The Philosophy of Merleau-Ponty. New York: Harcount.

Fisher, Mark

2009 Capitalist Realism. Winchester: Zero Books.

Lefebvre, Henri

1974 The Production of Space. Donald Nicholson-Smith, trans. Malden: Blackwell.

Hallward, Peter

2001 Translator's Introduction. Ethics: An Essay on the Understanding of Evil. By Alain Badiou. London: Verso.

Merleau-Ponty, Maurice

1962 The Phenomenology of Perception. London: Routledge.

Miller, sj

2008 Introduction: Fourthspace – Revisiting Social Justice in Teacher Education. In Narratives of Social Justice Teaching: How English Teachers Negotiate Theory and Practice between Preservice and Inservice Spaces. New York: Peter Lang.

2010 Scaffolding and Embedding Social Justice into English Education. *In* Change Matters: Critical Essays on Moving Social Justice Research from Theory to Policy. sj Miller & David E. Kirkland, eds. Pp 61-69. New York: Peter Lang.

O'Hara, Maureen

1989 Person-Centered Approach as Conscientização: The Works of Carl Rogers and Paulo Freire. Journal of Humanistic Psychology 29(1):11-35.

Saboabue, Motaope

2008 Kalahari Bushmen Healers. *In Shamans of the World*. Nancy Connor and Bradford Keeney, eds. Boulder: Sounds True.

Soja, Edward W.

1989 Postmodern Geographies. London: Verso.

2010 Seeking Spatial Justice. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.