Effect of Salinity on the Growth of Welsh Onions (Allium fistulosum)

Authors: Balkirat Mahal, Harman Sandhu and Sandeep Singh

Abstract

This study aimed to investigate how varying concentrations of salt will affect the growth of Welsh onions (*Allium fistulosum*). In this experiment, using Sodium Chloride (NaCl), four different treatments (0, 50, 100, 200 millimolar (mM)) levels were made. Three onions were placed in each treatment level in different cups and were monitored for a total of two weeks. A significant decrease in the stem length of the Welsh onions was seen as the NaCl concentrations increased, this is seen as after the two week period the 0 mM treatment level stem grew to an average length of 22.8 cm whereas the 50, 100 and 200 mM grew to an average length for the 0 mM treatment level stem grew to an average length of 22.8 cm whereas the seen in the growth of the roots as the average length for the 0 mM treatment level was 7.8 cm whereas the average length for the 50, 100 and 200 mM was 4.7, 3.6 and 1.5 cm respectively. From this, it can be understood that as the concentration of NaCl increases, the growth of the stems and roots will be reduced. Overall, from this experiment, we can say that NaCl is a compound that, at high concentrations, inhibits and hinders the growth capabilities of Welsh onions.

Introduction

With the world population expected to increase by 2 billion by the year 2050, soil salinity is becoming an immense obstacle for agriculture (Gupta & Huang, 2014). Currently, 20% of the agricultural land around the world is affected by salt stress and this percentage is expected to increase over the coming years (Gupta & Huang, 2014). Sodium Chloride (NaCl) is considered to have one of the most detrimental effects on plants as the accumulation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions inside the tissues of plants results in severe ion imbalance (Gupta & Huang, 2014). This prevents the uptake of essential minerals such as Potassium ions (K⁺), leading to lower productivity, growth and sometimes even death (Gupta & Huang, 2014). Onions are classified as a salt-sensitive crop (Chang & Randle, 2004); hence a lot of research has been done on investigating the impacts of NaCl on onion growth. An experiment conducted by Chang and William looked at whether the growth of bulb onions (Allium cepa) changed as the concentration of NaCl varied (2004). They found that as the concentration of NaCl increased, a drastic decrease in the growth of the bulb onions was seen. Similarly, a study run by Singh and Roy looked at how well bulb onions can handle an increase in the concentration of NaCl (2015). Through this experiment, they found that up to 150 mM the bulb onions can do a decent job at resisting the NaCl-induced stress but at concentrations above that (200 mM) the plant is unable to do so as an extreme decrease in growth is seen. While these publications do a great job at portraying how NaCl affects the growth of onions, they focus solely on bulb onions (Allium cepa). In our experiment, we decided to look at how different concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 100,

200 mM) affect the growth of Welsh onions (*Allium fistulosum*). Investigating this is important as many types of onions are grown across the world and understanding how NaCl affects each type is crucial. The goal of this experiment was to look at how different concentrations of salt impacts the growth of Welsh onions. The hypothesis of this experiment is that if the concentrations of NaCl increases then the growth (root and stem length) of the Welsh onions will decrease.

Methods

In this experiment, Welsh onions were used to test the impact of salt on their growth. Table Salt (NaCl) was used and four solutions of different NaCl concentrations were prepared. The concentrations used in this experiment were 0 mM (0g NaCl/L of water, no salt added), 50 mM(2.7g NaCl/L of water), 100 mM (5.8g NaCl/L of water) and 200 mM(11.7g NaCl/L water). These concentrations were chosen because previous studies, including the one by Singh and Roy in 2015, have done similar experiments using concentrations ranging from 0 to 200 mM. In our experiment, each onion was cut to 8.5cm and the roots were left uncut. The initial lengths were recorded for the stems and roots. Each onion was placed in a plastic cup with 1cup of water for each treatment. Furthermore, 3 replicates were done per treatment and the cups were placed in an area that received even sunlight.



Image 1. This image shows how the onions were cut and placed into each treatment level

We then monitored and recorded the growth of both the root and stem lengths daily. The method used to measure the stem and root was firstly taking the onion out of the aqueous solution and then via a ruler measuring the longest strand of the root (root measurement) and then measuring the rest of the onion (stem measurement). This was done for a total of 14 days from which a large data pool was made. A oneway ANOVA and a Tukey's multiple comparisons test was done to test for significance.

Results

The one-way ANOVA test for the length of the onions resulted in a p-value of 0.003 and a p-value of less than 0.001 for the root length data. The line graphs below show the growth data for each treatment over 2 weeks. Each treatment had 3 replicates of onions. The root and onion length were averaged out for each day. Figure 1 shows the data for the onion length and figure 2 shows the data for the root length for each concentration of salt. The mean lengths and standard deviations are provided in the figure legends below. Raw data and Graphpad sample analyses are shown in the Appendix. The onions subjected to low concentrations of salt grew at higher rates and were seen to uptake more water than those in high concentrations.



Figure 1: Average onion length for each treatment(0mM, 50mM, 100mM, and 200mM NaCl) is shown. Sample size is n=3 for each treatment. P-value =0.003.Error bars show standard error for each treatment.

Treatment 1(0mM): mean onion length=22.8cm, SD=11.0cm Treatment 2(50mM): mean onion length=16.6cm, SD=6.9cm Treatment 3(100mM): mean onion length=14.1cm, SD=4.3cm Treatment 4 (200mM): mean onion length=10.9cm, SD=1.2cm.



Figure 2 : Average root length for each treatment(0mM, 50mM, 100mM, and 200mM NaCl) is shown. Sample size is n=3 for each treatment. P-value <0.0001.Error bars show standard error for each treatment.

Treatment 1(0mM): mean root length=7.8cm, SD=3.5cm Treatment 2(50mM): mean root length=4.7cm, SD=1.7cm Treatment 3(100mM): mean root length=3.6cm, SD=0.7cm Treatment 4 (200mM): mean root length=1.5cm, SD=0.1cm.

Discussion

With the results obtained from this study, it can be concluded that when the concentration of NaCl increases, the growth of Welsh onions (*Allium fistulosum*) is inhibited. The p-value (significance level) obtained from the statistical analysis of both root (p < 0.0001) and onion length (p = 0.003) have a pvalue less than 0.05, therefore these results are significant. Through this statistical analysis, the alternate hypothesis is accepted and the null hypothesis is rejected. The overall effect of NaCl observed was that the increase in the concentration of NaCl resulted in a decrease in the growth of the onions. Also, as the concentration of the NaCl was increased, the average growth of both the root and the onion stem length would decrease evidently. A Tukey's multiple comparisons test was also conducted (see appendix) where the average difference between 0 mM and 100 mM are significant and the average difference between 0 mM and 200 mM were also significant. This analysis tells us that the concentration of NaCl of 100 mM and 200 mM compared with 0 mM (tap water) are statistically significant whereas the concentration of 50 mM compared to 0 mM (tap water) did not have a statistical difference. This test further supports the alternate hypothesis of increasing the concentration of NaCl will decrease the growth of both the root length and the onion length. The results obtained in the experiment correlate with some experiments done in the past. In a 2004 study done by Chang and Randle, it was found that as the concentration of NaCl was increased the growth of the onion would decrease. In this experiment, it was found that when the

onion and the stem were in the 200 mM NaCl solution they did not grow a significant amount. Especially the roots, they grew for the first couple of days of the trial but after those days, there was no growth in the root length seen in the 200 mM solution of NaCl. Moreover, in another study done by Singh and Roy in 2015, similar results were seen. In their experiment, it was found that the onions (although different from the ones done in this experiment) had a drastic fall in growth after the concentration of NaCl was greater than 200 mM. In the experiment done here, the growth of onions in the 200 mM NaCl solution (mean onion length:10.9 cm, mean root length:7.8 cm). Some sources of error in this experiment can be; the cups used in this experiment were contaminated, this can negatively affect the results of the experiment as these other substances present may influence the growth of the onions. Furthermore, the curvature of the onions growing may have affected the results, this is a possibility as when onions grow they do not always grow straight and this can pose a problem when measuring the growth of the onion. Therefore, in future studies, these sources of error can be addressed by washing and drying the cups thoroughly. The curvature problem can be fixed by using a string to measure the size of the onion and then measure the string using the ruler because the string can be bent along the onion.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results obtained in this experiment help justify that increasing the concentration of NaCl in the water will decrease the growth of the onion and its stem (*Allium fistulosum*). Through these results, the p-value observed for both growth of the root length (p < 0.0001) and onion length (p = 0.003) have a p-value less than 0.05, therefore these results are significant. This allows us to accept that the alternate hypothesis is correct and reject the null hypothesis.

Acknowledgements

First of all, we would like to thank the University of British Columbia for proving the course that allowed this experiment to be done. Secondly, a great thanks to Celeste Leander, Tessa Blanchard and Anne Kim from Biology 342 for their help with giving assistance regarding data analysis and for providing feedback. Finally, thanks to all colleagues who provided feedback and helped better the experiment.

References

- Chang, P.-T., & Randle, W. M. (2004). Sodium Chloride in nutrient solutions can affect onion growth and flavour development. *HortScience*, 39(6), 1416–1420. https://doi.org/10.21273/hortsci.39.6.1416
- Gupta, B., & Huang, B. (2014). Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. *International journal of genomics*, 2014, 701596. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/701596
- Singh, D., & Roy, B. K. (2015). Salt stress affects mitotic activity and modulates antioxidant systems in onion roots. *Brazilian Journal of Botany*, 39(1), 67–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40415-015-0216-0

Appendix

1. Onion (stem) length averaged data and one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison analysis from GraphPad

Fil	e Sheet Undo Clipboard	Analysis		Change	Import	Draw	Write		Te	xt		Export	Print Send LA	Help	
	😼 🖉 • 🔅 🖈 • 🖒 • 🐇 🖪	E-E	Ξu	<u>≩∎ ģ↓ - ⊘</u>			6 CX 11	Y Arial			<u> </u>	txt	8* Å* 👌	- 💆 F	Prism8
		Analyze		#.# ¥ ¥ 123 (xml		I' T A	TAT BI	<u>U</u> X'	X ₂			o 🐴 👘	0-	
-	Group A		Group E	3			Gro	up C				Group D		Group E	Group F
	0mM NaCl (Average Onion length in cm)	Onion 50mM N	VaCI (Average	Onion length ir	n cm) Oni	nion 100mN	/ NaCl (Ave	erage Onion lengt	h in cm)	Onior	n 200mM NaC	l (Average On	ion length in cm)	Title	Title
1	8.50000000			8.5000	00000			8.50	000000	0			8.500000000		
2	9.366666667			9.2333	33333			9.26	666666	7			9.300000000		
3	9.933333333			9.8000	00000			9.73	333333	3			9.70000000		
4	11.566666670			10.4000	00000			10.13	333333	0			9.966666667		
5	14.133333330			11.3000	00000			11.00	000000	0			10.30000000		
6	17.066666670			12.8000	00000			11.90	000000	0			10.6333333330		
/	21.366666670			14.4000	00000			12.83	3333333	0			11 166666670		
9	27.633333330			17.5000	00000			15.13	333333	0			11.400000000		
10	30.333333333			19.7000	00000			16.23	333333	0			11.600000000		
11	32.966666670			22.1333	33330			17.70	000000	0			11.700000000		
12	34.966666670			24.5666	66670			19.00	000000	0			12.0666666670		
13	37.133333330			26.7333	33330			20.33	333333	0			12.300000000		
14	38.90000000			29.1000	00000			21.73	333333	0			12.500000000		
15															
17										-					
18															
19															
20															
21															
22															
23															
24															
26															
27															
28															
Fil • •	e Sheet Undo Clipboard	Analysis	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T T A	Ar B	Text rial $I \ \underline{U} \ x^2 \ x_2$			Export	t Print Seno ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	LA Help	Ρ	rism ⁸ 8
Fil • • •	e Sheet Undo Clipboard	Analysis	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T T A	Ar Ar B	Text rial IUX ² X ₂			Export	t Print Seno	LA Help	Ρ	rism ⁸ 8
Fil • •	e Sheet Undo Clipboard ↓ ↓ New ↓ ○ ↓ ↓ NOVA results × ■ Multiple comparison Ordinary one-way ANOVA ANOVA secults	Analysis Analyze Analyze	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T T A	✓ Ar	Text rial IUX ² X ₂		▲■■■	Export	t Print Senc	LA Help	Ρ	rism ⁸ 8
Fil 	e Sheet Undo Clipboard Clipboard V V V V V V C NOVA results X Multiple comparison Ordinary one-way ANOVA ANOVA results	Analysis Analyze	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T A	✓ Ar	Text	- 11 H		Export	rt Print Senc		P	rism ⁸ 8
	e Sheet Undo Clipboard Clipboard V V V V V V C NOVA results X Multiple comparison Ordinary one-way ANOVA ANOVA results Table Analyzed	Analysis	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T A	× Ar	Text		 ▲ ● ■ ■ ■ 	Export	t Print Sence		P	orism ⁸
	e Sheet Undo Clipboard ↓ ↓ New ↓ C ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑	Analysis	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T T A	✓ Ar A ^v B	Text			ter	t Print Sence		P	rism ⁸
Fill • •	e Sheet Undo Clipboard Clipboard V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Analysis Analysis Analyze Analyze Onion length A-D	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T T A	► Ar B	Text		► <u>A</u> P = •	€xpor	t Print Sence		P	rism 8
Fill • •	e Sheet Undo Clipboard	Analysis Analysis Analyze ons × × Onion length A-D	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C X 10 T T A	✓ Ar A' B	Text			Expoo	t Print Sence		P	rism 8
Fill • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e Sheet Undo Clipboard	Analysis Analyze & Analyze & Onion length A-D	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C C 10 T T A	✓ Ar Ar B	Text			Expoo	t Print Sence		P	Prism8
Fill Fill	e Sheet Undo Clipboard Clipboard V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Analysis Analyse (Contempted and the second	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C T A	✓ Ar Ar B	Text fial I U X ² X2			Expoo	t Print Sono		P	rism [®]
Fill Fill	Sheet Undo Clipboard Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard Image: View of the clipboard View of the clipboard <	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis Onion length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** Vas	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C T A	✓ Ar * A [*] B	Text fial I U X ² X2			Expo	t Print Sonce		P	rism8
Fill Fill	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Imag	Analyzis Analyzis Analyzis Analyzis Onion length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** Yes 0.3017	Interpr	et Change	Draw V	Write C 10 T T A	ĭ Ar B	Text			Expo	t Print Sener		P	rism8
Fiil → → ↓ → ↓ → ↓ → ↓ → ↓ → ↓ → ↓ →	Sheet Undo Clipboard Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: View of the clipboard <td< td=""><td>Analysis Analyse Analyse Chicon length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** Yes 0.3017</td><td>Interpr</td><td>et Change</td><td></td><td>Write C 10 T T A</td><td>✓ Ar A' B</td><td>Text</td><td>μî ψ</td><td></td><td></td><td>t Print Sener</td><td></td><td>P</td><td>rism8</td></td<>	Analysis Analyse Analyse Chicon length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** Yes 0.3017	Interpr	et Change		Write C 10 T T A	✓ Ar A' B	Text	μî ψ			t Print Sener		P	rism8
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Imag	Analyzis Image: Construction Onion length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** Yes 0.3017	Interpr	et Change		Write X 10 C 10 F T A	✓ Ar	Text fial I U X ² X ₂ 	LAÉ W			t Print Sener		P	rism8
FII	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Imag	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis (Analysis (Analysis) (An	Interpr	et Change	Draw V Carlor Carlor C	Write X 10 C 10 F T A	✓ Ar	Text fial	MÎ W	 ▲ ▼ 		t Print Sener		P	rism [®] 8
FII 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Imag	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis (Analysis (Analysis) (Analysis) (Onion length A-D (Analysis) (Onion length A-D (Onion length) (A-D (Onion length) (A-D (Interpr	et Change	Draw V Carlor V T		✓ Ar B	Text fial	info info	 ▲ ▼ ■ ■		t Print Sener		P	
FIII 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Undo Clipboard Clipboard V V Multiple compariso Ordinary one-way ANOVA ANOVA results Image: Clipboard Table Analyzed Data sets analyzed Data sets analyzed Image: Clipboard P value P value P value summary Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis (Analysis (Analysis (Analysis) (Analysis (Analysis) (Anal	Interpr	et Change			▼ Ar B	Text fial	in in in			t Print Sener		P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis (Analysis (Analysis) (An	Interpr	et Change			✓ Ar B	Text fial				t Print Sener		P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analysis Analys	Interpr	et Change			× Ar B	Text fial				t Print Sener		P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis Conion length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** Ves 0.3017 (0.0001 *** 43.97		et Change			✓ A [*] B	Text fial I U X ² X2 I U X ² X2 I I I X ² X2 I I I X ² X2 I X X ² X2 I	UN Chia			t Print Sener		P	
Image: Constraint of the second sec	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analyzis Analyzis Analyzis Analyzis Conion length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** 4.90 (0.001 *** 4.90 (0.001 *** 4.97 (0.001 *** 4.97 (0.001 **** (0.001 **** (0.001 **** (0.001 ***** (0.001 ***********************************		et Change	Draw V		► A [*] B	Text fial				t Print Seneral Se		P	
I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis Conion length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** 0.0003 *** 1.0001 1.0001 *** 4.3.97 4.3.97 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *		et Change	Draw V 		► A [*] B	Text fial	Hin Hi			t Print Seneral Se		P	
Image: Constraint of the second sec	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analysis Analysis Analysis Analysis Conicon length A-D 7.489 0.0003 *** 0.0003 *** 1.0001 1.0001 *** 4.3.97 <0.0001 *** 4.3.97 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *		et Change	Draw V		► A [*] B	Text fiel				t Print Seneral Se		P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analyzis Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis Onion length A-D Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis </td <td></td> <td>et Change</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>✓ Ar B</td> <td>Text fial</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>t Print Seneral Se</td> <td></td> <td>P</td> <td></td>		et Change			✓ Ar B	Text fial				t Print Seneral Se		P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analyzis Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis Onion length A-D Image: Analyzis Image: Analyzis </td <td>DF 3</td> <td>et Change</td> <td></td> <td>Write 0 10 C T A C T</td> <td>Value</td> <td>Text fial</td> <td>10¹</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>t Print Seneral Constraints</td> <td></td> <td>P</td> <td></td>	DF 3	et Change		Write 0 10 C T A C T	Value	Text fial	10 ¹			t Print Seneral Constraints		P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analyzis Image: Construction of the sector of	DF 3 52	et Change	F (DFn, DF F (3, 52) =	Write 10 C T A	✓ Ar B P value P=0.0003	Text fial						P	
Fill 	Sheet Undo Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard Image: Clipboard	Analyzis Image: Analyzis Im	DF 3 52 55	et Change	F (DFn, DF F (3, 52) =	Write IO C T A I I I <t< td=""><td>• A* B</td><td>Text fial</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>t Print Sener Print Sener Pri</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	• A* B	Text fial				t Print Sener Print Sener Pri			

Fi	e Sheet Undo Clipboard Ann	Ilysis Inter	pret Change Draw	Write C 10 ✓ T A [*] A [*] B	Text Arial	▾Ă ₂mềnề≣▾≞▾	Export Print	Send LA	Help ? ~	Prism8
	NOVA results × Multiple comparisons ×	×								
	Ordinary one-way ANOVA Multiple comparisons									
1	Number of families	1								
2	Number of comparisons per family	6								
3	Alpha	0.05								
4										
5	Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Significant?	Summary	Adjusted P Value				
6	Column A vs. Column B	6.183	-0.7252 to 13.09	No	ns	0.0946	A-B			
7	Column A vs. Column C	8.652	1.744 to 15.56	Yes	**	0.0086	A-C			
8	Column A vs. Column D	11.91	5.001 to 18.82	Yes	***	0.0002	A-D			
9	Column B vs. Column C	2.469	-4.439 to 9.378	No	ns	0.7788	B-C			
10	Column B vs. Column D	5.726	-1.182 to 12.63	No	ns	0.1368	B-D			
11	Column C vs. Column D	3.257	-3.651 to 10.17	No	ns	0.5976	C-D			
12										
13	Test details	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean Diff.	SE of diff.	n1	n2	q	DF	
14	Column A vs. Column B	22.76	16.58	6.183	2.603	14	14	3.359	52	
15	Column A vs. Column C	22.76	14.11	8.652	2.603	14	14	4.701	52	
16	Column A vs. Column D	22.76	10.85	11.91	2.603	14	14	6.471	52	
17	Column B vs. Column C	16.58	14.11	2.469	2.603	14	14	1.341	52	
18	Column B vs. Column D	16.58	10.85	5.726	2.603	14	14	3.111	52	
19	Column C vs. Column D	14.11	10.85	3.257	2.603	14	14	1.770	52	
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25						令 ~				
26						Note that long (>3) characters, or mult	tiline) column (row) titles were shortened	d to A, B, C (1, 2, 3)
27										

2. Root length average data and one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison analysis from GraphPad

Fi	ile Sheet Undo Clipboard ✓ ✓ ✓ ↔ ↔ ✓ ✓ ✓ ↔ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↔ ★ + New ✓ 5 ↑ ↑ ↓	Analysis Change □ □ 20 21 21 2 □ Analyze □ 21 21 21 2	Import Draw Write	Text Arial ▲ 3 I U x² x₂ m m m	Export Print	Send LA	Pris	imph ^{red} 8
	Group A	Group B	Group C	Group D	Group E	Group F	Group G	Group
	0mM NaCl (Average Root length in cm)	50mM NaCl (Average Root length in cm)	100mM NaCl (Average Root length in cm)	200mM NaCl (Average Root length in cm)	Title	Title	Title	Title
	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
1	1.666666667	2.20000000	2.733333333	1.33333333	3			
2	1.966666667	2.30000000	2.90000000	1.40000000)			
3	3.566666667	2.40000000	2.933333333	1.466666667	'			
4	4.966666667	3.066666667	2.966666667	1.50000000)			
5	6.566666667	3.666666667	3.133333333	1.533333333	3			
6	8.033333333	4.433333333	3.30000000	1.533333333	3			
7	8.666666667	4.966666667	3.433333333	1.533333333	3			
8	9.40000000	5.266666667	3.666666667	1.533333333	3			
9	9.866666667	5.633333333	3.90000000	1.533333333	3			
10	10.60000000	5.966666667	4.20000000	1.533333333	3			
11	10.966666670	6.233333333	4.333333333	1.566666667	,			
12	11.066666670	6.433333333	4.466666667	1.566666667	·			
13	11.166666670	6.633333333	4.533333333	1.566666667	,			
14	11.20000000	6.933333333	4.533333333	1.566666667	,			
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

Fi	le Sheet Undo Clipbo	ard An	alysis Interp	ret Change	Draw Wri	te	Text		Expo		*				- GraphPad
	B ∠ + ₩ X + C % E	I ← It't I ← Analy	ze 🚺 🎢	## 🔽 #2		T $A^{*} B$	I <u>U</u> x²x	2	- 1=- 📑	6		0-	0-	Pr	rism8
	NOVA results × 🗐 Multiple com	parisons ×	~												
/	Ordinary one-way ANOVA														
	ANOVA results														
1	Table Analyzed	Root leng	ıth												
2	Data sets analyzed	A-D	,												
3															
4	ANOVA summary														
5	F	24.99													
7	P value	<0.0001													
8	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.	05)? Yes													
9	R squared	0.5904													
10															
11	Brown-Forsythe test														
12	F (DFn, DFd)	12.40 (3,	52)												
13	P value	<0.0001													
14	P value summary	05\2												-	
15	Are SUS significantly different (P < 0.	uo)/ Yes													
17	Bartlett's test														
18	Bartlett's statistic (corrected)	100.9													
19	P value	<0.0001													
20	P value summary	****													
21	Are SDs significantly different (P < 0.	05)? Yes													
20															
22		22	DF	MS	F (DFn, DFd) P value									
22	ANOVA table	00													
22 23 24	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns)	291.4	3	97.14	F (3, 52) = 24	4.99 P<0.0001									
22 23 24 25 26	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns)	291.4 202.2	3 52	97.14 3.888	F (3, 52) = 24	4.99 P<0.0001									
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil □ ▼	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6	3 52 55 alysis Interpr	97.14 3.888 ret Change	F (3, 52) = 24	4.99 P<0.0001	Text	v <u>4</u>	Expor	t Print	Send ∱ ▼	LA Q-	Help	Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ••••	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6	3 52 55 alysis Interpr	97.14 3.888 ret Change	F (3, 52) = 24 Draw Write θ T	4.99 P<0.0001 e α T A [*] A [*] B .	Text	· · · · ·	► the table	t Print	Send Ĉ ▼ č ▼		Help	Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil € ▼	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 ard An C Analy:	3 52 55 alysis Interpr ze i / i	97.14 3.888	F (3, 52) = 24	4.99 P<0.0001	Text		← ti≡+	t Print	Send Ĉ ▼ č ▼		Help	Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	ard An Parisons ×	3 52 55 alysis Interp	97.14 3.888 	$ \begin{array}{c} F(3,52) = 24 \\ \hline \\ \bullet \\ \theta \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	e	Text	· <u>·</u>	► to	t Print	Send ↑ • ¢ •		Help ?	Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil 	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6	3 52 55 alysis Interp 20 1 2 2	97.14 3.888	F (3, 52) = 24	8.99 P<0.0001 e C T A [*] A [*] B	Text	· · · · ·	► to the second	t Print	Send ↑ ▼ ¢ ▼		Help	Pr	ism ⁸ 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ ■ 	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 52 55 alysis Interp	97.14 3.888	$ \begin{array}{c} F(3,52) = 24 \\ \hline \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	e T A ∧ B .	Text I I ∐ X ² X;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	► to the second	t Print	Send ↑ ▼ ¢ ▼		Help	Pr	ism ⁸
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ ■ A	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 52 55 alysis Interp	97.14 3.888	F (3, 52) = 24	e T A A B .	Text	. n m ≣	► the v	t Print	Send Ĉ ▼ Č ▼		Help ?	Pr	ism ⁸ 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ ■ 1 2 3	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 • C Analy: • C Analy: • T Analy: • T Analy: • C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C	3 52 55 alysis Interp	97.14 3.888	F (3, 52) = 24	e 0 0001 e 10 ♥ Aria T A A B	Text		- E+ Export	t Print	Send ↑ • & •		Help P Q V	Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ 1 2 8 9 1 2 2 3 3 4	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 CANABY CANABY TANABY TANABY TANABY CANAB	3 52 55 alysis Interp	97.14 3.888	F (3, 52) = 24	e 0 T A [*] A [*] B .	Text		► t≣+ Export	t Print	Send Î.▼ Ø.▼		Help	Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ ■ ■ 1 2 3 4 5 6	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 CANABY CANAB	3 52 55 alysis Interp 2 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	97.14 3.888 ret Change 	F (3, 52) = 24	6.99 P<0.0001 e C C C C C C C C C C C C C		マ <u>/</u> 小 前 IP 王	► • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t Print	Send ↑ • č. •		Help ?	Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ ¶ ■ A 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	1 6 0.05	3 52 55 alysis Interp 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	97.14 3.888 	F (3, 52) = 24	8.99 P<0.0001 e C C T A [*] A [*] B Adjusted P Value 0.0006 <0.0001	Text	・) <u>/</u> ☆ IP 王		Print Print	Send Ĉ ▼ Č ▼		Help	Pr	ISM 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ♥ ♥ 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	ard An y 493.6 ard Analy parisons X 1 6 0.05 Mean Diff. 3.112 4.190 6.324 4.324	3 52 55 alysis Interp 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	97.14 3.888 *** Change 企社 *** 〒 14 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	F (3, 52) = 24	4.99 P<0.0001 e C T A [*] A [*] B Adjusted P Value 0.0006 <0.0001	Text		► TE + Export	t Print	Send îr ▼ č. ▼		Help	Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fill ↓ ♥ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	231.4 202.2 493.6 493.6 • Analy • Anal	3 52 55 34)√55 22 15 22 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	97.14 3.888 2 2 3.888 2 3 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	F (3, 52) = 24	e C 10 → Aria T A [*] A [*] B . Adjusted P Value 0.0006 <0.0001 0.4763	Text			t Print	Send ↑ ▼ ¢, ▼		Help	Pr	ism ⁸ 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fili ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 493.6 • Analy • C	3 52 55 81/55 95.00% Cl of diff. 1.134 to 5.090 2.213 to 6.168 4.346 to 8.302 0.8894 to 3.056 1.234 to 5.190	97.14 3.868 2 2 3.868 2 3 3.868 2 3 5 3 3 5 3 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	F (3, 52) = 24 Praw Writh B T T T Summary T T T	 4.99 P<0.0001 a a	Text 7 U x ² x 4 A-B A-C A-D B-C B-D			t Print 	Send Î.▼ Ø.▼		Help	Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fi I I I 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 493.6 Analy	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	97.14 3.888 2 2 3.888 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	F (3, 52) = 24	A:99 P<0.0001 ■ 10 × Aria T A [*] A [*] B Adjusted P Value 0.0006 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4763 0.0004 0.00298	Text			t Print 	Send ↑ • ¢•		Help	Pr	ism ⁸
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ▼ ▼ 1 ▼ 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 Analy	3 52 55 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.5000 3.5000 3.5000 3.5000 3.5000 3.5000 3.5000 3.5000 3	F (3, 52) = 24 Draw Writh Image: Summary Summary Image:	A:99 P<0.0001 ■ 10 × Aria T A* A* B . Adjusted P Value 0.0006 <0.0001 0.4763 0.0001 0.4763 0.0004 0.00298	Text				Send ↑ • ¢•			Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fii • • • • • • • • • •	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	231.4 202.2 493.6 202.2 493.6 Canaly: parisons × Canaly: 1 6 0.05 Mean Diff. 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 2.212 2.133	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	97.14 3.888 Change Significant? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	F (3, 52) = 24 P (3,	8.99 P<0.0001	Text Text				Send ↑ • ¢. •			Pr	ism ⁸ 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fii • • • • • • • • • •	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 202.2 493.6 493.6 Canaby parisons × Canaby 1 6 0.05 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 .212 2.133 .212 2.133 .212 2.133 .212 2.133 .212 2.133 .212 2.14 .190 .212 .191 .214 .190 .212 .214 .214 .214 .214 .214 .214 .214	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.888 3.97 3.97 4.	F (3, 52) = 24 P (3, 52) = 24	8.99 P<0.0001	Text Te	Q	 → (Ξ +) → (Ξ +)	t Print 	Send ↑ ▼ č. ▼			Pr	ism ⁸ 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥ ♥	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total Undo Clipb	291.4 202.2 493.6 202.2 493.6 Canaby	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.888 3.99 3.99 4.90 4.90 3.112 4.190 6.324	F (3, 52) = 24 P Draw Writi With Writi With Writi Summary T State T State T Summary T State T <td>8.99 P<0.0001</td> a 10 ✓ Aria T A* A* B J a J J a J J b J J c J J a J J b J J c J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J d J J	8.99 P<0.0001	Text Text Text Text Text Text Text Text	q 5.905 7.952 12.00	 → (Ξ +) → (Ξ +)	t Print 	Send Ĉ ▼ Č ▼			Pr	ism ⁸ 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 ■ ■ A T C S C C C S C C C C C C C	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total Undo Clipb	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 Image: Constraint of the second	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.888 3.97 3.97 Yes Yes Yes Yes No 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079	F (3, 52) = 24 Para Writi Para Para	8.99 P<0.0001	Text Text Text Text Text Text Text Text	q q 5.005 7.952 12.00 2.047	 → (E) + (E) → (E)	t Printo Printo	Send ↑ • ¢. •			Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 Fil ■ ▲ ■ ▲ 1 ■ ▲ 1 ■ ▲ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 Image: Constraint of the second	3 52 55 1000% Cl of diff. 1.134 to 5.090 2.213 to 6.168 4.346 to 8.302 0.8994 to 3.056 1.234 to 5.190 0.1554 to 4.111 Mean 2 4.724 4.724 3.645 1.512 .512	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.888 3.97 3.97 Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Mean Diff. 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212	F (3, 52) = 24 P <	8.99 P<0.0001	Text Text Text Text Text Text Text Text	Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q	► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ►	t Printo Printo	Send ↑ • ¢. •			Pr	ism8
22 23 24 25 26 27 FII ■ A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 Image: Constraint of the second	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	97.14 3.888 3.888 significant? Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes Mean Diff. 3.112 1.079 3.212 2.133	F (3, 52) = 24 Praw Writh Praw Writh <	8.99 P<0.0001	Text	₹	DF 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	t Print	Send tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr			Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 FI 2 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 12 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 Image: Second Sec	3 52 55 14)ysis Interp 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	97.14 3.888 2 2 3.888 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	F (3, 52) = 24 Praw Writh Praw Praw Pr	8.99 P<0.0001	Text	q q 5.905 7.952 12.00 2.047 6.095 4.048	DF 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	t Print	Send			Pr	ism ⁸
22 23 24 25 26 27 FI • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 ard Analyz partisons × i i 6 0.05 0 0.05 3.112 4.93.4 1.079 3.212 2.133 7.836 7.836 7.836 7.836 7.836 7.836 7.836 7.836 3.645	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 50 50 50	97.14 3.888 2 2 3.888 2 3.888 2 3.10 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 3.112 3.112 4.190 1.079 3.212 2.133 3.112 3.21	F (3, 52) = 24	 8.99 P<0.0001 Report of the second sec	Text Te	q 5.905 7.952 12.00 2.047 6.095 4.048	DF 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	t Print B Print C P	Send ↑ • ¢. •			Pr	ism [®] 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 FI ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A A ■ A B A A B A A B A A B A A A B A A A A A B A A A A A A A A A A	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 ard 493.6 ard analyz partisons × ard 6 0.05 0 3.112 4 4.934 1.079 3.212 2.133 mean 1 7.836 7.836 7.836 4.724 3.645 3.645 3.645	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 50 50 50 50 50	97.14 3.868 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 3	F (3, 52) = 24 Draw Writh Image: state sta	8.99 P<0.0001	Text	Image: Constraint of the second se	DF 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	t Print 	Send Î ♥ d ♥			Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 FI ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A 	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6	3 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 50 50 50	97.14 3.868 3.868 3.868 3.90 3.90 3.90 3.90 3.90 3.90 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 3.90 3.	F (3, 52) = 24 Draw Writh Image: state sta	8.99 P<0.0001	Text	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	DF 52 52 52 52 52 52	t Print	Send Î ▼ d ▼			Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 25 26 27 FII ▼ ■ A ■ A ■ A ■ A 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 3 14 15 2 2 3 14 4 5 5 5 6 2 7 ■ A ■ A A	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	291.4 291.4 202.2 493.6 mark Analy parisons × arr f 0.05 mean 1 7.836	3 52 55 55 alysis alysis alysis 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.888 3.10 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 3.112 4.190 1.079 3.212 2.133	F (3, 52) = 24 P (3,	8.99 P<0.0001	Text	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	 Export Export	It Print i i </td <td>Sond ↑ ▼ & ▼</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Pr</td> <td>ism 8</td>	Sond ↑ ▼ & ▼			Pr	ism 8
22 23 24 26 27 Fil 26 27 Fil 26 27 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 12 20 22 23 24	ANOVA table Treatment (between columns) Residual (within columns) Total	ard 291.4 202.2 493.6 ard 493.6 ard 493.6 ard 6 0.05 1 6 0.05 Mean Diff. 3.112 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 7.836 4.724 3.645	3 52 55 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	97.14 3.888 3.888 3.888 3.10 3.102 4.190 6.324 1.079 3.212 2.133 3.112 4.190 5.3212 2.133	F (3, 52) = 24 P (3,	8.99 P<0.0001	Text Te	Image: Control of the second secon	► Export	it Print it Print <td>Sond Î ▼ d. ▼</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Pr</td> <td>ism 8</td>	Sond Î ▼ d. ▼			Pr	ism 8