used to castigate anti-Communists, non-Communists and ex-Communists in his column in the *Pacific Tribune*. The result is a series of vignettes varying in interest and accuracy, interspersed with the kind of moralizing to which the Communists are prone in print and on the platform.

*University of British Columbia*  
*Ivan Avakumovic*


This handsome volume is just what the title implies—a year-to-year chronicle extending from 1778, when Captain Cook and his crew became the first white men known with certainty to have set foot in what is now British Columbia, and 1846, when the Oregon Boundary Treaty made the 49th parallel the dividing line between British and American territory west of the Rocky Mountains. The unusual arrangement invites browsing as well as sustained reading. Entries vary in length from a few lines to a dozen pages, according to the interest and importance of the happenings in individual years. There is no padding for the sake of uniformity, and the overall course of events emerges clearly.

The cutoff date of 1846 offers several advantages. This early period is often dealt with in somewhat summary fashion in the first chapters of more comprehensive studies; separate treatment serves to highlight its distinctive character. In particular, it emphasizes the fact that until 1846 the fur trade was for practical purposes the only economic activity in the region. Settlement in the ordinary sense was non-existent. A few hundred fur traders were the sole white inhabitants.

The earlier chapters illustrate interesting contrasts between activities by sea and by land. The maritime fur trade, which centred upon the sea otter, began in 1785 and had largely run its course and virtually exterminated its quarry by 1825. It did not result in the building of forts or the founding of settlements. For the most part it was conducted on a hit and run basis; immediate profit, not a long trading relationship, was the object in view. Furs were secured by foul means if fair means failed, and the inevitable result was violence and massacre. Some exploring was done, incidental to trading voyages, but serious exploration was the work of official government-financed Spanish and British expeditions, with Vancouver’s superb and detailed survey of 1792-94 much the most important.
By contrast, on land the fur trade was conducted from a network of trading posts, many of which were maintained over a long period, and some of which have been succeeded by towns and cities. Contact with the Indians was continuous, and good relations and fair dealing were important to both parties. Exploration, for the purpose of ascertaining the nature and fur resources of new areas, and of locating the best travel routes, was part and parcel of fur trade activity. Fraser, Thompson and Mackenzie are the three names that come first to mind, but it is well to remember that for Fraser and Mackenzie exploration was an exceptional activity; their remarkable discoveries were made in a relatively short portion of their long careers as traders. Thompson was unique in that he was employed primarily as a geographer; for him, trading was a secondary activity.

Incidentally, the Akriggs, who have definite likes and dislikes, are highly critical of Thompson. Despite his achievements they feel that he “was hardly of the stuff of which leaders and heroes are made.” Nor are they impressed with Captain Gray, who may have entered the Columbia River and thereby given the United States what was probably its best claim to the Oregon country, but whose treatment of the Indians was harsh in the extreme. But praise is given as well as blame; the long entry for 1824 accords proper recognition to the amazing persistence and endurance that characterized Samuel Black’s exploration of the Finlay River and its hinterland — a truly remarkable feat by a fur trade explorer who has been almost forgotten because his discoveries had no immediate practical value.

Politically the most important events of the period were the Nootka Sound agreement of 1790, by which Spain abandoned her claim to exclusive ownership of the Pacific Coast, and the joint occupation agreement between Britain and the United States in 1818, which left the coast open to the nationals of both countries until such time as they could reconcile their claims and agree upon a boundary to divide their territories.

This is the background for one of the book’s major themes, the steps by which the United States managed to have the boundary pushed as far north as the 49th parallel in 1846, in spite of the fact that the British had strong claims to a line that would follow the Columbia River, and so include Puget Sound and most of the State of Washington in British territory. In this the Akriggs believe that Dr. John McLoughlin, who was in charge of the operations of the Hudson’s Bay Company west of the Rockies from 1825 to 1845, played an important part. It is a serious
charge, but the evidence to support it is substantial. There was much of the rebel in McLoughlin's nature. In 1832, in his private Character Book, George Simpson had expressed the view that McLoughlin "would be a Radical in any Country — under any Government and under any circumstances." McLoughlin openly expressed his sympathy with the rebels of 1837 and in a private letter written in 1841 he described the constitution of Canada as being "a Despotism in Disguise." Viewed from his wilderness empire in the Far West, the United States appeared to be a haven of liberty, freedom and democracy. He was convinced, moreover, that eventually the United States would gain possession of Oregon, a prospect that did not dismay him and undoubtedly increased the warmth with which he welcomed and assisted the first American missionaries and immigrants. The Hudson's Bay Company was aware of this but hesitated to retire him or even propose to transfer him to another district for fear that he might leave the service and organize an effective opposition. The Company did act eventually, but only on the eve of the Oregon Treaty.

McLoughlin had reason to change his views in later years. As early as 1840 a bout with a land-grabbing missionary slightly cooled his ardour; the attitudes and activities of the thousands of American settlers who poured into the country after 1842 increased his anxiety; by 1845 he was appealing for British naval protection. Nor did Oregon prove to be the promised land he had expected. American authority was no more than well established when a notorious clause in the Oregon Land Donation Law stripped him of his land holdings. Shortly before he died in 1857 McLoughlin was quoted as declaring: "As for me, I might better have been shot forty years ago than to have lived and tried to build up a family and an estate in this government."

The text reflects a wide range of sources, amongst them the records of the East Indian Company, which have rarely been consulted by students of West Coast history. The numerous maps and illustrations include interesting unfamiliar items, such as the first chart of the Fraser River, prepared by Captain Aemilius Simpson in 1827, and a drawing of the Russian establishment at Sitka, which at the time must have been the most imposing structure north of California. Although the bibliography is correctly described as select, it is an up-to-date listing of 135 titles (two of them published in 1974) that will meet the needs of anyone except the specialist.

One can quarrel with only one small matter of fact. The text states that Cook "right to the end... remained ignorant of the visit made earlier by the Spaniards" to the coast of British Columbia. But Cook
states in his own journal that “Some account of the Spaniards haveing
visited this Coast was published before I left England....” But this is an
insignificant blemish on a most attractive book. Readers will look forward
to a second volume, now in preparation, which will continue the chronicle
from 1847 to 1871, when British Columbia joined Canada.

Vancouver

W. KAYE LAMB


The Princess Story: A Century and a Half of West Coast Shipping, by

Until the completion of the province’s highway system, the provision of
reliable and regular air service, and the arrival of the fast automobile
ferry, the coastal towns and settlements of the province and the major
cities of Vancouver, Victoria and Nanaimo relied entirely on the coastal
steamers to provide the essential freight, mail and passenger links. Few
British Columbians can recall either the night boat to Vancouver or the
Union Steamship run to Ocean Falls without twinges of nostalgia. The
recent decisions by the provincial government to purchase the Princess
Marguerite and the Prince George reflect a willingness to keep this facet
of the province’s maritime character alive.

Gerald Rushton has written an engaging and generous narrative of the
Union Steamship Company from its beginnings in 1889 to its disappear­
ance in 1959 when it was taken over by Northland Navigation. During
that seventy-year period the Union ships stitched together the logging
camps, canneries and mills that dotted the coastline from Masset to Howe
Sound. Rushton is kind to the company — which is not surprising since
he was assistant manager prior to the take-over — and makes no attempt
to write a dispassionate historical analysis of either the role of the com­
pany in the growth of the province or of the operation of the company
itself. He is content to tell the story of the individual vessels and the trials
of the men who ran them. He makes occasional reference to some of the
more glaring managerial gaffes, and to the inadequacies of federal subsidy
policies, but, in the main, the story is suffused with that warm glow that
seems to typify recollections of ships and the sea.