Creating Methodological Space: A Literature Review of Kaupapa Mäori Research

Leonie Pihama Fiona Cram Sheila Walker University of Auckland

The term Kaupapa Mäori captures Mäori desires to affirm Maori cultural philosophies and practices. In short Kaupapa Maori is about being "fully" Maori.¹ These desires have only rarely been recognized by the mainstream education system that has at various times sought to "civilize," "assimilate," and "integrate" Mäori. The struggle by Mäori for control over how Mäori children and young people are educated has led to the establishment of Kaupapa Mäori education initiatives across all educational levels. These initiatives are exemplary in that they reflect Mäori aspirations and continue to produce bicultural, bilingual, confident, and well-educated Mäori. This article outlines the key elements underpinning these initiatives largely through an exploration of the writings that have emerged from Mäori education staff and students at the University of Auckland. A self-determination, anti-colonial education agenda emerges that is firmly based in Mäori language and cultural ways of being.

Kaupapa Mäori Education

In Aotearoa/New Zealand, Indigenous Mäori education initiatives span all levels of eduction from preschool to tertiary. The essence of these Kaupapa Mäori initiatives is the desire of Mäori to be Mäori. This has led to the creation of Mäori-controlled, Mäori language-medium education in which children and young people can be immersed in Mäori culture and know that being Mäori is the norm. This is the core of Kaupapa Mäori: the affirmation and legitimation of being Mäori.

Developing and sustaining Mäori education systems in a colonized society has not been without struggle. The mere existence of these initiatives can be described as a success story. In addition, many Kura Kaupapa Mäori claim low levels of truancy, few behavioral issues, and high levels of whänau support and involvement (Mäori Education Commission, 1998). On top of this, the creation of an education system that produces confident, bilingual, bicultural young Mäori (as well as a few who are not Mäori) makes Kaupapa Mäori education exemplary.

This article explores Kaupapa Mäori education, mainly through the writings and research of staff and students in Mäori Education at the University of Auckland. Under the mentorship of Linda and Graham

A glossary of Maori words and expressions appears at the end of this article.

Smith, the academic work of this group has contributed to the ongoing praxis of Kaupapa Mäori. The development of the Research Unit for Mäori Education that occurred shortly after Linda and Graham's appointment to the University of Auckland in 1988 ensured that space was created in the University to: conduct and disseminate Mäori research; train Mäori researchers; and strategize so as to influence educational policy (Smith, 1999). In a sense, this literature review is a celebration of the success of this small beginning.

In this article initiatives that pre-dated and foregrounded Kaupapa Mäori education are explored, followed by an overview of the term *Kaupapa Mäori*. Following this, Graham Smith's six Kaupapa Mäori intervention principles are used to organize the literature.

Mäoritanga and Taha Maori

Over the years key movements and shifts have brought us to the place where Kaupapa Mäori is now used more regularly in Mäori communities. This has not always been the case. In the 1960s and 1970s the discourse of Mäoritanga prevailed. In the 1980s and 1990s the discourse was about biculturalism and taha Mäori. Walker (1974) writes that the term Mäoritanga originated with Sir James Carroll in 1920. Later, in 1940, Apirana Ngata (Walker, 1974) described Mäoritanga as the "inculcation of pride in Mäori history and traditions, the retention as far as possible of old time ceremonial, the continuous attempt to interpret the Mäori point of view to the Päkehä in power" (p. 45).

Crucial to Ngata's point is the influence of the social and power relationships between minority and majority groups. Mäori Marsden (1975) saw Mäoritanga as the "corporate view that Mäoris hold about ultimate reality and meaning" (p. 144). Rangihau (1975) added relish to the debate. For him the term Mäoritanga was invented by Päkehä as a means of positioning Mäori as a homogeneous grouping rather than affirming the diversity of whänau (extended family), hapü (subtribe), and iwi (tribe) identification.

The term *Kaupapa Mäori* appeared in discussion forums in the 1980s when the Department of Education was attempting to introduce taha Mäori into the curriculum (Smith, 1997). During this time the terms *Kaupapa Mäori*, *Tikanga Mäori*, and *Mäoritanga* would surface as interchangeable. Now the term *Kaupapa Mäori* is applied across a wide of range of sites both inside and outside education. Through the writings of the Auckland Mäori academics the intellectual validity of Kaupapa Mäori has been established as a bona fide theory of transformation.

Kaupapa Mäori

Kaupapa Mäori is a term that has its origins in a history that reaches back thousands of years. As Mereana Taki (1996) outlines:

Kaupapa is derived from key words and their conceptual bases. Kau is often used to describe the process of "coming into view or appearing for the first time, to disclose." Taken further ka u may be translated as "representing an inarticulate sound, breast of a female, bite, gnaw, reach, arrive, reach its limit, be firm, be fixed, strike home, place of arrival" (H.W. Williams c 1844-1985, p. 464). Papa is used to mean "ground, foundation base." Together kaupapa encapsulates these concepts, and a basic foundation of it is "ground rules, customs, and the right of way of doing things." (p. 17)

Walker (1996) has also discussed Kaupapa Mäori. For Walker, *Kaupapa* is the explanation that gives meaning to the "life of Mäori." It is the base on which the superstructures of Te Ao (the Mäori world) may be viewed. Mäori are Tangata (people) born into a geophysical cultural milieu. Kaupapa Mäori becomes Kaupapa Tangata. It evolves like this: *He aha te mea nui o te Ao? He tangata, he tangata, he tangata* (What is the most important thing in this world? It is the people, it is the people, it is the people). In essence this *whakatauaki* (cultural saying) explains Kaupapa Mäori.

Smith (1997) outlines Kaupapa Mäori as a term used by Mäori to describe the practice and philosophy of living a Mäori culturally informed life. This is a Mäori world view that incorporates thinking and understanding. A key element in the discussion of Kaupapa Mäori is the centrality of *te reo Mäori me öna tikanga* (Mäori language and customs). Smith writes that a Kaupapa Mäori paradigm in education is founded on three key themes:

- · The validity and legitimacy of Mäori are taken for granted;
- The survival and revival of M\u00e4ori language and culture are imperative;
- The struggle for autonomy over our own cultural well-being and over our own lives is vital to Mäori struggle.

This locates *te reo Mäori me öna tikanga* as critical elements in any discussion of Kaupapa Mäori and is in line with the assertions that Mäori language must be viewed as essential in the reproduction of Kaupapa Mäori (Nepe, 1991). Expanding the discussion of what constitutes Kaupapa Mäori principles and practices in a changing world has been the focus of many Mäori people involved in research and development of Mäori programs in various sectors. Developments in Mäori Education have been crucial to these initiatives.

For Sharples (1988), Kaupapa Maori has roots in "old" knowledge, including Mäori spiritualism and traditionalism that it belongs to another time. From this source Kaupapa Mäori has emerged as a contemporary discourse and a reality, as a theory and a praxis directly from Mäori lived realities and experiences. One of those realities is that for over a century and a half the New Zealand education system has failed most of the Mäori children who have passed through it. Kaupapa Mäori as an educational intervention system was initiated by Mäori to address the Mäori educational crisis and to ensure the survival of Kaupapa Mäori knowledge and Te reo Mäori. The writing of Smith (1997) is instrumental here. Smith's

academic writing spans over 10 years. His text *The Development of Kaupapa Mäori Theory and Praxis* is pivotal.

The term *theory* has been deliberately co-opted by Smith and linked to Kaupapa Mäori in order to develop a counter-hegemonic practice and to understand the cultural constraints exemplified in critical questions such as "what counts as theory?" Smith challenges the narrow, Eurocentric interpretation of theory as it has been applied in New Zealand education.

Walker (1996) also unpacks the history of Western philosophy, choosing to locate Kaupapa Mäori in a distinctly theoretical terrain that is Mäori initiated, defined, and controlled. Kaupapa Mäori Theory has had the dual effect of providing both the theoretical "space" to support the academic writing of Mäori scholars and to be the subject of critical interrogation, analysis, and application.

Bishop and Glynn (1999) refer to Kaupapa Mäori as the "flourishing of a proactive Mäori political discourse" (p. 61). For these writers Kaupapa Mäori is a movement and a consciousness. Since the 1980s, with the advent of Te Köhanga Reo, Kaupapa Mäori has become an influential, coherent philosophy and practice for Mäori conscientization, resistance, and transformative praxis, advancing Mäori cultural and educational outcomes in education.

As Smith (1997) has articulated, Kaupapa Mäori initiatives develop intervention and transformation at the level of both institution and mode. The mode can be understood in terms of the pedagogy, the curriculum, and evaluation. The institutional level is the physical component, economics, power, ideology, and constructed notions of democracy. Kaupapa Mäori challenges the political context of unequal power relations and associated structural impediments. Smith (1997) makes the point, however, that transforming the mode and the institution is not sufficient. It is the political context of unequal power relations that must be challenged and changed. In short,

Kaupapa Mäori strategies question the right of Päkehä to dominate and exclude Mäori preferred interests in education, and assert the validity of Mäori knowledge, language, custom and practice, and its right to continue to flourish in the land of its origin, as the tangata whenua (Indigenous) culture. (p. 273)

Kaupapa Mäori thus challenges, questions, and critiques Päkehä hegemony. It does not reject or exclude Päkehä culture. It is not a one-orthe-other choice. As Smith states, the theoretical boundaries of Kaupapa Mäori have been tested, interrogated, and reflected on by the Mäori community and the Auckland academic group, disseminated locally and internally.

The extent to which Kaupapa Mäori has been engaged in educational settings can be seen in the increasing number of research theses and dissertations that have been produced in the past 10 years. Margie Hohepa (1990) and Tania Ka'ai (1990) both examined Te Köhanga Reo as a context

for language teaching and learning. Ka'ai compared Mäori pedagogical patterns she observed in Te Köhanga with those of the bilingual and English-medium new entrant classrooms. Richards (1991) discussed the underdevelopment of Te Reo Mäori in the Te Whänau-a-te Ehutu hapü in the eastern Bay of Plenty. Stewart (1992) discusses Te Mauri o te Reo and the power of the language, investigating a new pathway for enhancing and improving the status of Mäori language and achievement in schools.

Kaupapa Mäori Intervention Elements

Smith (1997) highlights six intervention elements that are an integral part of Kaupapa Mäori and that are evident in Kaupapa Mäori sites:

- Tino Rangatiratanga (the self-determination principle);
- taonga tuku iho (the cultural aspirations principle);
- · ako Mäori (the culturally preferred pedagogy principle);
- kia piki ake i nga raruraru o te kainga (the socioeconomic mediation principle);
- whänau (the extended family structure principle);
- kaupapa (the collective philosophy principle).

It is important to expand on these principles and include some common objectives that are shared by Kaupapa Mäori projects.

Tino Rangatiratanga (the self-determination principle)

Embodied in the Mäori language version of the Treaty of Waitangi, the principle of Tino Rangatiratanga goes straight to the heart of Kaupapa Mäori. It has been discussed in terms of sovereignty, autonomy, and *mana motuhake*, self-determination and independence. Tino Rangatiratanga has guided Kaupapa Mäori initiatives, reinforcing the goal of seeking more meaningful control over one's own life and cultural well-being. A crucial question remains: can real Tino Rangatiratanga be achieved in existing Päkehä-dominated institutional structures? Te Köhanga Reo and Kura Kaupapa Mäori, for example, were started outside conventional schooling explicitly in order for Mäori to take control of our destiny.

The notion of struggle for the ideas that are framed in a by-Mäori-for-Mäori paradigm is inherent in Kaupapa Mäori. Out of struggle comes the desire to critique and transform. Critique is an integral part of Kaupapa Mäori theorizing. From Awatere's (1984) *Mäori Sovereignty* to Walker's (1990) *Ka whawhai tonu mätou* to Tahana's (1980) work *A Critical Analysis of Some Studies of Mäori Schooling*, Mäori academics have been driven by a sense of struggle and a sharpened critique of the dominant ideologies that serve to marginalize Kaupapa Mäori. As Smith (1997) writes,

The act of "struggle" itself is seen to be an important factor in the cycle of conscientisation, resistance and praxis in not only making sense of one's life; but in also transforming it in more meaningful ways, and ultimately re-claiming it. (p. 25)

Kaupapa Mäori theorizing has ranged across a variety of educational sites and issues, critiquing specific policies imposed on Mäori. Johnston's

(1991) writing highlighted differences between policy recommendations for empowering Mäori decision-making through school boards of trustees and the actual experiences of Mäori members on these boards. She highlighted the differences between rhetoric (as outlined by policy) and the differing reality as experienced by Mäori. Johnston's (1999) work investigated the relationship between education policy and Mäori underachievement, tracing the interactions and relationships of "difference" and "power," examining historically how these policies have contributed to and sustained Mäori educational underachievement. The processes of assimilation, integration, multiculturalism, and biculturalism are all analyzed. Johnston found that it was the Päkehä conception of difference that informed and influenced the policy-forming processes.

Pihama (1993) argued that the introduction of the Parents as First Teachers program was framed in positivist constructions of compensatory education that ignored wider cultural and structural considerations. Pihama argued that PAFT is not an emancipatory program for Mäori; rather it espouses victim-blaming scenarios that maintain structural inequalities, thus perpetuating the subordinate positioning of Mäori.

Allen-Westray (1997) critiques the educational system in which she trained as a bilingual teacher, asking the question "Does the bilingual teacher training meet the needs of Mäori teachers?" Jenkins (1991) looks historically at the introduction of print literacy for Mäori and illustrates the relationship between literacy, power, and colonization. Huata-Tapiata (1992) cuts to the core of Kaupapa Mäori and education in discussing Tino Rangatiratanga and the struggle in the dominant Päkehä education system. Her work explores Tino Rangatiratanga as a dynamic instrument to deliver mana Mäori motuhake. This writer illustrates how education has been the mechanism to deny Tino Rangatiratanga among Mäori.

Smith (1997) and Pihama (1993) have both referred to this as the counter-hegemonic role of Kaupapa Mäori. Given the historical imposition of Päkehä structures, language, and knowledge onto Mäori people, there is without doubt a political drive that is crucial to current expressions of Kaupapa Mäori. In writing about the role of Kaupapa Mäori theory Smith (1997) has strongly advocated the need for Kaupapa Mäori developments to be both culturalist and structuralist in form. This means that engagement needs to happen both at the level of culture and human agency and at the level of analysis of structures and the power relations that exist. This then places Kaupapa Mäori as a form of critical analysis that is driven by Mäori understandings. Pihama (1993), in articulating the need for Kaupapa Mäori to be the basis for engaging power relations in this country, writes:

Kaupapa Mäori theory is a politicising agent that acts as a counter-hegemonic force to promote the conscientisation of Mäori people, through a process of critiquing Päkehä definitions and constructions of Mäori people, and asserting explicitly the validation and legitimation of te reo Mäori and tikanga. (p. 57)

The point is that when te reo Mäori me öna tikanga are viewed as valid and legitimate, then Mäori are no longer positioned as the Other, but rather hold a position of being the norm in our own constructions. This then acts as a challenge to Päkehä dominance. This is clearly an issue for Kaupapa Mäori implementation in mainstream institutions and settings. Tino Rangatiratanga as an element also contributes to the notion of Kaupapa Mäori as counter-hegemonic in that the fundamental base of Tino Rangatiratanga is that of Mäori control over things Mäori, or has been expressed as "Mäori for Mäori." Again, this can be challenging to the structures and philosophies of mainstream organizations.

Placing Mäori at the center was clearly the intention of the Mäori Education Commission, which was set up by the Minister of Mäori Affairs Tau Henare in 1997. The Commission consisted of six Mäori who were highly skilled and held in high regard by the Mäori community. The main kaupapa of the Commission was to listen, observe, and conscientiously represent the views of Mäori in determining the nature and content of advice that they gave to the Minister. The Commission believed that although Kaupapa Mäori programs are still in their infancy and lack a comprehensive support infrastructure, they are achieving success.

The Mäori Education Commission (1998) received strong submissions for establishing a stand-alone Mäori education authority. The Mäori Commissioners argued that Tino Rangatiratanga meant placing control for Mäori educational development squarely in the hands of Mäori.

Taonga tuku iho (the cultural aspirations principle)

A Kaupapa Mäori framework asserts a position that to be Mäori is both valid and legitimate, and in such a framework to be Mäori is taken for granted. Te Reo Mäori, Mätauranga Mäori, and Tikanga Mäori are actively legitimized and validated. This principle acknowledges the strong emotional and spiritual factor in Kaupapa Mäori, which is introduced to support the commitment of Mäori to the intervention in the educational crisis.

Nepe (1991) discusses Kaupapa Mäori in relation to the development of Kura Kaupapa Mäori. She states that Kaupapa Mäori is the "conceptualisation of Mäori knowledge" that has been developed through oral tradition. This is the process by which the Mäori mind "receives, internalises, differentiates, and formulates ideas and knowledge exclusively through Te Reo Mäori" (p. 15). Nepe situates Mäori knowledge specifically within Te Reo Mäori. Kaupapa Mäori knowledge is not to be confused with Päkehä knowledge or general knowledge that has been translated into Mäori. Kaupapa Mäori knowledge has its origins in a metaphysical base that is distinctly Mäori. As Nepe states, this base influences how Mäori people think, understand, interact, and interpret the world.

For Nepe (1991) Mäori knowledge is esoteric and essentially Mäori. It validates the Mäori world view and is owned and controlled by Mäori through Te Reo Mäori. Te Reo Mäori is the only language that can access.

conceptualize, and internalize in spiritual terms this body of knowledge. From this we take it that Mäori language and Kaupapa Mäori knowledge are inextricably bound. One is the means to the other. In her writings Nepe argues for the significance of Kaupapa Mäori as an educational intervention system to address the Mäori educational crisis and to ensure the survival of Kaupapa Mäori knowledge and Te Reo Mäori.

Ako Mäori (the culturally preferred pedagogy principle)

This principle promotes teaching and learning practices that are unique to Tikanga Mäori. "Borrowed" pedagogies are acknowledged. Mäori are able to choose their own preferred pedagogies. Pere (1983) writes in some depth on key elements in Mäori pedagogy. In her publication *Ako* she provides expansive discussion regarding tikanga Mäori concepts and their application to Mäori pedagogies.

The development of Mäori-medium schooling (Te Köhanga Reo, Kura Kaupapa Mäori) has placed Mäori in a position where not only the definitions of what is Kaupapa Mäori have been important, but where significant moves in the identification of Mäori pedagogical practices have been made. This has brought to the fore debates over various *kupu* (words) and *tikanga* (customs) and how they are best located as Kaupapa Mäori practice. Kaupapa Mäori knowledge permeates each of the components of Kaupapa Mäori education including Te Köhanga Reo, Kura Kaupapa Mäori, Kura Kaupapa Mäori teaching training, the development of Kura Kaupapa Mäori resources, Whare Wänanga, and Whare Kura.

Pohatu (1996) advances the argument that cultural underpinnings of whenua (land) and whakapapa (genealogy) are imperative to ensure cultural transmission and acquisition (socialization). His work is a statement of cultural recentering and emancipation. Rei's (1998) work discusses the importance of Wananga Reo as a learning and teaching intervention for the revitalization of Te Reo Mäori. We can draw some of these analyses together. For Nepe and other Mäori writers, Kaupapa Mäori is grounded in te reo Mäori. Pohatu grounds it in whakapapa and whenua. Another important concept is Mätauranga.

It is important to acknowledge that *Mätauranga* is not Knowledge. This is important when discussing Kaupapa Mäori. Royal (1998) has written on a developing theory of Mätauranga Mäori. Mätauranga Mäori is created by Mäori to explain their experience of the world. Mätauranga Mäori was traditionally created with the view that the earth was Papatüänuku, the sky was Ranginui, and the world in which we currently live is called Te Ao Marama. Mätauranga Mäori, like Kaupapa Mäori, is not new. It has been created and maintained for centuries in this country. However, it is new to see it in contrast with other disciplines of knowledge, including Western forms of knowledge. The similarities with Kaupapa Mäori are evident.

Royal (1998) writes about whakapapa as a research model, and his definition of Mätauranga Mäori is created only by the use of whakapapa.

Royal cautions us to be clear when we are defining Mäori terms such as *mätauranga* and *rangahau*; the issue of who owns the definition is paramount. Given that the term *rangahau* appears in such places as the names of government departments, it is urgent that Mäori define the term correctly. Royal relates the story of a conversation he had with Taki Marsden from Tai Tokerau. Royal asked Taki that if he were to ask his father what mätauranga Mäori was, would his father know. Taki replied, "To ask my father what mätauranga Mäori is would be like asking a fish what water is. It remains invisible to them." We suggest that the notion of Kaupapa Mäori is equally elusive.

Kia piki ake i nga raruraru o te kainga (the socioeconomic mediation principle) This principle addresses the issue of Mäori socioeconomic disadvantage and the negative pressures this brings to bear on whänau and their children in the education environment. This principle acknowledges that despite these difficulties, Kaupapa Mäori mediation practices and values are able to intervene successfully for the well-being of the whänau. The collective responsibility of the Mäori community and whänau comes to the foreground.

Churchwood (1991) discusses *He Ara Hou* and the family model. This writer elaborated on the family-group model as a pedagogical intervention in the Mäori schooling crisis. White (1995) uses the context of "scaffolding." She looked at language use in a Köhanga Reo focusing on scaffolding of children's language interactions in structured, ritualistic routines of *karakia* and *mihimihi*.

More recent research by Hohepa (1999) follows from earlier work that examines interactions in family literacy practices as a constitutive context for adult Mäori language elaboration and acquisition processes. For Hohepa the hard work has paid off with the initiation of Te Köhanga Reo, but the focus now is on specific activities in the family, ensuring that Te Reo Mäori is supported in the personal domains of the child. This research locates how Kaupapa Mäori can be supported in both the schooling and whänau domains.

Timutimu (1995) conducted research in her own hapü with the realization that her hapü would soon be left with no fluent Mäori speakers. Her writing argued that the "middle" generation in her hapü play a critical role in maintaining the traditional language and knowledge of the hapü. The work of Tangaere (1998) also reinforces whänau as a key Mäori intervention model in education. Tangaere discusses what we can learn from the interventions based on whänau in education and how these can be applied to Mäori social, economic, cultural, and educational crises.

Whänau (the extended family structure principle)

The principle of whänau, like Tino Rangatiratanga, sits at the heart of Kaupapa Mäori. The whänau and the practice of whänaungatanga is an integral part of Mäori identity and culture. The cultural values, customs,

and practices that organize around the whänau and "collective responsibility" are a necessary part of Mäori survival and educational achievement.

It is appropriate to comment on the expansiveness of Kaupapa Mäori; that is, that Kaupapa Mäori is for all Mäori, not for select groups or individuals. Kaupapa Mäori is not owned by any group, nor can it be defined in ways that deny Mäori people access to its articulation. This means that Kaupapa Mäori must of necessity be diverse and recognize the diversity within our people; women, men, tamariki (children), kuia (female elder), koroua (male elder), rangatahi (young person), whänau, hapü, iwi, urban Mäori: these are some examples of the diversity within our people, and therefore Kaupapa Mäori needs to be accessible and available to all. It must also ensure analysis that is able to take into account, both in principle and practice, the diversity of Mäori communities.

As a part of recognizing the impact of colonization on internal Mäori structures and relationships, a number of authors have dealt directly with issues of gender. Gender relationships have changed significantly since colonization, and it is argued that Kaupapa Mäori needs to engage notions of *Mana Wähine* in its principles and practices. A good example of this notion is found in the *Te Aho Matua* document developed from a Kaupapa Mäori base for Kura Kaupapa Mäori. In *Te Aho Matua* are clear statements about gender; for example, it is made explicit that both women and men have roles in the development of our children (Nepe, 1991). This is important in Kaupapa Mäori as it locates both Mäori women and Mäori men as critical in Mäori initiatives. There is currently an imbalance in regard to decision-making for Mäori that can be located as a consequence of the ongoing marginalization of Mäori women through the imposition of conservative gender beliefs.

Kaupapa (the collective philosophy principle)

Kaupapa Mäori initiatitives in Mäori education are held together by a collective commitment and a vision. *Te Aho Matua* is a formal charter that has collectively been articulated by Mäori working in Kaupapa Mäori initiatives. This vision connects Mäori aspirations to political, social, economic, and cultural well-being.

Also inherent in Kaupapa Mäori theory is the critique of power structures in Aotearoa that historically have constructed Mäori people in binary opposition to Päkehä, reinforcing the discourse of Mäori as the Other. Kaupapa Mäori theory aligns itself with critical theory in that it seeks to expose power relations that perpetuate the continued oppression of Mäori people (Pihama, 1993).

The following writers have also contributed to the growing critique of power discourses that occur in education. Naden (1998) looks at the exploitation of Mäori knowledge in the framework of the New Zealand Qualifications Authority, discussing how this framework is a site of strug-

gle between dominant Päkehä and subordinate Mäori knowledge. He discovers how positivism forms the basis of New Right ideological positioning, which in turn has inspired and driven educational "reforms." He argues for equal representation of Mäori knowledge and for increased power for Mäori in decision-making in education.

Naden (1998) critiques the Education Review Office (ERO). This study examines the impact of the Educational Review Office on provision of education for Mäori pupils in four secondary schools in south Auckland with significant numbers of Mäori pupils. He investigated the reasons for the continued failure of these schools to meet the legal requirements for administering a school according to an assurance audit. Naden postulates that by positing "managerialism" as the main factor in the underperformance of these schools, the social, political, and cultural factors underpinning the compliance failures of these schools is ignored. The content of the structures and operational pedagogies of the ERO processes are problematic with respect to developing appropriate audit processes for schools with high numbers of Mäori pupils.

Smith (1994) analyzed the issue of iwi, arguing that iwi development was a discourse of power currently being tested by Mäori and state interest groups. Smith argued that iwi development, although problematic, cannot be understood without examining imperialism and colonization and the wider context of struggle by Indigenous peoples worldwide. Smith proposed that "decolonization" was, therefore, a necessary part of Indigenous people's development.²

Pihama (1993) relates that decolonization is a process of revealing how colonization has influenced beliefs and social practices that influence and contribute to the social construction of what it means to be Mäori, creating power dynamics that privilege the colonizing forces.

Conclusion

The need for a Kaupapa Mäori education system grew out of Mäori dissatisfaction with a mainstream education system that sought over the history of colonization in this country to civilize, assimilate, and integrate Mäori children and young people into Päkehä culture. The resultant failure of this system to provide education for Mäori should not have been surprising. Yet this failure has all too often been blamed on Mäori deficits rather than leading to structural analysis and change of the system.

Kaupapa Mäori education initiatives grew out of the dissatisfaction of Mäori with mainstream education. The primary success of these initiatives is the fact of their ongoing existence and the commitment to a Mäori-controlled education system that they have engendered in Mäori whänau, hapü, and iwi. They have, however, exceeded mere survival, and over the past five to 10 years Mäori graduates have begun to emerge from Kaupapa Mäori secondary schools.

There is a large degree of agreement about what is needed to ensure the ongoing existence of a Kaupapa Mäori education system in which Mäori can be Mäori. The key elements of Kaupapa Mäori are identified by Smith (1997) for the provision of an education system that is by Mäori, for Mäori. There can be no doubt that these initiatives are anticolonial, and as a consequence the struggle for the space where they can occur has been long and hard. The struggle is also on many fronts: for example, with successive governments that have sought to name, define, and control, and by so doing curtail Mäori aspirations; with school boards, principals, and teachers when Mäori seek space in mainstream schooling for Mäori-medium education initiatives; with Mäori parents who cannot see the value of their children being part of a Mäori education initiative.

Kaupapa Mäori is, therefore, about asserting the right of Mäori to be Mäori while at the same time building a critique of those societal structures that work to oppress Mäori. This review, therefore, seeks to capture both the pushing forward of Mäori aspirations and the pushing back of Päkehä control and domination. Kaupapa Mäori education is exemplary in that it is allowing Mäori children to be educated in an environment that affirms, validates, and nurtures them as Mäori while providing them with more than enough tools to survive in a Päkehädominated society.

Notes

¹This idea draws on the Frierean notion of being fully human as a role of the oppressed in overcoming the dehumanization process inherent in colonization (Freire, 1972).

²Murphy (1997) has been involved in organizing and tutoring or facilitating Treaty of Waitangi seminars for several years and specializes in educational decolonization *waananga* for *tangata whenua*. His philosophy is to provide a safe, nonthreatening environment where questions can be asked and issues discussed without course participants being subjected to personal attack or ridicule.

Acknowledgment

This review was partly funded by a research grant from the Ministry of Research, Science and Technology.

References

Allen-Westray, E. (1997). Nga akoranga mo te reo Mäori—Ka tutuki nga hiahia o ngaa kaiako Mäori? Mäori Education for bilingual teaching—Does it meet the needs of Mäori teachers? Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

Awatere, D. (1984). Mäori sovereignty. Auckland: Broadsheet.

Bishop, R., & Glynn, T. (1999). *Culture counts: Changing power relations in education*. Palmerston North: Dunmore Press.

Churchwood, F. (1991). *He Ara Hou: "The Family Model"—The family group model as a pedagogical intervention in Mäori schooling crisis*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

Freire, P. (1972). Pedagogy of the oppressed. London: Penguin.

Hohepa, M. (1990). *Te Köhanga Reo hei tikanga ako i te Reo Mäori: Te Köhanga Reo as a context for language learning*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

- Hohepa, M. (1999). Hei tautoko i te reo: Mäori language regeneration and whänau book reading practices. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Auckland.
- Huata-Tapiata, H. (1992). *Tino Rangatiratanga: The Mäori struggle within education*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Jenkins, K. (1991). *Te Ihi, Te Mana, Te Wehi o te Ao Mäori: Literacy, power and colonisation.* Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Johnston, P. (1991). A fair measure of influence? Mäori members on school boards of trustees. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Johnston, P. (1999). He Ao Rereke: Education policy and Mäori underachievement: Mechanisms of power and difference. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Auckland.
- Ka'ai, T. (1990). Te Hiringa Taketake; Mai i Te Köhanga Reo i te Kura; Mäori pedagogy. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Mäori Éducation Commission. (1998). Report to the Minister of Mäori Affairs. Report No. 2, August.
- Marsden, M. (1975). God, man and universe: A Mäori view. In M. King (Ed.), Te Ao Hurihuri—The world moves on. Auckland: Hicks Smith.
- Murphy, T. (1997). Te Pumaomao. An awakening to rediscover and celebrate Mana Maori. Paper presented at Te Whare Wananga o Awanuiarangi, Whakatane, New Zealand.
- Naden, J. (1998). Mo wai ra—In whose Interest? The critical impact of the Education Review Office on Mäori. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Nepe, T. (1991). E hao nei e tenei reanga te toi huarewa tupuna: Kaupapa Mäori, an educational intervention system. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Pere, R. (1983). Ako: Concepts and learning in the Mäori tradition (reprinted 1994). Wellington: Te Köhanga Reo Trust.
- Pihama, L. (1993). Tungia te ururua kia tupu whakaritorito te tupu o te harakeke: A critical analysis of parents as first teachers. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Pohatu, T. (1996). I tipu ai taatou i ngaa turi o o taatau maatua tipuna: Transmission and acquisition processes within Kawai whakapapa. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Rangihau, J. (1975). Being Maori. In M. King (Ed.), Te Ao Hurihuri. The world moves on. Auckland: Hicks Smith.
- Rei, R. (Te Ahu). (1998). E kakano i ruia mai i Rangiatea: Wananga Reo as a learning and teaching intervention for the revitalisation of the Mäori language. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Richards, R. (1991). Te reo paheke: The underdevopment of Te Reo Mäori in Te Whänau-a-te Ehutu hapü Eastern Bay of Plenty. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Royal, T. (1998). *Te Ao Marama—A research paradigm*. Paper presented at Te Oru Rangahau, Mäori Research and Development Conference, Massey University.
- Sharples, P. (1988). Kura Kaupapa Mäori: Recommendations for policy. *Access: Critical Perspectives on Education Policy*, 8.
- Smith, C. (1994). Kimihia te maramatanga: Colonisation and iwi development. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Smith, G. (1997). *The development of Kaupapa Mäori: Theory and praxis*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Auckland.
- Smith, L.T. (1999). *Decolonizing methodology*. London: Zed Books; Dunedin: University of Otago Press.
- Stewart, A. (1992). Te mauri o te reo—The power of the language: A thesis investigating a new pathway for enhancing and improving the status of Mäori language and Mäori pupils in schools. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Tahana, A. (1980). A critical analysis of some studies of Mäori schooling. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Taki, M. (1996). *Kaupapa Mäori and contemporary iwi resistance*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.
- Tangaere, R. (1998). Whänau: Kaupapa Mäori intervention model in education. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

Timutimu, N. (1995). *Kei ngaro to tatou reo rangatira: Middle generation Mäori language crisis.* Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

Walker, R. (1974). Mäoritanga and the teacher. In D. Bray & C. Hill (Eds.), Polynesian and Päkehä in New Zealand education (vol. II). Auckland: Heinemann.

Walker, R.J. (1990). Ka whawhai tonu matou. Auckland: Penguin.

Walker, S. (1996). Kia tau te rangimarie: Kaupapa Mäori theory as a resistance against the construction of Mäori as the other. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

White, M. (1995). Te Wero—te uru whakatupu ake te uru o matawhaura: Language scaffolding in a Köhanga Reo. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Auckland.

Glossary

Aotearoa Land of the long white cloud, a.k.a. New Zealand

hapü subtribe iwi tribe

kaupapa ground rules, agenda

kupu word

Kura Kaupapa Mäori Mäori-medium primary school

mana authority mätauranga knowledge

Päkehä non-Mäori New Zealander

rangahau research taha side

tangata whenua people of the land

tangata person

Te Köhanga Reo Mäori-medium preschool

te reo Mäori Mäori language

Tikanga Mäori custom

Tino Rangatiratanga independence

wahine woman whakatauki proverb

whänau extended family

Whare Kura school

Whare Wananga house of learning